J. Bruin Associates Inc.

## APPENDIX C: TECHNICAL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

## APPENDIX C-11: CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING REPORT

**PART 2/3** 







FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	789 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171990135		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	789 KING	789 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line			
PIN	17199013	171990135		
Ownership	Private			
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes		
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.		
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.		
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.		
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.		
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.		
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.		
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.		
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.		
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.		
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.		
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.		
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.		
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes		
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.		
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	No	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.		

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamiltons downtown area. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property

	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Rail Corridor  PIN  171990202  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Municipal Address	795 KING ST E		
PIN 171990202  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON		
Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	171990202		
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Exterior, street-view photo			
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Pre-1962		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1978 aerial photography and Municipal Planner input		
Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  Commercial  Undetermined  None  None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Commercial		
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Undetermined		
		None		
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None		
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	795 KING	795 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line			
PIN	17199020	171990202		
Ownership	Private			
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes		
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.		
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.		
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.		
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.		
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.		
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.		
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.		
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.		
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.		
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.		
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.		
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.		
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes		
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.		
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.		

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipality HA Rail Corridor B-L	4 KING ST E MILTON Line 2020022		
Rail Corridor B-L	Line		
Tidii Gottiagi			
PIN 177	2020022		
1 114			
Ownership Priv	vate		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See	e Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	e-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
- 5.55 55 5.15 5 (5)	, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth agery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	known at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	known at this time		
Current function Con	mmercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest No	ne		
Adjacent lands No	protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	804 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17202002	2
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor PIN 17202023  Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated) Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated) Date source(s):  Asl, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth magery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function Previous function Previous function Previous function(s) Hospital Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal) Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	810 KING ST E	
PIN 17202023  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated) Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated) Date source(s): Ass, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function Previous function(s) Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Acrial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172020023	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Current function  Previous function(s)  Hospital  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Commercial	
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Hospital	
		None	
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None	
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	810 KING 9	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020023	3
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is an example of a double Bay and Gable style house, later converted into commercial space, common in Hamilton. The front façade has been altered with addition of central dormer and covered porch and balcony. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipality HA	12 KING ST E  AMILTON -Line		
Wallerpancy			
Rail Corridor B-I	-Line		
	B-Line		
PIN 17	172020024		
Ownership Pri	rivate		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Se	ee Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	re-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function Co	ommercial		
Previous function(s)	ndetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest No.	None		
Adjacent lands No.	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	812 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172020024		
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Dotontial Cultural Havitage Value	Y/N	Evalanatory Notes
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	T/IN	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is a rare example of Queen Anne style architecture featuring concrete block construction. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	832 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172020105		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	832 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172020105		
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	.,	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property adds to the character of the neighbourhood and has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Pro	nouh.	

Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	850 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172020124		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	850 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172020124		
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes		
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	.,	Explanatory Notes		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building with brutalist elements. It is not a standout example of brutalist design and does not have potential for significant design value.		
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes		
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping		
Screening Outcomes	Y/N			
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No			
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes			
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No			
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property				

Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	859 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980218	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earimagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	
	1	

Municipal Address	859 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980218	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	.,	Explanator y notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	863-865 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171980260		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo	Granda BESTARRANT BAP-BANGAIRT CENTRA FINANCIA		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Germania Club Ltd (1966 Fire Insurance Plan		
Current function	Recreational		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	863-865 K	ING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980260	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	887 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171980265		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Religious		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	887 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980265	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	<b>.</b>	
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century church, with modernized architecture sympathetic to earlier gothic churches in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	867-869 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171980261		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	867-869 K	ING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980261	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	871-873 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171980262		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	871-873 K	ING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980262	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century brick residence that has a large obtrusive addition to the front façade to accommodate conversion to commercial use. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	877 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	171980263
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	ENG'S PRINTING  -905-529-1602
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	877 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980263	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	No	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century brick residence that has a large obtrusive addition to the front façade to accommodate conversion to commercial use. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	881 KING ST E APT 9	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980264	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	ENSS PRINTING  ENSS PRINTING  EN STATE OF THE ENTER	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	881 KING	ST E APT 9
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980264	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	891 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171980266		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	891 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980266	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamiltons downtown area. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	893 KING ST E 1STLF	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980267	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	KING SHERMAN SAUNI	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1911 (residence at the back of the property)	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Various	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	893 KING	ST E 1STLF
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980267	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century brick dwelling that has been converted to commercial uses. The main floor of the front façade has been heavily altered. This property does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	895-899 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171980268		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo	VICENTIAL CO.		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Various		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	895-899 K	ING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980268	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property includes a nineteenth century victorian brick residence and has been significantly altered by the addition of a modern commercial structure. This property has low potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has
contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?		potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	901 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	171980269		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	901 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980269	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	T/IN	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century cinderblock commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	907 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980280	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eartlimagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	907 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	171980280	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a late-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	3 PROCTOR BLVD 1STLB		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172020148		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	3 PROCTOR BLVD 1STLB	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020148	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

