Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Appendix K Engagement

K.1 Contact List



Agency	Title	First Name	Last Name	Department	Title	Address	City	Province	Postal	Phone	Email	Comments/Notes
rovincial Agencies						-						
linistry of the Environment,		-1	1				T			T .		
Conservation and Parks				Environmental As	sessment & Approvals Bra	nch	4				eanotification.wcregion@ontario.ca	
	1								7			
		- 1				-			4			
Ministry of Citizenship and	7	W. C. C. S	100 07 5	Culture Services	N. 00 1 7 GM	401 Bay Street,	Les es es	50K	VIII. 272	1	The state of the s	
Multiculturalism		Joseph	Harvey	Unit	Heritage Planner	Suite 1700	Toronto	ON	M7A 0A7		joseph.harvey@ontario.ca	
nfrastructure Ontario											noticereview@infrastructureontario.c	ca
Ministry of Transportation		Alice	Kam					1			Alice.Kam@ontario.ca	
Municipal Departments		T dies	T Carry								7 Hoo. Karries of Rairo. Ga	
ano par a oparanomo	1	Jessica	Abrahamse	Planning and Economic	Natural Heritage Planner	Ì	T		T	1	1 1	
Sib. of Llowellton	Durel Team			Development								
City of Hamilton	Rural Team	Longo	Akindina	Public Works	Senior Project Manager,						jessica.abrahamse@hamilton.ca	
		Lanre	Akindipe	Public Works	Transportation Systems	10.000						
					7-25 - 322-16-20-17							
city of Hamilton		0.1		DI A.F					_			
		Carlo	Ammendolia	Planning & Economic Development	Manager, Development Engineering Construction							
City of Hamilton												
City of Hamilton		Anderton	Melanie	Planning & Economic	Project Manager						111	
City of Hamilton	4	Mushfigur	Rahman	Development Public Works	Senior Project Manager,		-		1			
		Musimqui	Samilan	dolic Works	Transportation Engineering							
Situat Hamilton											1 L	
City of Hamilton		Stove	Molloy	Diagning 9 Essensis	Manager Transportation Discrip-				1		1	
		Steve	Molloy	Planning & Economic Development	Manager, Transportation Planning						4 I	
City of Hamilton	4						10				± 0	
councillors and Administrati	ive Staff		2	V			10		X	1/2	2 2	
City of Hamilton		Andrea	Horwath		Mayor							
NA		Karla	Webber-Gallagher		Mayor Office-Chief of Staff				-			
City of Hamilton		Ctockers	Hanada		Marine Office Colonidation							
City of Hamilton		Stephane	Hamade		Mayor Office-Scheduling							
		James	McCleary		Mayor Office- Receptionist							
City of Hamilton		Manage	VA/31		Company World				_	4		
City of Hamilton		Maureen	Wilson		Councillor, Ward 1			-				
City of Hamilton		Stephanie	Hilson		Strategic Initiatives & Engagement Advisor							
City of Hamilton		Mary Louise	Pigott		Constiturent Navigator							
City of Hamilton	1	Cameron	Kroetsch	1	Councillor, Ward 2		1	-	1			
		Hasnain	Khan	+	Constituency Coordinator			1				
City of Hamilton		10000	1777									
City of Hamilton	1	Liesl	Thomas		Constituency Coordinator		10.		1			
	-	Nrinder	Nann		Councillor, Ward 3			-	1			
City of Hamilton	+	Alexandra	Weinberger		Executive Assistant		+		3			
city of Hamilton		1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1			Office Assistant				+	2 1		1
City of Hamilton	-	Erin	Carr		1000							
City of Hamilton		Tammy	Hwang		Councillor, Ward 4							
city of Hamilton		Jacques	Hypolite		Administrative Assistant							
city of Hamilton		Pascale	Marchand		Constituency Assistant							
city of Hamilton	V -	Matt	Francis		Councillor, Ward 5						11.7	1 =
city of Hamilton		Maryana	Ceric		Executive Assistant				4			
ity of Hamilton		David	Ronald		Executive Administrator							
ity of Hamilton		Tom	Jackson		Councillor, Ward 6							
ity of Hamilton		Samantha	Kreidl		Assistant		0				1 - 1	
ity of Hamilton	7	Nancy	Burden		Assistant		(1)					
ity of Hamilton		Esther	Pauls		Councillor, Ward 7				-			
tity of Hamilton	1	Sam	Minniti	1	Chief Advisor & Constituency Ass	istant				1		+ -
city of Hamilton		Zora	Milovanovic		Administrative Assistant		1					
-		John-Paul	Danko		Councillor, Ward 8							
city of Hamilton		Nikola	Wojewoda-Patti		Administrative Assistant				+			
City of Hamilton		Timora	11 Ojo Houa-Falli		Commission C Assistant						. I	

										Branch Métis Nation of Ontario C: 705-528-4542 E: CassidyP@metisnation.org W: www.metisnation.org	Cassidy Shay Press (she/her) Climate Change Advisor Lands, Resources & Consultations (LRC) Branch Métis Nation of Ontario
Metis Consultation Unit					Metis Nation of Ontario	500 Old St. Patrick Street, Unit #3	Ottawa, ON	K1N 9G4	MNO Council and Regional Consultation Committee as the main contact (see email below): consultations@metisnation.org	Cassidy Shay Press (she/her) (CHECKS the main email box - no need to include her email when sending to the main box). Branch Coordinator Climate Change Advisor Lands, Resources & Consultations	Please send all consultations emails to our inbox at consultations@metisnation.org, rather than to individu staff emails. This inbox is regularly monitored by our branch coordinator, who will direct emails to the appropriate staff member or council for consideration. Thank you, Merci, Miigwech, Maarsii!
of Chiefs Council (HCCC)				(HDI) for the Haudenosaunee Confederacy of Chiefs Council (HCCC)		PO Box 714			Phone: 519-445-4222 Fax: 519-445-2389		should be sent through Todd Williams. https://www.haudenosauneeconfederacy.com/departnents/haudenosaunee-development-institute/
Haudenosaunee Development Institute (HDI) for the Haudenosaunee Confederac	у	Todd	Williams	Haudenosaunee Development Institute	Program Coordinator	16 Sunrise Court, Suite 600	Oshweken, ON	NOA 1M0		Williams.todde@gmail.com tworowarchaeology@gmail.com	Contacts on Indigenous Monitoring Policy Wayne Hill is no longer with HDL All correspondence
First Nations		T- 11	la rece	To a	15	lian : 0 100					
Cycle Hamilton		Jay	Krause		Chair					chair@cyclehamont.ca	
Hamilton International Airport		Linda	Castiglione	Contract and Lease Management	Manager,	9300 Airport Road, #2206	Mount Hope, ON	LOR 1W0		Icastiglione@flyhamilton.ca	
Hamilton International Airport		Cathie	Puckering		President & CEO	9300 Airport Road, #2206	Mount Hope, ON	LOR 1W1		cpuckering@flyhamilton.ca	
Hamilton International Airport		Diana	Rasula	Coordinator, Administration		9299 Airport Road, #2206	Mount Hope, ON	LOR 1W2		drasula@flvhamilton.ca	
Transportation				The second		and the same of					
Rogers	Mr	Richard	Bolliger		Municipal & Utility Relation	ons			519-894-8142	richard.bolliger@rci.rogers.co	
Group			1		Southern FBC Planning					southernFBCplanning@hydro	pone com
Hydro One Southern Planning	Mr	Robert	Krndija		Supervising Distribution I	Engineering Fech			905-628-7692	robert.krndija@hydroone.con	1
Enbridge HydroOne	Mr	Enzo	Greco		Advisor, Construction & F		T I		289-649-2061	egreco@uniongas.com	
Cogeco	Mr	Jordan	Poole		Network Planner - Permi				905-407-7554	jordan.poole@cogeco.ca	
Bell	Mr	Chris	Gill		Implementation Manager		twork Civil Structures		289-260-3934	chris.gill@bell.ca	
Alectra	Mr	Scott	Beaudrie		Supervisor, Engineering				905-521-4907	scott.beaudrie@alectrautilitie	s.com
Alectra	Mr	Mark	Jakubowski	Customer Capital	Supervisor, Design				905-317-4796	mark.jakubowski@alectrautili	
Utilities	,,	1,500,500	1,							, .	
Hamilton Conservation Authorit	•	Katherine	Menyes	Watershed Plann		839 Mineral Sprin		L9G 4X2		kmenyes@conservationhar	
Hamilton Conservation Authori	tv	Michael	Stone	Watershed Plann	ni Manager	838 Mineral Sprin	Ancaster, ON	L9G 4X1	(905) 525-2181 e	Mike.Stone@conservationha	amilton.ca
City of Hamilton Conservation Authority									1		
City of Hamilton		Jody	Aberdeen		Constituency and Administrative Assistant						
City of Llowellton		Micaela	Corcoran		Constituency and Administrative Assistant						
City of Hamilton		Alex	Wilson		Councillor, Ward 13						
City of Hamilton		Nancy	Hurst		Planning & Initiatives Advisor						
City of Hamilton		Sherri	Brown		Constituency Coordinator	1 -					
City of Hamilton		Craig	Cassar		Councillor, Ward 12						
City of Hamilton		Kristen	Demik		Office Assistant						
City of Hamilton		Mark	Tadeson		Councillor, Ward 11						
City of Hamilton		Jackie	Coffey		Administrative Assistant	1					
City of Hamilton		Jeff	Beattie		Councillor, Ward 10				1.4		
City of Hamilton City of Hamilton		Rob	Ribaric		Assistant				70		
'ity of Hamilton		Brad	Clark		Councillor, Ward 9						T _P

Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation	Adam	LaForme	Field Liaison Represenative with Department of Consultation and Accommodation (DOCA)	Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation	2789 Mississauga Road - RR #6	Hagersville, ON		mncfn.ca/mncfn- iment-contacts/ Please forward all Archaeological inquries to: Adam.LaForme@mncfn.ca and any Consultation inquires to abby.laforme@mncfn.ca or DOCA.Admin@mncfn.ca	Contact on Indigenous Monitoring Policy
Six Nations Land and Resources Department, Land Use Unit for the Six Nations of the Grand River Elected Council (SNEC)	Hill-Montour Bomberry LaForme Vanstone	Tanya Lonny Dawn Robbin	Archaeology Coordinator Director Secretary Consultation Supervisor	Six Nations Land and Resources Department, Land Use Unit for the Six Nations of the Grand Rive Elected Council (SNEC)	PO Box 5000	Oshweken, ON	N0A 1M0 http:/// // ands ctUs.h	Resources/Conta tanyahill-montour@sixnations.ca	9 Contacts on Indigenous Monitoring Policy
Huron Wendat First Nation at Wendake	Dominic Mario Lori-Jeanne Rémy	Ste-Marie Gros-Louis Bolduc Vincent	Huron Wendat First Nation at Wendake	Conseiller en gestion du territoire Grand Chief	255 Place Chef Michel- Laveau	Wendake, QC	G0A 4V0	For any new consultation from Ontario, contact: Dominic Ste-Marie,: dominic.ste-marie@wendake.ca Also copy: Mario Gros-Louis: Mario.Gros-Louis@cnhw.qc.ca & Lori-Jeanne Bolduc: lori-jeanne.bolduc@cnhw.qc.ca Stage 1 AA Reports go to Thiefaine.Terrier@wendake.ca	Contacts on Indigenous Monitoring Policy
Haudenosaunee Confederacy of								Charles	
Chief's Council	Todd	Williams						hdi2@bell.net	
Huron-Wendat First Nation	Maxime	Picard						maxime.picard@cnhw.qc.ca	
Huron-Wendat First Nation	Tina						- A 15	tina.durand@cnhw.qc.ca	V
Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation	Fawn	Sault						fawn.sault@mncfn.ca	
Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation	Megan	DeVries						megan.devries@mncfn.ca	
Six Nations of the Grand River Council	Tanya	Hill-Montour						tanyahill-montour@sixnations.ca	
Six Nations of the Grand River Council	Robin	Linn						rlinn@sixnations.ca	
Six Nations of the Grand River									
Council	Lonny	Bomberry	1		3			lonnybomberry@sixnations.ca	li li
Six Nations of the Grand River Council	Dawn	LaForme						dlaforme@sixnations.ca	
School Board Contacts			1						
Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	Dave	Anderson	Hamilton-Wentworth	Senior Manager, Facilities	100 King Sreet West -	Hamilton, ON	L8N 3L1	905-527-5092 ex 2202	
rench Catholic School Board	Albert	Beaudin	District School Board French Catholic School	Management	P.O. Box 2558 110 Drewry Avenue	North York, ON	M2M 1c8	daanders@hwdsb.on.ca	
AcMaster University	Anthony	Cupido	Board McMaster University	Adjunct Associate Professor, Civil Engineering	Campus Services	Hamilton, ON	L8S 4L8	905-525-9140 x23054 cupidot@mcmaster.ca	
Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	Dawn	Danko	Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board	Board Chair	Building, Room 109 20 Education Court Mailing address PO Box 2558 L8N 3L1	Hamilton, ON	L9A 0B9	905-527-5092 x2279 ddanko@hwdsb.on.ca	
Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Baord	Patrick J.	Daly	Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Baord	President of the Ontario Catholic School Trustees' Association (OCSTA)	90 Mulberry Street - P.O. Box 2012	Hamilton, ON	L8N 3R9	905-525-2930 x 2162 dalyp@hwcdsb.ca	
French Public School Board	Miguel	Ladouceur	French Public School Board	Director of Planning	116 Cornelius Parkway	Toronto, ON	M6L 2K5		

Mohawk College	Ron	Mckerlie	Mohawk College	President	135 Fennell Avenue West	Hamilton, ON	L9C 0E5		905-575-1212 x4170 xAdmin Asst Dir, Office of president@mohawkcollege.ca	
Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Baord	Paola	Pace-Gubekjian	Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Baord	Associate Director of Corporate Services	90 Mulberry Street - P.O. Box 2012	Hamilton, ON	L8N 3R9		905-525- 2930 x2309 pacep@hwcdsb.ca	
McMaster University	Тепу	Sullivan	McMaster University	Director, Security and Parking Services	1280 Main Street West, CUC-102	Hamilton, ON	L8S 4K1		905.525.9140 x23372 sullivan@mcmaster.ca	
Stakeholders										
citizens for Citizens Ward Three leigbourhoods	David	Beland	Citizens for Citizens Ward Three Neigbourhoods	Chairperson	182 St. Clair Boulevard	Hamilton, ON	L8M 2P1	905-549-4407 dbeland@istar.ca		
awson Park Ltd	Graham	Flint	Lawson Park Ltd	Chairman	PO Box 15 R.R.1	Freelton, ON	LOR 1KO	905-659-5417		
Bruce Trail Conservancy	Adam	Brylowski	Bruce Trail Conservancy	Land Stewardship Coordinator	PO Box 857	Hamilton, ON	L8N 3N9	info@stopthequarry.ca Phone: 905-529-6821 ext 26 Fax: 905-529-6823 abrylowski@brucetrail.or		Physical / courier address: Rasberry House Arboretum Section Royal Botanical Gardens Old Guelph Rd. Dundas, ON L9H 5Y6
invironment Hamilton	lan	Borsuk	Environment Hamilton	Executive Director	22 Wilson Street, Unit 4	Hamilton, ON	L8R 1G7	905-549-0900 contactus@environment hamilton.org		Fslatter
Bay Area Restoration Council	Chris	McLaughlin	Bay Area Restoration Council	Executive Director	LSB-B130F, McMaster University	Hamilton, ON	L8S 4K1	905-527-7111 cmclaughlin@hamiltonh arbour.ca		
Citizens at City Hall (CATCH)	Don	McLean	Citizens at City Hall (CATCH)	Organizer				905-664-8796 info@hamiltoncatch.org		
Community Action Program for Children	Alison	Miller	Community Action Program for Children	Community Outreach/Volunteer Coordinator	150 Violet Drive	Hamilton, ON	L8E 6B4	905-546-4295 capc@capc.hamilton.on		
Weaver Community Hub	Alex	Moroz	Weaver Community Hub	Chair	Cathy Weaver Elementary School, 160 Wentworth St. N.	Hamilton, ON	L8L 5V7	905-973-4064 amoroz@cogeco.ca		
Hamilton Wentworth Council of Home & School Associations	Angela	Murchie	Hamilton Wentworth Council of Home & School Associations	President				hwcouncil@gmail.com		
lamilton Waterfront Trust	Werner	Plessl	Hamilton Waterfront Trust	Executive Director	47 Discovery Drive	Hamilton, ON	L8L 8K4	905-523-4498 x22 wplessl@hamiltonwaterf ront.com		
Hamilton Community Foundation	Sandra	Stephenson	Hamilton Community Foundation	Chair	120 King Street West, Suite 700	Hamilton, ON	L8P 4V2	information@hcf.on.ca		
Public		-	-					4		
	112									
	4							4.1		

Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Appendix K Engagement

K.2 Notifications





Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Notice of Study Commencement and Public Information Centre #1


The Study

The City of Hamilton has initiated a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) process to develop and assess alternative solutions to improve transportation along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp) (map below). The EA will assess options to improve traffic, active

transportation, and stormwater management throughout the corridor.

