


APPENDIX C: TECHNICAL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

APPENDIX C-11: CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING REPORT


PART 2/3



FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	789 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171990135
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	789 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171990135	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	No	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamiltons downtown area. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	795 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171990202
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1962
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	795 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171990202	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	804 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020022
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	804 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020022	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	810 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020023
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Hospital
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	810 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020023	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is an example of a double Bay and Gable style house, later converted into commercial space, common in Hamilton. The front façade has been altered with addition of central dormer and covered porch and balcony. It does not have potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	812 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020024
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	812 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020024	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is a rare example of Queen Anne style architecture featuring concrete block construction. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	832 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020105
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	832 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020105	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property adds to the character of the neighbourhood and has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	850 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020124
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	850 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020124	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building with brutalist elements. It is not a standout example of brutalist design and does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	859 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980218
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	859 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980218	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	863-865 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980260
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Germania Club Ltd (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)
Current function	Recreational
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	863-865 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980260	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	887 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980265
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Religious
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	887 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980265	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century church, with modernized architecture sympathetic to earlier gothic churches in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	867-869 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980261
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	867-869 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980261	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	871-873 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980262
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	871-873 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980262	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century brick residence that has a large obtrusive addition to the front façade to accommodate conversion to commercial use. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	877 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980263
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	877 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980263	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	No	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century brick residence that has a large obtrusive addition to the front façade to accommodate conversion to commercial use. It does not have potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	881 KING ST E APT 9
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980264
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	881 KING ST E APT 9	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980264	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	891 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980266
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	891 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980266	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamiltons downtown area. It does not have potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	893 KING ST E 1STLF
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980267
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1911 (residence at the back of the property)
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Various
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	893 KING ST E 1STLF	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980267	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century brick dwelling that has been converted to commercial uses. The main floor of the front façade has been heavily altered. This property does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	895-899 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980268
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Various
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	895-899 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980268	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property includes a nineteenth century victorian brick residence and has been significantly altered by the addition of a modern commercial structure. This property has low potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	901 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980269
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	901 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980269	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century cinderblock commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	907 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980280
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	907 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980280	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a late-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	3 PROCTOR BLVD 1STLB
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020148
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	3 PROCTOR BLVD 1STLB	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020148	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	902 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020193
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	902 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020193	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?		This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is a two storey brick and stucco dwelling with gable roof fronting on to St. Clair Ave. It has an eclectic application of architectural details. A mid-century single storey addition to the north elevation fronts on to King Street East. It has potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	904 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020194
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	904 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020194	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This two-and-a-half storey stone dwelling has been converted into a long term care residence. It features cross-gabled roof, bay windows, cut stone foundations, and gabled dormers. However the original structure has been significantly altered through the introduction of a new front entrance addition, new garage extension, new dormers, as well as new windows, not in keeping with the original design. It has low potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	908 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020195
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	908 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020195	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	is an early twentieth-century two-storey brick dwelling with hipped roof, cut stone foundations and new windows. It is a typical example of a Foursquare House in Hamilton, and otherwise does not appear to have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	910 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172020196
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	910 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020196	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is a good intact example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	927 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130235
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	927 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130235	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century cinderblock commercial building and associated parking lot. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	929 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130234
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	929 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130234	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	935 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130233
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	N/A
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Parking Lot
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	935 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130233	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical asphalt parking lot and as such does not have significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	937-943 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130232
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	937-943 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130232	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is an intact example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	924 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100011
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Financial
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	924 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100011	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century urban cinder block commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	945 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130230
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	LCBO (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	945 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130230	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building and parking lot. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	949 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130229
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	949 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130229	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	951-953 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130228
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	951-953 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130228	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1-5 FAIRHOLT RD N
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130227
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1-5 FAIRHOLT RD N	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130227	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is a good example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	957 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130225
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	957 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130225	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building and parking lot. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	970 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100095
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	970 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100095	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	972 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100096
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	972 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100096	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	974 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100097
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	974 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100097	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	976 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100098
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	976 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100098	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	976 1/2 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100099
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Labelled as 'coin laundry' on 1966 Fire Insurance Plan
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	976 1/2 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100099	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	3 BARNESDALE AVE S
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100154
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	3 BARNESDALE AVE S	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100154	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early house that has been heavily altered, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	987 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130212
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Medical
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	987 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130212	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth-century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	999 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130211
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	999 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130211	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1005 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130210
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1005 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130210	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not Federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building in Hamilton. It has been altered and is now used solely for commercial space. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	996 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172100214
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	996 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100214	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is an example of an intact hydro-electric substation design in the early twentieth century. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	Yes	This property is directly associated with early hydro-electric development in the city of Hamilton. It has potential for significant historical and associative value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1025 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120003
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1950
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	Hamilton's Heritage Volume 7B
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	McDonnell and Lenz (architect) and James Kemp Construction (builder)
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Religious
Previous function(s)	N/A
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	Listed on Hamilton's Heritage Volumes 2 and 7B
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1025 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120003	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is an example of a Romanesque revival church, uncommon in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is a landmark in the community and as such has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1018 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110025
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1018 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110025	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is an example of late twentieth century apartment building with typical late twentieth century architectural features, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1024 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110026
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1024 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110026	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is a good example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building with art moderne influence in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1026 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110027
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1026 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110027	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1055 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120029
Ownership	Public
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	c.1930
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	Hamilton's Municipal Register
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Recreational
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	Registered Non-Designated, to be designated in 2017-2018
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1055 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120029	
Ownership	Public	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	Yes	The Jimmy Thompson Memorial Pool was built in 1930 for the first British Empire Games. It is the only standing structure remaining associated with the games. It has potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1030 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110042
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1030 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110042	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical asphalt parking lot with a small brick car sales office, it does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1 PROSPECT ST S
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110078