	V/A1	5 June 1 and
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has
contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?		potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	902 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020193	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	
_		

Municipal Address	902 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020193	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?		This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is a two storey brick and stucco dwelling with gable roof fronting on to St. Clair Ave. It has an eclectic application of architectural details. A midcentury single storey addition to the north elevation fronts on to King Street East. It has potential for signficant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
<ul> <li>landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because: <ul> <li>it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;</li> <li>it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,</li> <li>it is a landmark?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	904 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020194	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	904 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020194	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This two-and-a-half storey stone dwelling has been converted into a long term care residence. It features cross-gabled roof, bay windows, cut stone foundations, and gabled dormers. However the original structure has been significantly altered through the introduction of a new front entrance addition, new garage extension, new dormers, as well as new windows, not in keeping with the original design. It has low potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	908 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020195	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	908 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020195	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	is an early twentieth-century two-storey brick dwelling with hipped roof, cut stone foundations and new windows. It is a typical example of a Foursquare House in Hamilton, and otherwise does not appear to have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	910 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020196	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	910 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172020196	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Detential Cultural Havitage Value	Y/N	Evalanatory Notes
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	T/IN	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is a good intact example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	927 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130235	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	927 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130235	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century cinderblock commercial building and associated parking lot. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	929 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130234	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	Martin) BOWLING	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	929 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17213023	4
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	.,	Zapiania io. y rioseo
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Pro	nouh.	

imagery	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Rail Corridor PIN 172130233  Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of sinificant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	935 KING ST E
PIN 172130233  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON
Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Parking Lot  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172130233
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asl, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Parking Lot  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Parking Lot  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Parking Lot  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Exterior, street-view photo	Dining Cur
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  N/A  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Perking Lot  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Pre-1966
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Parking Lot  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		N/A
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Parking Lot  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	
Current function Parking Lot  Previous function(s) Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Parking Lot
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Undetermined
		None
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	935 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130233	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Dotontial Cultural Havitage Value	Y/N	Evalanatory Notes
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	T/IN	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical asphalt parking lot and as such does not have significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	937-943 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130232
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	Dining Cont At the Restaurant
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	937-943 K	ING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130232	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is an intact example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address  Municipality  Rail Corridor  Rail Corridor  PIN  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built ime	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Rail Corridor  PIN  172100011  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  B-Line  Previous function  Gommercial  Previous function  Corrent function  Previous function  Cormercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  B-Line  172100011  Previous function  B-Line  Previous function  Commercial  Financial  None  None	Municipal Address	924 KING ST E
PIN 172100011  Ownership Private Private Private Private Page Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth Imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON
Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line
Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172100011
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Financial  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Previous function(s)  Financial  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Exterior, street-view photo	
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Previous function(s)  Financial  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	T	Pre-1966
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None  None		Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	
Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None  None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Bank of New Brunswick (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Commercial
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Financial
200al Heritage interest		None
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	924 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100011	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century urban cinder block commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining maintaining or	Yes	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
<ul> <li>it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;</li> <li>it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,</li> <li>it is a landmark?</li> </ul>		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	945 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130230	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	LCBO (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	945 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17213023	0
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building and parking lot. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
contextual value because:  it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, it is a landmark?		potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	949 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130229
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	949 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130229	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	951-953 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130228
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	951-953 K	ING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130228	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1-5 FAIRHOLT RD N	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130227	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1-5 FAIRHOLT RD N	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130227	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is a good example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	957 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130225	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	957 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130225	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building and parking lot. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	970 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172100095		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	970 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100095	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
or construction method; • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?		
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	972 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172100096		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	972 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100096	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
or construction method; • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?		
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	974 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100097	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	974 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100097	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	976 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100098	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	CLEAMING CENTRE	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	976 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172100098		
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	976 1/2 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100099	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	CLEANING CENTRE	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Labelled as 'coin laundry' on 1966 Fire Insurance Plan	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	976 1/2 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100099	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	·	
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	3 BARNESDALE AVE S	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100154	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	3 BARNESI	DALE AVE S
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172100154	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early house that has been heavily altered, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Recommendation: A CHER is recommended for this property