Process

This project is being carried out as a Schedule C project under the Municipal Engineers Association *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment* (October 2000, as amended in 2007, 2011, 2015, and 2023). The purpose of the first Public



Information Centre (PIC) will review the problem/opportunity statement, the alternative solutions, and the recommended solution. A second PIC will be held at a later date to review the project's alternative designs and the associated evaluation process.

How to Participate

In-Person Public Information Centre	Review Materials Online
DATE: Monday, December 11, 2023 TIME: 6:00 p.m. – 8:00 p.m. (open house format) LOCATION: Ancaster Old Town Hall, 310 Wilson Street East	Visit the project webpage anytime from December 4 th , 2023, to January 1 st , 2024, to view the information display panels. Following the live event, a recorded presentation will also be posted. Materials are available 24 hours a
The PIC will be a drop-in style session where information will be provided about the project.	day, 7 days a week.
Project team members will be available to answer questions.	The project website is: https://engage.hamilton.ca/GarnerRoadEA

If you have any accessibility requirements to review the PIC materials and provide comments, please contact the City Project Manager below.

For more information, to provide comments, or to be added to our mailing list, please contact:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 2732

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643

Email: <u>isaac.bartlett@stantec.com</u>

Information will be collected in accordance with the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

This Notice will be issued December 1st, 2023, and December 8th, 2023, in the Hamilton Spectator.



Garner Road Municipal Class EA


Public Information Centre (PIC) #2

The Study

The City has initiated a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 6 off-ramp) to improve transportation infrastructure. Falling along the S-Line of the BLAST Rapid Transit Network, the study will ensure that the transit priority corridor supports multi-modal (pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles) safety, capacity, traffic management and consistency with neighbouring road segments on Garner Road. The EA process is developing and assessing alternative designs to improve traffic, active transportation, and stormwater management throughout the corridor.

Process

This project is being carried out as a Schedule C project under the Municipal Engineers
Association
Municipal Class
Environmental
Assessment
(October 2000, as amended in 2007, 2011, 2015, and 2023).



The purpose of this

second Public Information Centre (PIC) is to present the preferred design alternatives and the associated evaluation process.

How to Participate

Review Materials Anytime

Visit the project webpage anytime from August 15th to September 10th to view the information display panels. A comment form will be available until midnight on September 10th. Following the live event, a video recording of the presentation will also be posted. Materials are available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

The project website is:

https://engage.hamilton.ca/GarnerRoadEA

Join in person PIC

DATE: Thursday, August 22, 2024

TIME: 6:00 p.m. – 8:00 p.m. (open house

format)

LOCATION: Ancaster Old Town Hall, 310

Wilson Street East

The PIC will be a drop-in style session where information will be provided about the project. Project team members will be available to

answer questions.

If you have any accessibility requirements in order to be able to review the PIC materials and provide comments, please contact the City Project Manager below as soon as possible.

For more information, to provide comments, or to be added to our mailing list, please contact:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643

Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com

Information will be collected in accordance with the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

This Notice was issued August 9th, 2024 and August 16th, 2024 in the Hamilton Spectator.

Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Appendix K Engagement

K.3 Public Information Centre 1







Garner Road

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment City of Hamilton

Public Information Centre 1

December 11, 2023



Welcome!



The goals of this Public Information Centre (PIC) are to:



Introduce the project and why it is being undertaken



Provide an overview of the process that this study is following



Provide a summary of the Problems and Opportunities



Present existing conditions within the study area



Present Alternative Solutions and draft evaluation criteria

Answer questions and provide an opportunity to get involved

Comments received will be used to help identify the approach for improvements within the study area.

Project Summary



The City of Hamilton initiated a Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) to develop and assess Alternative Solutions to improve transportation along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp). The EA will assess options to improve traffic, active transportation, transit, and stormwater management throughout the corridor. The improvements are required to support future growth within Hamilton, specifically the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD).



Municipal Class EA Process



The Municipal Class EA study process frames the planning and implementation of municipal infrastructure.

An EA is a planning process for municipal infrastructure, legislated by the *Ontario Environmental Assessment Act*. This EA study is being conducted as a Schedule 'C' project under the Municipal Class EA document (October 2000, as amended) and includes Phases 1 to 4.

Phase 1: Problem and Opportunity

- Review background planning and policy documents
- Identify study area needs, problems and opportunities

Phase 2: Alternative Planning Solutions

- Complete inventories of existing conditions (socioeconomic, natural and cultural environments)
- Identify and evaluate feasible alternative solutions
- Select Recommended Alternative Solution
- Present to public and agencies for comment

We are here

Phase 3:

Alternative Design Concepts

- Develop and evaluate Design Alternatives
- Identify Impacts and Mitigation Measures
- Select a Recommended Design Alternative
- Present to public and agencies for comment

Phase 4: Environmental Study Report

- Document the decision-making process in an Environmental Study Report (ESR)
- Circulate draft ESR to agencies for review
- Publish Notice of Study Completion for 30-day comment period

Phase 5: Implementation

- Complete Contract Drawings and Tender Documents
- Construction and Operation
- Monitoring for Environmental Provisions and Commitments

Hamilton

Planning and Policy Context

Hamilton Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD) Transportation Master Plan

The AEGD Transportation Master Plan (TMP) was developed to prepare a transportation strategy that would accommodate an increase of over 28,000 people/employees by the year 2031 within the AEGD. The AEGD TMP recommends road widening, rapid transit, cycling infrastructure and transportation demand management (TDM) measures along Garner Road.

Ancaster Transportation Master Plan (ATMP)

The 2011 ATMP identifies improvements to support mobility to the year 2031. The ATMP identifies Garner Road as a Major Arterial Road and Full Time Truck Route. Identified improvements along Garner Road include road widening with left turn lanes as required.



Airport Employment Growth District

Problem & Opportunity



Garner Road from Wilson Street to the Highway 403 ramp is a rural cross-section with inadequate transportation facilities to accommodate existing and future road users (pedestrians, cyclists, transit, commercial vehicles, and autos). Garner Road has no cycling facilities and discontinuous sidewalks. The existing Garner Road corridor cannot support the projected growth within the AEGD.

Improvements to Garner Road are required to accommodate existing and future transportation needs. Improvements will include road widening for the implementation of rapid transit and active transportation (i.e., bike lanes, sidewalks, multi-use paths).







Natural Environment



Major Road

Minor Road


Hydro Line

Fish Survey Point


Existing Conditions

The study area includes the following significant natural features:

- Wetlands, including "Key Natural Heritage / Hydrologic Feature Wetlands"
- Woodlands, including "Key Natural Heritage Feature Significant Woodlands"
- Breeding and migratory bird nests
- Wildlife habitat, including bat maternity roost trees, snake hibernacula, amphibian breeding habitat, marsh breeding habitat, turtle
 nesting areas



Headwater Drainage Feature



Cultural Environment



Existing Conditions

Archaeological Resources

A Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment was completed as part of this study.

- 21 archaeological assessments have been previously carried out within the study area.
- 132 archaeological sites have been identified within one kilometer of the study area. Of those, 9
 were located within the study area, all of which are pre-contact Indigenous.
- Approximately 40% of the study area retains potential for the recovery of archaeological resources and requires a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment.

Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes


A review of existing built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes within the study area will be completed. The City of Hamilton Heritage Mapping and Resources has identified heritage properties within the study area, including the following:

- Designated Heritage Properties (has cultural heritage value designated through a by-law)
 - o 1 cemetery, 4 residences
- Inventoried Heritage Properties (identified as having potential for heritage value, but not designated)
 - o 2 cemeteries, 2 churches and cemeteries, 11 residences

Transportation Existing Conditions

Hamilton

The existing corridor includes a two-lane roadway with turning lanes at select intersections, which are either stop controlled or signalized.