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1 PROSPECT ST S	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110078	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1094-1098 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110110
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1094-1098 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110110	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1 BALSAM AVE S
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110137
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1 BALSAM AVE S	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110137	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is a good intact example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1125-1127 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120034
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1125-1127 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120034	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	3-7 CONNAUGHT AVE S
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110164
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1928
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	Date stone on the building
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	3-7 CONNAUGHT AVE S	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110164	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1144 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172110165
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1144 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110165	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1135 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120062
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1135 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120062	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1137 1/2 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120063
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1925
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	Date stamp on building
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1137 1/2 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120063	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1139 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120064
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1139 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120064	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1141-1143 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120065
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1141-1143 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120065	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1145 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120066
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1145 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120066	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1149-1151 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172120067
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	Listed on Hamilton's Heritage Volume 2
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1149-1151 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120067	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1150 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260247
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	N/A
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Vacant
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1150 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260247	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a manicured lawn with advertising signs. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	Although this property is within the boundaries of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a previous study, it does not contribute to the character of the area and therefore does not have potential for contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1153 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260085
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Labelled as 'gasoline service station' on 1966 Fire Insurance Plan
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Commercial
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1153 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260085	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1155 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260086
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Delta Auto Sales Ltd. (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Commercial
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1155 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260086	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1173 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260118
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Early twentieth century
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	1940
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1173 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260118	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early twentieth century house with a commercial brick addition to the front façade, likely added in the 1940s and which has since been converted back to residential use. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1175 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260119
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1175 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260119	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1177 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260291
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1177 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260291	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early twentieth century house with a large gabled dormer and front porch, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1179 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260292
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1914
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1179 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260292	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is an example of a early frame house that has had the exterior altered. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1181 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260122
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1914
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1181 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260122	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is an example of a early frame house that has had the exterior altered. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1183 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260123
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1183 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260123	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century vinyl clad house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1185 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260124
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1185 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260124	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century vinyl clad house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1191 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260219
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Labelled as 'gasoline service station' on 1966 Fire Insurance Plan
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Commercial
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1191 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260219	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical asphalt parking lot with a small brick car sales office, it does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1197 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260245
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1197 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260245	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. The later addition to the front is an unsympathetic style. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1199 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260244
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1199 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260244	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is an example of an early style house which has had major additions to the front façade in the twentieth century. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1201 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130227
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1201 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130227	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1203 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260242
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1203 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260242	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1205 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260241
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1205 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260241	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1207 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260240
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1207 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260240	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1220 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270071
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1220 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270071	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house and twentieth century commercial building, both common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1211-1215 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270127
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1211-1215 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270127	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1217 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270128
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1217 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270128	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	2 GLENDALE AVE N
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270134
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	2 GLENDALE AVE N	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270134	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is an intact example of an early house, common in Hamilton. It features a wraparound porch and Edwardian design elements. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1253 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270135
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1253 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270135	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1257 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270136
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1257 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270136	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1265 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270137
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1265 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270137	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1267 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270138
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	N/A
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Vacant
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1267 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270138	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a manicured lawn with advertising signs. It does not have potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	Although this property is within the boundaries of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a previous study, it does not contribute to the character of the area and therefore does not have potential for contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1273-1279 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270151
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1273-1279 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270151	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1309 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270178
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1309 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270178	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property features a late nineteenth- early twentieth-century two storey residence which has been significantly altered and is used for commercial purposes. It does not have potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1119 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270237
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1119 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270237	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early brick commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1141 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270281
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1141 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270281	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1143 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270282
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1143 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270282	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	3 BALMORAL AVE S
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172290012
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	3 BALMORAL AVE S	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172290012	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is an example of a unique early multi-unit residence which is massed like a single detached structure. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1145-1147 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270301
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1145-1147 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270301	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	This property is a good example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
<p>Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
<p>Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)</p>	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1147 1/2 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270302
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1147 1/2 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270302	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1149-1151 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270303
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1922
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	Date stone on the building
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1149-1151 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270303	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. The main floor has been altered and the building does not retain original windows. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1175 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270305
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1918-1919
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	Hamilton Wentworth District School Board (http://www.hwdsb.on.ca/memorialcity/about/history/)
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	J. D. Hutton (http://www.hwdsb.on.ca/memorialcity/about/history/)
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	School
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	Registered Non-Designated
Local Heritage Interest	Listed on Hamilton's Heritage Volume 2; municipal plaque commemorating "Memorial Hall" on the property.
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties


Municipal Address	1175 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270305	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	Yes	The property retains a two storey, brick school with what appears to be stone elements used in the entrance. The building is representative of educational architecture from the first half of the twentieth-century and thus retains significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	Yes	The property is associated with education within the City of Hamilton and thus retains significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	The property is important in defining the character of the area and serves as a landmark and therefore is considered to have significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1203 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172410023
Ownership	Public
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	N/A
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Parking Lot
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1203 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172410023	
Ownership	Public	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	The property does not have any extant built features and thus does not have significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	The property does not have direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization, or institution that is significant to a community. Therefore, the property does not have significant historical or associative value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	No	Although this property is within the boundaries of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a previous study, it does not contribute to the character of the area and therefore does not have potential for contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property		

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1205 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172410024
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1205 MAIN ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172410024	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method; ▪ it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, ▪ it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement? 	No	The property retains a modern, single-storey structure that is not rare or unique, and nor is it a representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method. The property therefore does not retain significant heritage value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community; ▪ it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or, ▪ it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community? 	No	The property does not have direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization, or institution that is significant to the community. As such, the property does not have significant historical or associative value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; ▪ it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, ▪ it is a landmark? 	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property		
Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property		