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	987 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130212
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Medical
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	987 KING S	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130212	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	.,	Explanatory notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth-century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	999 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130211
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	999 KING	ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	17213021	172130211	
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1005 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130210	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	2 Andrepside Figure - month (Fig.	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1005 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130210	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not Federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building in Hamilton. It has been altered and is now used solely for commercial space. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor  PIN 172100214  Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Emagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	996 KING ST E	
PIN 172100214  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  As, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Einagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Einagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172100214	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google E imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Pre-1966	
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Current function Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Current function	Hamilton Hydro-Electric Substation	
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
2000 Heritage interest		None	
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjucent lands	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	996 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17210021	4
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		, ,
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is an example of an intact hydro-electric substation design in the early twentieth century. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	Yes	This property is directly associated with early hydro-electric development in the city of Hamilton. It has potential for significant historical and associative value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1025 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172120003		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1950		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	Hamilton's Heritage Volume 7B		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	McDonnell and Lenz (architect) and James Kemp Construction (builder)		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Religious		
Previous function(s)	N/A		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	Listed on Hamilton's Heritage Volumes 2 and 7B		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1025 KING	G ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17212000	3
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is an example of a Romanesque revival church, uncommon in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is a landmark in the community and as such has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

imagery	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor PIN 172110025  Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known at this time  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Ourcent function  Residential  Undetermined  None  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1018 KING ST E	
PIN 172110025  Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth Imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function  Residential  Previous function  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172110025	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  None  None  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		1966-1978	
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  Imagery  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Vindentiale  None  None		Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):		
Current function Residential Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Residential	
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Undetermined	
		None	
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None	
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1018 KING	G ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110025	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is an example of late twentieth century apartment building with typical late twentieth century architectural features, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1024 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110026	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1024 KING	G ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17211002	6
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is a good example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building with art moderne influence in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1026 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110027	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1026 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17211002	7
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a mid-twentieth century multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1055 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172120029		
Ownership	Public		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	c.1930		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	Hamilton's Municipal Register		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Recreational		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	Registered Non-Designated, to be designated in 2017-2018		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1055 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	17212002	172120029	
Ownership	Public		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	Yes	The Jimmy Thompson Memorial Pool was built in 1930 for the first British Empire Games. It is the only standing structure remaining associated with the games. It has potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1030 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172110042		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1030 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110042	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	I/IV	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical asphalt parking lot with a small brick car sales office, it does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1 PROSPECT ST S		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172110078		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1 PROSPECT ST S	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110078	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1094-1098 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172110110		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1094-1098 KING ST E		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172110110		
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	.,	Explanatory notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1 BALSAM AVE S		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172110137		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1 BALSAM AVE S	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110137	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is a good intact example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1125-1127 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120034	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	DOWNESSCRIE	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1125-1127 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120034	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	3-7 CONNAUGHT AVE S		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172110164		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1928		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	Date stone on the building		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	3-7 CONN/	AUGHT AVE S
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110164	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1144 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110165	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1144 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172110165	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
or construction method; • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or, • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?		
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1135 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172120062		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1966-1978		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1135 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120062	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1137 1/2 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120063	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1925	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	Date stamp on building	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1137 1/2 F	KING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120063	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support
landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?		the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1139 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120064	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1139 KINO	G ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120064	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual
<ul> <li>landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:         <ul> <li>it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;</li> <li>it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,</li> <li>it is a landmark?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property  Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Pro	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1141-1143 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120065	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	Hang of Soll 305 237/AFE	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1141-1143	3 KING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120065	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support
landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?		the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1145 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120066	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	Fastis of Polanti TOSITS	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1145 KINO	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120066	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1149-1151 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120067	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo	TRATEC HISTORY SIGNAL STRATECT HISTORY SIGNAL SIGNA	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	Listed on Hamilton's Heritage Volume 2	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1149-1151	L KING ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172120067	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is one in a row of early two storey multi-use buildings, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above. This property remains intact and is a good representative example of this common type of building in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is one in a row of intact mixed use buildings. It helps to support the character of this area. This property has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1150 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260247
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	N/A
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Vacant
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1150 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260247	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a manicured lawn with advertising signs. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	Although this property is within the boundaries of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a previous study, it does not contribute to the character of the area and therefore does not have potential for contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	
Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property		