Historical collision data indicates that the corridor has a relatively low collision rate. The highest recorded collisions were observed at the intersection of Fiddler's Green Road and Garner Road.

Intersection	Collisions (C)	Volume (V)	Data Period (N)	Collision Rate (R)
RAMP WILSON EB TO GARNER EB @ GARNER RD W	2	22,114	5	0.05
WILSON ST W @ RAMP WILSON EB TO GARNER EB	1	16,583	5	0.03
GARNER RD W @ MCCLURE RD	2	14,743	5	0.07
GARNER RD W @ SHAVER RD	5	22,551	5	0.12
GARNER RD W @ HAMILTON DR	3	27,171	5	0.06
GARNER RD W @ PANABAKER DR	2	27,289	5	0.04
FIDDLER'S GREEN RD @ GARNER RD W	9	30,761	5	0.16
ANSON DR @ GARNER RD E	1	22,551	5	0.02
GARNER RD E @ MILLER DR	2	23,517	5	0.05
GARNER RD E @ RAMP 403 WB TO GARNER	2	77,478	5	0.01

Transportation Level of Service



Existing Conditions

The existing corridor was also reviewed to determine the overall Multi-modal Level of Service (MMLOS) for transit, bicycles, pedestrians, autos and trucks to indicate how well these modes of travel are functioning. The corridor has discontinuous sidewalks and lacks dedicated cycling infrastructure, which resulted in a poor MMLOS for those elements.

Mode of Travel	Summary of LOS
Transit	Poor Long delays, low levels of reliability
Bicycles	Fails No dedicated cycling infrastructure
Pedestrians	Fails Discontinuous sidewalks throughout corridor
Automobiles	Good - Fair Low lane utilization, short delays
Trucks	Good - Fair Unimpeded movement, short delays

Alternative Solutions



The following Alternative Solutions were developed and assessed to determine their ability to address the problems and opportunities identified within the study area:

Do Nothing No improvements would be undertaken, only regular maintenance and planned improvements will be in place.	Does not address the needs and opportunities for the study area. Do not carry forward.
Limit Development Limit development adjacent to the study area to reduce traffic congestion on the existing network.	Does not address the needs and opportunities for the study area. Do not carry forward.
Operational Improvements Implement localized measures to improve transit, active transportation, and localized roadway improvements to optimize traffic flow. These improvements can include cycling lanes, sidewalks, transit queue jump lanes, intersection improvements, and/or turning lanes.	Partially Addresses the needs and opportunities for the study area. Carry forward.
Improve Other Roadways Widen/enhance municipal arterial roads other than Garner Road to improve capacity and operations and provide congestion relief on existing facilities through additional lanes to increase the performance of the transportation network.	Does not address the needs and opportunities for the study area. Do not carry forward.
Widen Garner Road Widen Garner Road to include additional travel and/or turning lanes (e.g., 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections) to accommodate future travel demand. The right-of-way would be designed to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, transit, vehicles, and commercial vehicles.	Addresses the needs and opportunities for the study area. Carry forward.

The recommended alternative solution for the study area includes both **Operational Improvements** and **Widen Garner Road**. These will be carried forward to Phase 3 of the EA study – Development of Design Alternatives.






Recommended Solutions

The following Alternative Solutions will be carried forward for further consideration in Phase 3 of the Class EA process (Alternative Designs) and evaluated using the factors and criteria presented:

- Operational Improvements: Implement localized measures to improve transit, active transportation, and localized roadway improvements to optimize traffic flow. These improvements can include cycling lanes, sidewalks, transit queue jump lanes, intersection improvements, and/or turning lanes.
- Widen Garner Road: Include additional travel and/or turning lanes (e.g., 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections) to accommodate future travel demand. The right-of-way would be designed to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, transit, vehicles, and truck traffic.




Notes:

- Conceptual cross-section elements shown here are from the AEGD update.
- The cross-section configurations are conceptual, context sensitive and, where applicable, subject to refinements during Phases 3 & 4 of the EA process.
- The road cross-section options will incorporate these elements into the three Alternative Designs.



Potential Alternative Cross-Section Option 1



- Widen Garner Road to three lanes, including one travel lane in each direction, a centre two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 36m width.

Potential Alternative Cross-Section *Option 2*






- Widen Garner Road to four lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.

Potential Alternative Cross-Section Option 3






- Widen Garner Road to five lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.

Garner Road Corridor Constraints



Several environmental and technical constraints are located within the study area that will influence the development of Design Alternatives and the decision-making process.



Key constraints include existing commercial/industrial areas, churches, cemeteries, residential properties, secondary school property, and park/greenspace.

The existing right-of-way varies and is approximately 30m wide on average. Additional width/property is required to accommodate cycling facilities, sidewalks, multi-use paths and lane capacity for cars and commercial vehicles. The location of constraints varies throughout the study area and may restrict improvement opportunities.

Alternative Design Elements



During Phase 3 of the EA process, the following design elements will be considered as Design Alternatives are developed:

Road lane width and alignment Transit stop locations and amenities Active transportation facilities location, width, and type Physical Design Drainage/stormwater management improvements Elements Street lighting Landscaping opportunities Construction staging Operational Turning movements, access, signal timing Design Elements Intersection accessibility opportunities (i.e., crosswalks, cross-rides)

Evaluation Criteria



The Alternatives will be assessed using the factors and criteria below. Comments received from agencies, stakeholders, Indigenous communities and members of the public will be integrated as required.

Socio-Economic Environment

- Impacts to business operations
- Noise impacts
- Property and access
- Aesthetics & complete livable better streets
- Compatibility with existing and proposed developments

Natural Environment

- Vegetation and wildlife
- Water resources
- Air quality
- Climate change
- Stormwater management

Transportation/Engineering

- Accommodate future travel demands (capacity)
- Safety for all users
- Public transit service
- Road network compatibility / connectivity
- Accommodate pedestrians / cyclists
- Response times / access for emergency vehicles
- Accommodate truck traffic
- Services / utilities
- Cost (i.e., capital cost, operational costs)

Cultural Environment

- Archaeological resources
- Built heritage / cultural landscape resources

Next Steps



Following this PIC, the project team will complete the next steps below:

Review and respond to comments received

Continue to engage Indigenous communities, and consult with the public and agencies

Confirm the Preferred Solution

Develop and evaluate Alternative Designs for the Preferred Solution

Complete technical studies

The Alternative Designs and project team recommendations will be presented at PIC 2, tentatively scheduled for spring 2024.

Thank you!

Thank you for participating in this PIC for the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment study. Your feedback is valuable and appreciated.

Please provide comments by filling out the comment form or by contacting a member of the project team below by January 10, 2024:



Megan Salvucci

Project Manager – Capital Infrastructure Planning City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5

Phone: 905-546-2424 extension 2732 Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca





Isaac Bartlett


Sr. Associate, Transportation Stantec Consulting 400-1305 Riverbend Road, London, ON N6K 0J5

Phone: 519-675-6643


Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com













Frequently Asked Questions

City of Hamilton, Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study

Active Transportation	
Will there be improvements to active transportation infrastructure?	Improvements to active transportation are being recommended as part of the project. This may include separated bike lanes, multi-use paths, sidewalks, etc. Separated bike lanes and sidewalks have been included in the potential alternative cross-sections presented at Public Information Centre (PIC) #1. Additional work on possible active transportation options will be included in PIC#2.
Will active transportation be separated from vehicular lanes and truck traffic?	At this time, the potential active transportation facilities being considered for the study are separated bike lanes and sidewalks. The conceptual cross-section presented on Slide 12 of the PIC #1 presentation provides a typical cross section of the corridor, showing vehicular lanes, and their separation from the proposed sidewalks and bike lanes. Where feasible, a boulevard will be used to provide physical separation of the active transportation facilities from the vehicular lanes.
Traffic & Safety	
What will be the posted speed limit of Garner Road?	The existing posted speed limit of Garner Road is 60 km/hr. The speed limit is not anticipated to change as part of these improvements but will be confirmed during future phases of the project.
Will there be a designated turning lane?	A designated two-way left turn lane is being considered in alternative cross-section Options 1 and 3.
How will winter maintenance (i.e., salt, snow removal) impact my property?	Winter maintenance will remain unchanged from the current process. More information about the City's Snow Clearing practices are available at the following link:

	By-Law No. 03-296 requires property owners and/or occupants to
	clear snow and ice within 24 hours after the end of a snow event from
	sidewalks adjacent to their property, and roofs that everhang the City
	sidewalk. Should a sidewalk be erected adjacent to your property, this By Law will be in effect.
	The City will be responsible for snow clearing on Bike Lanes.
Noise	THO GRY WILL BO TOOP OF CHOICE OF CH
I'm concerned about increased traffic-related	A Noise Assessment Study is being undertaken as part of the study.
noise as a result of the road widening. What will be done to mitigate noise impacts?	The findings will be presented as the study progresses, including the need for noise mitigation measures (i.e. noise barriers, landscaping, etc.). The noise assessment will use current and projected future traffic data to model the sound levels caused by road traffic with and without the proposed improvements.
Natural Environment	
How many trees will be removed?	Tree removal will be required as part of this project. At this time, the quantity of tree removal is not known, but impacts to the trees will be avoided and mitigated to the extent possible. As part of the study, opportunities for streetscaping and landscaping improvements will be taken into consideration where feasible.
Will the project impact the Garner Marsh?	The project team is aware of the diverse natural environment surrounding Garner Road. The Garner Marsh has been identified as an Unevaluated Wetland as part of the Natural Environment Existing Conditions assessment. Potential impacts to the natural environment are being considered as part of the evaluation of potential alternative cross-sections. Any potential impacts will be mitigated to the extent possible and will be shared as the study progresses.
Will there be wildlife crossings along the corridor? How will species at risk (SAR) be impacted?	Wildlife corridor crossings are being considered as part of the overall improvements to provide safe passage for wildlife to pass under the roadway, and to reduce the risk of collision with motor vehicles. The location of the wildlife crossings will be determined as the study progresses. A Natural Environment Assessment Report is being undertaken as part of this study, and will document potential impacts and appropriate mitigation measures to reduce potential negative

Commented [SO1]: Sidewalks in Ancaster are plowed by

the City. https://www.hamilton.ca/home-neighbourhood/getting-around/streets-sidewalks/sidewalk-snow-clearing
This link shows the map where the sidewalks are plowed by the City. Please review this statement.

	effects. This information will be incorporated into the final Environmental Study Report.
Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage	ge Landscapes
There are many heritage resources and cemeteries along Garner Road. Will these be impacted?	A review of the existing built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes within the study area will be completed. The City of Hamilton Heritage Mapping and Resources has identified several heritage properties within the study area. Any potential impacts will be mitigated to the extent possible and will be shared as the study progresses.
Climate Change	
How will this project support the Climate Crisis?	The focus of this study is to assess options to improve active transportation, transit, traffic, and stormwater management throughout the corridor. Through the improvements to active transportation and transit, the public will have better access to additional transportation opportunities throughout the corridor, reducing the quantity of motor vehicle travel. Overall, this shift in transportation methods will help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In addition, stormwater management improvements will increase resiliency to climate change events, such as extreme rainfall. The measures implemented as part of the project will better manage stormwater run-off and reduce the risk of flooding.



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Monday, December 11, 2023 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

COMMENTS:	
- including active to	isplication (secretificate
is problematic - this.	it the to the increasing
totalk traffic along t	he comider It is not
a pleasant walking ?	repenses and to the no
+ eshout from the	trecks. I would not be
Solo or leen enion 1	the walk along this rout
no matter what the	20 DD With at 150 color
out in place.	per of her right
- must the exist that the	4 traffir noise + polludia
11-10 months	if you write the va
and grant of the state of the s	to the land
= 1 & O Wants of the	the state of the state of the
- justices protes med	THE TOOK IN PETT THE
weef it is	1/19 10 0 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1
- Whave lived on ru	The Taylarian
nove sea lio, in a	The exposure of my
packyou reause	of The viceously trucky
	e comdor is changed,
I will have no opt	in but to move
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
	1181 STATE ALL A.
the requirements of the Environmental Assessment	be being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting it Act. They will be maintained on file for use during the With the exception of personal information, all comments
Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward	ard them by Thursday, January 4, 2024 to either:
Megan Salvucci, RPP	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.
Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton	Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting
Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 2732	Phone: 519-675-6643
Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca	Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com
Name:	
Mailing Address:	
Telephone:	,
Property coation: //f different from	
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):	



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Monday, December 11, 2023 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

I am Strong	1 against this
Project, Beca	
The contract of the contract o	hse
1. Noise level 1	will increase
2. Toufic ISSUR	
2 Holhelier	- 100 Oti
3. Herretugo of beig remo	red
4. Bike home	
5. Grean belt	being appealed
6 Residential	peroposity is
totell away	Lon Widenie
this road	
the requirements of the Environmental Assessmen	being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the Act. They will be maintained on file for use during the With the exception of personal information, all comments
Please drop these comments in the box provided or forwa	rd them by <u>Thursday</u> , <u>January 4, 2024</u> to either:
Megan Salvucci, RPP Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 2732 Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng. Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643 Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com
Name:	
Mailing Address:	
Telephone:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):	



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Monday, December 11, 2023 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

COMMENTS:	(Plea	se Print)
* Sa	ue the peri	tage trees x
Bar	ner Rat	vitle crossing near
		o need 5 safe
		SMG A
		•
		y this road will be
_ B Valu	cble. Garne	r is really only busy
@ 9:00	Am when m	em's drive their kids
to Bisne	op Tonnos 4	ligh, otherwize the
road is	quiet.	
the requirements of the study and may be inclu- will become part of the	e Environmental Assessment ded in study documentation. You public record.	being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting Act. They will be maintained on file for use during the Nith the exception of personal information, all comments of them by Thursday, January 4, 2024 to either:
Megan Salvucci, RP Project Manager, Infra City of Hamilton Phone: 905-546-2424 Email: megan.salvucci	astructure Renewal 4 ext. 2732	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng. Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643 Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com
Mailing Address:	(
Telephone:		
Property Location: (if dif	ferent from mailing address);	



COMMENTS:

PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE

Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Monday, December 11, 2023 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

	- ROOK Road has	R.O.W. and Setback
	to accommodate h	ichos volume traffic the to
	R.D.(e) needs, as we	Of as through traspic Lin
	403/Wilson to H	17/10,
	(0) / (0) / (0)	7 6 7
	Impact of any was	Ceniro to the existing
Closely		
	11 -1 HD 1/050 DO 1	The track and ecosystem
	must be printized	to naintain walites
	of life in the main	ablances above a funciona
	In The 40 reg So	1) 2 love of all locations
		inum. There is celing del
	alot A HIN SOR	+ la to fly this who Ance
	and will enix	1.7 CO 1.00 Am SO Along O MI
	+A120 /000 1 1 1/100	King + byce viding une
	and the first of	an my and has monder it to
	dandie Die Kinch	+ cidavalles and by- nath
	The general without	A STOCKER STOCKER
	Dunid Cike to l	ear my 17 how Hamilton
	00-000 000 403 +1	o trafic flow through
	Ancadar	e hathe flow through
	THUE SEV.	
	Comments and information recording this study	are being collected to posicit the City of Herriton in reaction
		are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting nent Act. They will be maintained on file for use during the
	study and may be included in study documentati will become part of the public record.	on. With the exception of personal information, all comments
	Please drop these comments in the box provided or for	rward them by Thursday, January 4, 2024 to either:
	Megan Salvucci, RPP	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.
	Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton	Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting
	Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 2732	Phone: 519-675-6643
	Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca	Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com
	Name:	
	Mailing Address:	
	Telephone:	
	Property Location: (if different from mailing address):



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Monday, December 11, 2023 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

COMMENTS:	(Please Print)
This area was	a just out into green
Space	0 1
uduce speed	d climit
2 lanes	
schools	
Theil all was	exing potens. Just on
one side	
taking peoples	more you
all of cite	1. Auce
2 WOMEN SUN	edion a senancito
auren all b	ecourse of the adject of
the trees -	now you dan late the
This Chauled	not be houseners
	,
Comments and information regarding thi	is study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting
study and may be included in study docu	Assessment Act. They will be maintained on file for use during the imentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments
will become part of the public record.	
Please drop these comments in the box provide	ded or forward them by Thursday, January 4, 2024 to either:
Megan Salvucci, RPP	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.
Project Manager, Infrastructure Rene	
City of Hamilton Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 2732	Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643
Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca	Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com
Name:	
Mailing Address:	
Telephone:	
Property Location: (if different from mailing	address):



COMMENTS:

PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE

Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Monday, December 11, 2023 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

Hi GUYZ	
I am at	
The street wight	h is very very
tight on our fire	entige and I
don't really see	how there is
room for cony i	widening, except
May be a side	Jele Robbithally
we have many tre	es along the frante
one of the moun	reasers we built
there.	
I am haping +	er an "et home"
can soltation to	s review End
what can be do	ere.
lesil the city	Buy out my house
are to I reed	Sare option
Thomas	
-) I con X	
the requirements of the Environmental Assessment A	eing collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting ct. They will be maintained on file for use during the lift the exception of personal information, all comments
will become part of the public record.	in the exception of personal information, all comments
Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward to	hem by Thursday, January 4, 2024 to either:
Megan Salvucci, RPP	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.
Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton	Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting
Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 2732	Phone: 519-675-6643
Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca	Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com
Norm	
Nam	
Mailing Address:	
Telephone:	
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):	



Telephone:

Property Location: (if different from mailing address):

PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE

Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Monday, December 11, 2023 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENTS:	
Thank you for meet	ing withus in the cold
and gar.	0
regarding the expansion	of Gares Rd from Duff's
Acontre love straight of Acontre love straight	moley Buses should road to load and unload
COTTO	
Megan Salvucci, RPP Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 2732 Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng. Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643 Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com
Name: Mailing Address:	



Thursday, December 14, 2023 1:56:27 PM

Sorry, I guess some more information would be helpful. I returned their call and they provided the information noted below.

The resident would like to have future notifications be mailed to them, their address is:



They also provided the following comments:

image001.png

- They are not supportive of a three lane cross-section. From their experience as a transit user, if a bus stops, cars then use the centre turning lane to pass. They are concerned from a safety perspective.
 - The exception to this is if there are bus bays for the buses to pull to the side. This prevents the centre turning lane from being used to pass.
- They are supportive of either the four-lane or five-lane cross-section.

Thanks, Megan

Megan Salvucci, MCIP, RPP (she/her)

Senior Project Manager – Infrastructure Programming and Planning Infrastructure Renewal Engineering Services
Public Works, City of Hamilton
(905) 546-2424 Ext.2732



From: Salvucci, Megan

Sent: December 14, 2023 1:43 PM

To: Stanciu, Olivia < Olivia. Stanciu@hamilton.ca>

Subject: FW: Message from A VIVEIROS (+19053871284)

Hi Olivia,

Could you please add this resident to the Garner Road EA mailing list?

Thanks,

Megan Salvucci, MCIP, RPP (she/her)

Senior Project Manager - Infrastructure Programming and Planning

Infrastructure Renewal Engineering Services Public Works, City of Hamilton (905) 546-2424 Ext.2732



From: Cisco Unity Connection Messaging System < unityconnection@ucxpub1.hamilton.ca>

Sent: December 14, 2023 10:22 AM

To: megan.salvucci@ucxpub1.hamilton.ca

Subjects

From: Bartlett, Isaac

To: <u>Hohner, Paula</u>; <u>Micks, Sarah</u>

Cc: Wrathell, Melissa

Subject: FW: Garner Road EA and potential widening Date: Friday, January 5, 2024 8:20:48 AM

Attachments: Garner Road EA 2.pdf

Garner Road EA and potential widening.msg

Please add the attached and below to the project's public correspondence. I have attached the previous submission for our internal records.

Isaac Bartlett P. Eng., ENV SP

Sr. Associate, Transportation

Direct: 519-675-6643 Mobile: 519-282-3230 isaac.bartlett@stantec.com

Stantec

400-1305 Riverbend Road London ON N6K 0J5



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From:

Sent: Thursday, January 4, 2024 7:38 PM

To: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca; Bartlett, Isaac <isaac.bartlett@stantec.com>

Subject: RE: Garner Road EA and potential widening

You don't often get email from blodewyks@cogeco.ca. Learn why this is important

Hello Megan & Isaac,

Please disregard my previous comment submission and substitute it with the attached.

Thank you,

From:

Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2024 7:59 PM

To: 'megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca' < megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca'>; 'isaac.bartlett@stantec.com'

< <u>isaac.bartlett@stantec.com</u>>

Subject: Garner Road EA and potential widening

Hello Megan & Isaac,

Further to the public meeting held on December 11 , attached are some comments with respect to the upcoming Environmental Assessment of Garner Road.

Thank you,

Caution: This email originated from outside of Stantec. Please take extra precaution.

Attention: Ce courriel provient de l'extérieur de Stantec. Veuillez prendre des précautions supplémentaires.

Atención: Este correo electrónico proviene de fuera de Stantec. Por favor, tome precauciones adicionales.

January 4, 2024

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

RE: Garner Road EA

Please see below my comments and recommendations for the Garner Road EA and potential widening. I have lived on Garner Road for over 50 years and I am very familiar with the road and surrounding properties.

- 1. The City move towards a 4-lane road with a center turning lane where possible. There are cars repeatedly passing slow moving vehicles, City equipment such as lawnmowers/tractors, and farm equipment, even on double lines. This is obviously not a safe practice.
- 2. The City move towards a 4-lane road with a center turning lane to accommodate the current and future development along Garner Road. I understand that The City is scheduling a new 24" round watermain on Garner Road, from Southcote Road to Duff's Corner. This is in addition to the existing watermain and is scheduled for spring of 2024. The City has noted this is needed for future development along Garner Road.
- 3. The land south of Garner Road between Fiddler's Green Road and Shaver's Road is recently designated Greenbelt. However, this land was originally designated as Whitebelt in 2005 and has flipflopped in and out of the greenbelt over the years based on political choice and will likely be developed to residential use in the future. Therefore, the City should move towards a 4-lane road with a center turning lane to accommodate future growth.
- 4. I understand that there may be trees affected by the widening of Garner Road. Tree removal is not new to the City and is required for progress. The new development at 515 Garner Road West resulted in probably 200 mature trees being removed without any public opposition.
- 5. I do not recommend a separate bike lane with the road widening. I do not see a lot of bike traffic and there will likely be no available space for this.
- 6. Recommend setting the speed limit to 50 kilometers per hour along Garner Road.
- 7. Recommend pedestrian sidewalks on the north side of Garner Road. With the added number of condo units on Garner, there is an increase in pedestrian traffic. The existing sidewalks and lack thereof is not safe at all.
- 8. Recommend stoplights at multiple intersections such as Hamilton Drive, 403 off ramp (it is difficult to turn left).

I understand the above proposal is an aggressive approach, however I have seen Garner Road pieced together poorly in the past in an effort to make traffic work. The road should be designed and constructed properly in the future.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Garner Road Resident



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	Comment received December 12, 2023 I was unfortunately unable to attend last nights information meeting. I was hoping the meeting was recorded (like the City council meetings are) so I could get a better feel for the project, comments and concerns. Can you please advise if this will become available? We live at a large and are very interested in this project and any impacts it will have.	No action required.	Good morning Sharon, Thank you for reaching out. A pre-recorded presentation was prepared and can be accessed on the project webpage. In terms of comments and questions received last night, there is an FAQ section on the webpage that will be updated in the upcoming days to reflect what was heard.
	Comment received December 12, 2023 Hello: Thank you for getting back to me. Yes, I reviewed the presentation already and I am concerned about how wide - wide actually is. Also re the intersection at Hamilton Drive and in general access to and from my property. It is t always the best and sometimes the traffic is ridiculously fast - even some overtaking on a solid lane. With the amount of families moving in to the townhomes, traffic and access is definitely a challenge at times. It is sometimes dangerous to pull out, walk along the road or even consider taking a bike on it. It's also concerning that the highschool students, pedestrians etc have a discontinuous sidewalk - that will be a nice improvement to see. It would also be nice if Liv would complete the path promised from Garner back to the conservation trail as was indicated on the plan for the subdivision a few doors up from us. Temporary path markers were placed years ago but nothing was ever completed. I look forward to being kept in the loop re this project.	Added contact information to the mailing list.	Thank you for your comment. I wanted to confirm that it has been received and will be reviewed by the project team. I also wanted to confirm that we have added you to our mailing list so you will receive email notification for future public engagement opportunities tied to the project. Thanks, Megan
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 11, 2023 Definitely put in bike lanes and sidewalks. Currently there is no safe way to get to the Walmart side of things via Garner Rd safely. My only concern is if you put in a 4 lane road with a turning lane, people will tend to speed more. It may also make getting a across the street for the residence in the south side harder. Currently our mailbox is on the north side of the road. Progress is important and I just want to let you know that not everyone is a NIMBY and look forward as this project moves along. That one lady does not speak on everyone's behalf.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 11, 2023 Definitely put in bike lanes and sidewalks. Currently there is no safe way to get to the Walmart side of things via Garner Rd safely. My only concern is if you put in a 4 lane road with a turning lane, people will tend to speed more. It may also make getting a across the street for the residence in the south side harder. Currently our mailbox is on the north side of the road. Progress is important and I just want to let you know that not everyone is a NIMBY and look forward as this project moves along. That one lady does not speak on everyone's behalf.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 9, 2023 Hi I'm a farmer in the area This is a plan that will set us backwards on the fight to preserve farmland. The city has implemented a water tax. This will only make the water run off problem worse and is not a good idea. Hamilton has numerous unfinished business parks. And empty wear-houses now If you expect people to pay a tax you in fact have to help the cause not make it worse. Just stop paving over our food resources! Stop</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 9, 2023 Hi I'm a farmer in the area This is a plan that will set us backwards on the fight to preserve farmland. The city has implemented a water tax. This will only make the water run off problem worse and is not a good idea. Hamilton has numerous unfinished business parks. And empty wear-houses now If you expect people to pay a tax you in fact have to help the cause not make it worse. Just stop paving over our food resources! Stop	No action required.	No response required.
	Comment received December 11, 2023 Including active transportation (pedestrian & cycling) is problematic – this is due to the increasing truck traffic along the corridor. It is not a peasant walking experience	No action required.	No response required.