Screening Outcome: This is not a heritage property

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1153 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260085	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Labelled as 'gasoline service station' on 1966 Fire Insurance Plan	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Commercial	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1153 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260085	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Recommendation: A CHER is not recommended for this property because the Hamilton LRT Project does not directly impact any of the heritage attributes associated with this property

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1155 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260086
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Delta Auto Sales Ltd. (1966 Fire Insurance Plan)
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Commercial
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1155 KINO	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17226008	6
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or	Yes	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
supporting the character of an area; • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, • it is a landmark?		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address  Municipality  Municipality  Makulton  Balle  PIN  17260118  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imageny, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  Adjacent lands	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Rail Corridor  B-Line  PIN  172260118  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Early twentieth century  or estimated)  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  AS, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1173 KING ST E
PIN 17260118  Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1965 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None	Municipality	HAMILTON
Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172260118
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography. Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Exterior, street-view photo	
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Early twentieth century
imagery, and date stone on the building  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		1940
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	
Current function Residential Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Residential
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined
		None
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1173 KINO	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260118	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early twentieth century house with a commercial brick addition to the front façade, likely added in the 1940s and which has since been converted back to residential use. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1175 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260119
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1175 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260119	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1177 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260291	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1177 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17226029	1
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early twentieth century house with a large gabled dormer and front porch, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1179 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172260292		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1914		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		
1			

Municipal Address	1179 KING	G ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260292	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is an example of a early frame house that has had the exterior altered. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address  Municipality  Rail Corridor  B-Line  PIN  172260122  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  As, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor PIN 172260122 Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASJ, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1181 KING ST E	
PIN 172260122  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  None  Local Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  None  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172260122	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, Google Earth imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Pre-1914	
imagery, and Municipal Planner input  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):		
Current function Residential  Previous function(s) Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Current function	Residential	
Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
		None	
Adjacent lands No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None	
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1181 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17226012	2
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

	v//**	E. January Natura
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is an example of a early frame house that has had the exterior altered. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1183 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260123
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1183 KING	ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	B-Line	
PIN	17226012	172260123	
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century vinyl clad house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or	Yes	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
supporting the character of an area; it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, it is a landmark?		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1185 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260124
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1185 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17226012	4
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century vinyl clad house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1191 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260219
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Labelled as 'gasoline service station' on 1966 Fire Insurance Plan
Current function	Commercial
Previous function(s)	Commercial
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1191 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17226021	9
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	I/IV	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical asphalt parking lot with a small brick car sales office, it does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address Municipality Municipality Mail Corridor Pile Pin 172260245 Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated) Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated) Date source(s): As, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eartimagery Architect/designer/builder (and source) Unknown at this time Previous owner(s) or occupants Unknown at this time Current function Previous function(s) Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal) Noe Cucil Heritage Interest Noe Adjacent lands No protected heritage properties	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor  PIN 172260245  Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth magery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1197 KING ST E	
PIN 172260245  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth Imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172260245	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None  None	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None  None		Pre-1966	
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None  None		Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Current function Residential Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Residential	
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
	Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal,	None	
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None	
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1197 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17226024	5
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. The later addition to the front is an unsympathetic style. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has
contextual value because:  it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  it is a landmark?		potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1199 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260244	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	
_		

Municipal Address	1199 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17226024	4
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is an example of an early style house which has had major additions to the front façade in the twentieth century. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
contextual value because:  it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area; it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, it is a landmark?		potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1201 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172130227
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Residential
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1201 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172130227	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1203 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172260242	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1203 KING	G ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	B-Line	
PIN	17226024	2	
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address  Municipality  HAMILTON  B-Line  PIN  172260241  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goomagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Residential	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor  PIN 172260241  Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Asl, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	Municipal Address	1205 KING ST E	
PIN 172260241  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	PIN	172260241	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Goo imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time		Pre-1966	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time	2 4 5 6 7 8 8 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time		ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Trevious owner(s) or decupants	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Current function Residential	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
	Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s) Undetermined	Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)		None	
Local Heritage Interest None	Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands No protected heritage properties	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1205 KING	G ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	B-Line	
PIN	17226024	1	
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1207 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172260240
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1207 KING	G ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	B-Line	
PIN	17226024	0	
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house, common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or	Yes	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
supporting the character of an area;  it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  it is a landmark?		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address  Municipality  HAMILTON  Rail Corridor  PIN  172270071  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  As, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  No protected heritage properties	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor PIN 172270071  Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources (s):  ASJ, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1220 KING ST E	
PIN Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  None  Date sources (known or estimated)  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated) Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated) Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eartimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asi, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172270071	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Pre-1966	
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	=	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  Commercial  Undetermined  None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Commercial	
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
		None	
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None	
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1220 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17227007	1
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an Edwardian style house and twentieth century commercial building, both common in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1211-1215 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270127	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1211-1215 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17227012	7
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

	V/404	
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or	Yes	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.
supporting the character of an area; • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or, • it is a landmark?		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1217 KING ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270128
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1217 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270128	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06			
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.	
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.	
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is located within a previously identified Cultural Heritage Landscape. It has potential for significant contextual value.	
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping	
Screening Outcomes	Y/N		
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No		
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes		
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No		
Screening Outcome: This is a Conditional Heritage Property			

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	2 GLENDALE AVE N	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270134	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	2 GLENDA	LE AVE N
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270134	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is an intact example of an early house, common in Hamilton. It features a wraparound porch and Edwardian design elements. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor  PIN 172270135  Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Asl, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Emagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1253 KING ST E	
PIN 172270135  Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Achitect/designer/builder (and source)  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172270135	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Einagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eimagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Current function  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google E imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Exterior, street-view photo	Everatcher [1]	
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google E imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Pre-1966	
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  Unknown at this time  Commercial  Undetermined  None	Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Current function Commercial Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Current function	Commercial	
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
		None	
	Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1253 KING	G ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17227013	5
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1257 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270136	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1257 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270136	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1265 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270137	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Residential	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1265 KING	ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270137	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early multi-unit low rise apartment building in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1267 KING ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270138	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	N/A	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Vacant	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1267 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270138	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a manicured lawn with advertising signs. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	Although this property is within the boundaries of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a previous study, it does not contribute to the character of the area and therefore does not have potential for contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1273-1279 KING ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172270151		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1273-1279 KING ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270151	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address         1399 KING ST E           Municipality         HAMILTON           Rail Corridor         172270178           Ownership         Private           Aerial photo showing location and boundaries         See Appendix D           Exterior, street-view photo         Pre-1966           Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)         Pre-1966           Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)         Unknown at this time           Date sources (known or estimated)         Unknown at this time           Date sources (known or estimated)         Unknown at this time           Date source(s):         AsJ, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery           Architect/designer/builder (and source)         Unknown at this time           Previous owner(s) or occupants         Unknown at this time           Current function         Commercial           Previous function(s)         Undetermined           Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)         None           Local Heritage Interest         None	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Rail Corridor PIN 172270178 Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated) Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated) Date source(s): As, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth magery  Architect/designer/builder (and source) Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants Unknown at this time  Current function Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1309 KING ST E
PIN 172270178 Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated) Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated) Date source(s): Así, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Diknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON
Ownership Private  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries See Appendix D  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172270178
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Ownership	Private
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Exterior, street-view photo	
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None		Pre-1966
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None  None		Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Date source(s):	
Current function Commercial Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Commercial
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined
		None
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1309 KING	S ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	B-Line	
PIN	17227017	8	
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property featues a late nineteenth- early twentieth-century two storey residence which has been significantly altered and is used for commercial purposes. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
<ul> <li>landscape reatures, appear to have significant contextual value because:         <ul> <li>it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;</li> <li>it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,</li> <li>it is a landmark?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1119 MAIN ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270237	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1119 MAI	N ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17227023	7
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

	V. 40.	
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early brick commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.  This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
<ul> <li>landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:         <ul> <li>it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;</li> <li>it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,</li> <li>it is a landmark?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant?  (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1141 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270281
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1141 MAIN	N ST E	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	B-Line	
PIN	172270283	172270281	
Ownership	Private		
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.	
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.	
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.	
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.	
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.	
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.	
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.	
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.	
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.	
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.	
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.	
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.	
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes	
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.	
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.	

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Nie	This property is a trusted expensely of an early true at any model was building
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

Municipal Address  Municipality  Rail Corridor  B-Une  PIN  172770282  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date sources(s):  ASJ, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  Adjacent lands	FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Rail Corridor  PIN  172270282  Ownership  Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipal Address	1143 MAIN ST E	
PIN 172270282 Ownership Private Aerial photo showing location and boundaries Exterior, street-view photo  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated) Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated) Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth Imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  Local Heritage Interest  None	Municipality	HAMILTON	
Ownership Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Rail Corridor	B-Line	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries  Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	PIN	172270282	
Exterior, street-view photo  Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASJ, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Ownership	Private	
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date sources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
or estimated)  Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None	Exterior, street-view photo		
resources (known or estimated)  Date source(s):  ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Pre-1966	
imagery  Architect/designer/builder (and source)  Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None		Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants  Unknown at this time  Current function  Mixed use: residential and commercial  Previous function(s)  Undetermined  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  None  None  None	Date source(s):		
Current function Mixed use: residential and commercial Previous function(s) Undetermined Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest None	Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous function(s)  Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None  None	Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial	
provincial or federal)  Local Heritage Interest  None	Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
		None	
Adjacent lands  No protected heritage properties	Local Heritage Interest	None	
	Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1143 MAI	N ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	17227028	2
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	3 BALMORAL AVE S		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172290012		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Residential		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	3 BALMOR	RAL AVE S
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172290012	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is an example of a unique early multi-unit residence which is massed like a single detatched structure. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA
Municipal Address	1145-1147 MAIN ST E
Municipality	HAMILTON
Rail Corridor	B-Line
PIN	172270301
Ownership	Private
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D
Exterior, street-view photo	DELTA BELGET SPOT RESTAURANT
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial
Previous function(s)	Undetermined
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None
Local Heritage Interest	None
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties

Municipal Address	1145-114	7 MAIN ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270301	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	This property is a good example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. It has potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	This property does not have potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1147 1/2 MAIN ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172270302		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo	Martial Arts Frances		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1147 1/2	MAIN ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270302	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

	V/101	Embassian Nation
Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of a twentieth century commercial building. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA		
Municipal Address	1149-1151 MAIN ST E		
Municipality	HAMILTON		
Rail Corridor	B-Line		
PIN	172270303		
Ownership	Private		
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D		
Exterior, street-view photo			
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1922		
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time		
Date source(s):	Date stone on the building		
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time		
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time		
Current function	Mixed use: residential and commercial		
Previous function(s)	Undetermined		
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None		
Local Heritage Interest	None		
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties		

Municipal Address	1149-1151	L MAIN ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270303	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	This property is a typical example of an early two storey multi-use building, with commercial space on the main floor and residences above, in Hamilton. The main floor has been altered and the building does not retain original windows. It does not have potential for significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	This property does not have potential for significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1175 MAIN ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270305	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	1918-1919	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	Hamilton Wentworth District School Board (http://www.hwdsb.on.ca/memorialcity/about/history/)	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	J. D. Hutton (http://www.hwdsb.on.ca/memorialcity/about/history/)	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	School	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	Registered Non-Designated	
Local Heritage Interest	Listed on Hamilton's Heritage Volume 2; municipal plaque commemorating "Memorial Hall" on the property.	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1175 MAIN	N ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172270305	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06  Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	Yes	The property retains a two storey, brick school with what appears to be stone elements used in the entrance. The building is representative of educational architecture from the first half of the twentieth-century and thus retains significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	Yes	The property is associated with education within the City of Hamilton and thus retains significant historical value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	The property is important in defining the character of the area and serves as a landmark and therefore is considered to have significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1203 MAIN ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172410023	
Ownership	Public	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	N/A	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Eart imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	N/A	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Parking Lot	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1203 MAI	N ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172410023	
Ownership	Public	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	No	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	The property does not have any extant built features and thus does not have significant design value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	The property does not have direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization, or institution that is significant to a community. Therefore, the property does not have significant historical or associative value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	No	Although this property is within the boundaries of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a previous study, it does not contribute to the character of the area and therefore does not have potential for contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	No	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	

FIELD	PROPERTY DATA	
Municipal Address	1205 MAIN ST E	
Municipality	HAMILTON	
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172410024	
Ownership	Private	
Aerial photo showing location and boundaries	See Appendix D	
Exterior, street-view photo		
Date of construction of built resources (known or estimated)	Pre-1966	
Date of significant alterations to built resources (known or estimated)	Unknown at this time	
Date source(s):	ASI, based on review of 1966 Fire Insurance Plan, 1978 aerial photography, and Google Earth imagery	
Architect/designer/builder (and source)	Unknown at this time	
Previous owner(s) or occupants	Unknown at this time	
Current function	Commercial	
Previous function(s)	Undetermined	
Heritage Recognition/Protection (municipal, provincial or federal)	None	
Local Heritage Interest	None	
Adjacent lands	No protected heritage properties	

Municipal Address	1205 MAII	N ST E
Rail Corridor	B-Line	
PIN	172410024	
Ownership	Private	
Screening for Recognized Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
If the property includes a railway station, is it designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	N/A	This property does not include a rail station designated under the Heritage Railway Protection Act.
If the property includes a bridge, is it on the Heritage Bridge List?`	N/A	This property does not include a bridge.
Is the property federally owned, and is a building on it designated as a Federal Heritage Building?	No	This property is not federally owned.
Is the property provincially owned or occupied, and has it been identified as a Provincial Heritage Property?	No	This property is not provincially owned.
Is the property a National Historic Site?	No	This property is not a National Historic Site.
Is the property commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust?	No	This property is not commemorated by the Ontario Heritage Trust.
Is the property subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement?	No	This property is not subject to an Ontario Heritage Trust Conservation Easement.
Is the property municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part IV.
Is the property part of a municipally designated Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V?	No	This property is not municipally designated under the OHA, Part V.
Is the property listed on a municipal register?	No	This property is not listed on a municipal register.
Has the heritage value of the property been identified or protected by the municipality through other planning documents, easements or commemorations? (eg. Heritage overlay, official plan provisions, zoning)	No	This property has not been identified or protected by the municipality through any planning documents, easements or commemorations.
Is the subject property recognized or valued by an Aboriginal community?	N/A	Consultation with Indigenous communities is outside the scope of the study.
Screening for Age	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Does the property have built resources that appear to be more than 40 years of age?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historical mapping and air photos.
Does the property have landscape features that may have been created or altered more than 40 years ago?	Yes	Confirmed through review of historic mapping and air photos.

Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
or Interest using Ontario Reg. 9/06		
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant design value because:  • it is a rare, unique, representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method;  • it displays a high degree of craftsmanship or artistic merit; or,  • it demonstrates a high degree of technical or scientific achievement?	No	The property retains a modern, single-storey structure that is not rare or unique, and nor is it a representative or early example of a style, type, expression, material or construction method. The property therefore does not retain significant heritage value.
Does the property, its built resources or landscape features, appear to have significant historical or associative value because:  • it has direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization or institution that is significant to a community;  • it yields or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture; or,  • it demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community?	No	The property does not have direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization, or institution that is significant to the community. As such, the property does not have significant historical or associative value.
Does the property, its built resources or its landscape features, appear to have significant contextual value because:  • it is important in defining, maintaining or supporting the character of an area;  • it is physically, functionally, visually or historically linked to its surroundings; or,  • it is a landmark?	Yes	This property is part of a Cultural Heritage Landscape identified during a 2012 study, it works to contribute to the character of the area and therefore has potential for significant contextual value.
Screening for Adjacency to Protected Properties	Y/N	Explanatory Notes
Is the property adjacent to a designated property under the OHA, Part IV, a Heritage Conservation District under the OHA, Part V, or a property that is protected by a heritage easement or covenant? (Use the definition of adjacency in the municipal official plan, or if there is none, the definition of adjacency in the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005.)	No	Consulted municipal heritage register, municipal heritage/planning staff and digital heritage mapping
Screening Outcomes	Y/N	
Potential Provincial Heritage Property	No	
Conditional Heritage Property	Yes	
Adjacent Land to a Protected Heritage Property	No	