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	due to the noise and exhaust from the trucks. I would not feel safe or even enjoy the walk along this route no matter what type of mitigation you put in place. My fear is that the traffic noise and pollution will greatly increase if you widen the road or increase the number of lanes. I would prefer that the road is left the way it is! I have lived in my home for 12 years and have seen erosion of the enjoyment of my backyard because of the increasing truck traffic and noise. If the corridor is changed, I will have option by to move.		
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton < <u>notifications@engagementhq.com</u>	Comment received on Engage website December 10, 2023 I am for the road widening. We need to optimize traffic flow plus add sidewalks!	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 11, 2023 The project is 1) parallel to a freeway, 2) additional to another arterial road (Wilson) and 3) serving a limited area (there is one commercial / light-industrial cluster, a few small developments and a school along the route). As the background information for the project states, truck and car access is already very good, which makes sense given the number of ways to access the few non-rural uses in this region. I do not see the case for adding any road capacity; if anything we should be trying to shift away from high volumes of traffic here, which conflict with our climate goals as well as the other stated project goals of providing better transit access and walking/cycling amenities. Increasing the capacity of Garner Road can only lead to more car trips, which entails more emissions, more negative effects on the nearby uses and more problems ensuring safety for all users. One concern I'd raise is about the idea of a center turn lane — while i can imagine this would reduce the instances of delays, doing so necessarily increases the width of the road, requires more hard surfaces which need to be cleaned/drained/plowed, increases opportunities for passing / speeding, and does nothing to mitigate the risks of having drivers turn across a sidewalk, bike facility and opposing traffic lane simultaneously. I think we should be looking for ways to make these turns more controlled using center medians. On lane-width, i noticed the choice of 3.5 meter lanes even for the 2-lane-plus-turns option. Is there value in doing this? It seems to me that with one lane in each direction and 4m of space dividing traffic, there is very little need for extra buffer space to avoid collisions; in this case having wider-than-necessary lanes raises the cost of construction, adds extra cleaned/drained/plowed space and would invite faster top-speed travel. What is the benefit over choosing the minimum possible lane width and achieving a slower and safer design?</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 11, 2023 The project is 1) parallel to a freeway, 2) additional to another arterial road (Wilson) and 3) serving a limited area (there is one commercial / light-industrial cluster, a few small developments and a school along the route). As the background information for the project states, truck and car access is already very good, which makes sense given the number of ways to access the few non-rural uses in this region. I do not see the case for adding any road capacity; if anything we should be trying to shift away from high volumes of traffic here, which conflict with our climate goals as well as the other stated project goals of providing better transit access and walking/cycling amenities. Increasing the capacity of Garner Road can only lead to more car trips, which entails more emissions, more negative effects on the nearby uses and more problems ensuring safety for all users. One concern I'd raise is about the idea of a center turn lane — while i can imagine this would reduce the instances of delays, doing so necessarily increases the width of the road, requires more hard surfaces which need to be cleaned/drained/plowed, increases opportunities for passing / speeding, and does nothing to mitigate the risks of having drivers turn across a sidewalk, bike facility and opposing traffic lane simultaneously. I think we should be looking for ways to make these turns more controlled using center medians. On lane-width, i noticed the choice of 3.5 meter lanes even for the 2-lane-plus-turns option. Is there value in doing this? It seems to me that with one lane in each direction and 4m of space dividing traffic, there is very little need for extra buffer space to avoid collisions; in this case having wider-than-necessary lanes raises the cost of construction, adds extra cleaned/drained/plowed space and would invite faster top-speed travel. What is the benefit over choosing the minimum possible lane width and achieving a slower and safer design?	No action required.	No response required.
	Comment received December 12, 2023 Hello Megan, The city has depreciated our property value by deeming it greenbelt and allowed high density housing along this road. People who want to sell are not having an option to get what their land is worth already and have huge restrictions on what can be done on the land. Was putting us back into greenbelt a way so the city could appropriate our property at a lower cost?	No action required.	Thank you for reaching out! The purpose of the Garner Road Environmental Assessment is to identify and evaluate the potential environmental effects regarding the transportation infrastructure improvement. There are many considerations for this, such as ensuring the solution supports all road users, that changes are reflective of Complete Streets, and that



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	Now council are depreciating our property value even further by making it a high traffic road. Improve the 403 lanes to handle the traffic. Do more ring roads around the city. There are schools on this road it should not be a major highway, it should be reduced to 50 km like all other residential areas. What rural green belt area has 5 lanes? I agree that the road needs to be repaired but who wants to ride a bike down a major traffic corridor? There is nothing beautiful about making it a major traffic zone. Would you want to live on a 5 lane highway? We have to cross the road to get our mail every day, it is bad enough with the amount of traffic from all the high density houses. Repair the road, reduce the speed limit, either we are greenbelt (not that there was any consultation when we were put into greenbelt) or we are residential the city can't have it both ways!		the road accommodates both current and future transportation demands. The options we explored during the alternative solutions stage of the project, included: 1. Garner Road widened to 3 lanes all the way, including one travel lane in each direction, a center two way left turn lane, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. 2. Garner Road widened to 4 lanes all the way, including two travel lanes in each direction, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. 3. Garner Road widened to 5 lanes all the way, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, and separate cyclist and pedestrian facilities. No decisions have been made so far; we are currently in the proces of reviewing all comments received during the public commenting period. The results of the public engagement will be considered in the context of other key City goals such as supporting multi-modal (pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles) safety, capacity, traffic management and consistency with neighbouring road segments on Garner Road. Thank you,
via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 11, 2023 I am against Garner Road widening project due to following concerns: 1. Increased noise level will adversely impact health of mine and other families living adjacent to Garner Road 2. Air quality will deteriorate significantly and it will adversely impact health of mine and other families living adjacent to Garner Road. 3. Green belt will be adversely impacted. Hamilton has fought hard to win our green belt back and the decision to widen Garner road will not be wise decision. 4. I fear that hydro line will be moved closer to my property 5. Building a side walk and bike lane would not be of any use if Garner Road is widened to dump traffic off Hwy 6 and 403. What is the use of walking there or biking when air quality is so poor due to number of vehicles constantly running. 6. Several heritage sites, houses, trees etc will be one way or other way adversely affected by widening Garner road If improving transportation to meet growing demand of Hamilton Airport is the aim than city should consider widening HWY 403, HWY 6 and loop them by widening Book Road.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>I wanted to reach out to confirm that we received your comments regarding the Garner Road Environmental Assessment that you submitted through our EngageHamilton system. Thank you for taking the time to do so. I also want to provide some additional information based on the comments that you had submitted. The purpose of the Garner Road Environmental Assessment is to identify and evaluate the potential environmental effects regarding the transportation infrastructure improvement. There are many considerations for this, such as ensuring the solution supports all road users, that changes are reflective of Complete Streets, and that the road accommodates both current and future transportation demands. The options we explored during the alternative solutions stage of the project, included:</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 11, 2023 I am against Garner Road widening project due to following concerns: 1. Increased noise level will adversely impact health of mine and other families living adjacent to Garner Road 2. Air quality will deteriorate significantly and it will adversely impact health of mine and other families living adjacent to Garner Road. 3. Green belt will be adversely impacted. Hamilton has fought hard to win our green belt back and the decision to widen Garner road will not be wise decision. 4. I fear that hydro line will be moved closer to my property 5. Building a side walk and bike lane would not be of any use if Garner Road is widened to dump traffic off Hwy 6 and 403. What is the use of walking there or biking when air quality is so poor due to number of vehicles constantly running. 6. Several heritage sites, houses, trees etc will be one way or other way adversely affected by widening Garner road If improving transportation to meet growing demand of Hamilton Airport is the aim than city should consider widening HWY 403, HWY 6 and loop them by widening Book Road.	No action required.	I wanted to reach out to confirm that we received your comments regarding the Garner Road Environmental Assessment that you submitted through our EngageHamilton system. Thank you for taking the time to do so. I also want to provide some additional information based on the comments that you had submitted. The purpose of the Garner Road Environmental Assessment is to identify and evaluate the potential environmental effects regarding the transportation infrastructure improvement. There are many considerations for this, such as ensuring the solution supports all road users, that changes are reflective of Complete Streets, and that the road accommodates both current and future transportation demands. The options we explored during the alternative solutions stage of the project, included:



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
			1. Garner Road widened to 3 lanes all the way, including one travel lane in each direction, a center two way left turn lane, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. 2. Garner Road widened to 4 lanes all the way, including two travel lanes in each direction, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. 3. Garner Road widened to 5 lanes all the way, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. No decisions have been made so far; we are currently in the process of reviewing all comments received during the public commenting period. The results of the public engagement will be considered in the context of other key City goals such as supporting multi-modal (pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles) safety, capacity, traffic management and consistency with neighbouring road segments on Garner Road. Kind regards, Megan
	Comment received December 12, 2023 Thank you for meeting with us win the cold and dark. As stated last night those are our opinions regarding the expansion of Garner Road from Duff's Croner the by-pass. From the by-pass to Duff's Corner there needs to be righthand turn lanes into every new complex. A centre lane straight thru with left and right turning into each complex and driveway. A possible traffic circle at McNiven Road into 1040 Garner Road complex. Buses should be able pull off of the road to load and unload and not block traffic going east and west. And straighten Garner Road at Bennetts garden centre. Comments received January 18, 2024 Hi Megan,	No action required.	Good afternoon Thank you for attending the public meeting and for submitting your comments. I wanted to confirm that they has been received and will be reviewed by the project team. Thank you, Megan Hi
	I would like to add to the comment sheet that my house is a Designated historical House. Not sure what difference that may make but want it noted. Regards,	that the contacts house is a designated historical house.	



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 12, 2023 Is it possible to add a left turn lane into the developments (just east of Garner W. and Shaver)? It currently has a left turn towards the south but that is rarely used. The development has two entrances and the sidewalk is unsafe and traffic held up with cars entering the complex.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 12, 2023 Is it possible to add a left turn lane into the developments (just east of Garner W. and Shaver)? It currently has a left turn towards the south but that is rarely used. The development has two entrances and the sidewalk is unsafe and traffic held up with cars entering the complex.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 12, 2023 Expansion of roadways has been determined time and again not to decrease traffic, but to increase car usage. In a time of climate crisis, roadway expansion is nothing but climate vandalism. If the city of Hamilton is looking to lower traffic on Garner Road it would be best served by increasing transit capacity on the corridor. This could best be done by working with HSR to identify key locations for infrastructure such as queue jump lanes and signal priority for buses. This would have a smaller environmental effect than a carte-blanche roadway widening, as well as being more fiscally prudent. Expanding roadways create more road-use, more emissions, more toxic runoff, and on and on. Now is not the time for roadway expansion, and doing so is being complicit in human-made climate change.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 12, 2023 Expansion of roadways has been determined time and again not to decrease traffic, but to increase car usage. In a time of climate crisis, roadway expansion is nothing but climate vandalism. If the city of Hamilton is looking to lower traffic on Garner Road it would be best served by increasing transit capacity on the corridor. This could best be done by working with HSR to identify key locations for infrastructure such as queue jump lanes and signal priority for buses. This would have a smaller environmental effect than a carte-blanche roadway widening, as well as being more fiscally prudent. Expanding roadways create more road-use, more emissions, more toxic runoff, and on and on. Now is not the time for roadway expansion, and doing so is being complicit in human-made climate change.	No action required.	No response required.
	Comment received December 6, 2023 Hi Meghan, Just came to know about the Garner Rd EA PIC from the smart commute newsletter. I am interested in attending this as I live very close to this. Couldn't find the link to the hybrid session on Dec 11th though. Hope you can forward when you get a chance. Thanks Ann	No action required.	Happy to hear you're interested in the project! The in-person portion of the event will take place at Old Ancaster Town Hall (310 Wilson Street E) from 6:00-8:00pm. The virtual portion consists of a pre-recorded presentation, which is already available online, along with the panels. They can be viewed here: https://engage.hamilton.ca/garnerroadea. Hope this helps! Thanks, Megan
	Comment received December 4, 2023 Hello Ms Salvucci I visited the web page today and see no materials pertaining to the Garner Rd project. Please advise when they will be added. Thanks	No action required.	Good afternoon, Thank you for reaching out and apologies for the delay. The materials pertaining to the Garner Road EA are currently available on the project's website (www.engage.hamilton.ca/GarnerRoadEA). There is a copy of the panels and a pre-recorded presentation with additional information. Thank you, Megan



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	T		
	Comment received December 12, 2023 Hi Megan, I live at and I was hoping that the left turn lane at Garner West and Shaver could be a left turn into the development (which has many townhomes and a condo). It is currently a left turn south but that lane is rarely used since there isn't any residential development south of Shaver (just a church and farm land). Even a mix of both (left into the development then left south) would only take a slight adjustment to the current lane. Thanks in advance,	No action required.	Thank you for your comment. I wanted to confirm that it has been received and will be reviewed by the project team. Thanks, Megan
	Comment received November 29, 2023 Hi Megan I'm all the Q&A's and I'm still not exactly sure what we're looking at. I already have a 3 lane road two with the turning lane in the middle and I certainly hope I'm not looking at a four-lane road. Is this just referring to places where the road is still two lanes and have it all made the same. I'm stuck in a wheelchair and won't be able to make the meetings. Thank you for your help	No action required.	Thank you for reaching out and apologies for the delay. As part of the ongoing environmental assessment, we are currently reviewing alternative solutions for transportation infrastructure improvements along Garner Road from Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp. There are many considerations for the transportation improvements such as ensuring the solution supports all road users, that changes are reflective of Complete Streets, and that the road accommodates both current and future transportation demands. The options we are currently exploring are: 1. To have Garner Road widened to 3 lanes all the way, including one travel lane in each direction, a center two way left turn lane, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. 2. To have Garner Road widened to 4 lanes all the way, including two travel lanes in each direction, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. 3. To have Garner Road widened to 5 lanes all the way, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, and separated cyclist and pedestrian facilities. The Public Information Centre's objective is to gather feedback on the designs and recommendations. No decisions have been made so far.



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
			In terms of the public meeting, we are also providing a recorded presentation of the materials so you can learn more about the project without attending the in-person event. The recording is already available for your viewing at www.engage.hamilton.ca/GarnerRoadEA. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 12, 2023 I live in Ancaster and drive this every day. I prefer the full 5 lane road widening for safety (cars turning into homes), capacity (this is an alternate to 403 when it is backed up due to accidents and regular daily commute), separate bike path and sidewalks for the huge number of homes being built to connect them to commercial Walmart plaza and schools, plus need many new traffic lights for people to cross safely to the bus route. Currently we have lots of people running across the road between traffic to catch the bus. People walk along gravel shoulder to get to stores and schools. We get stuck in traffic when the 403 slows down. Possible width saving could be to have 1 multiuse path on north side, with sidewalk, then only side walk on south side. Consistency is good. A 5 lane widening road would match the section of this road that starts at upper paradise and crosses upper james.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 12, 2023 I live in Ancaster and drive this every day. I prefer the full 5 lane road widening for safety (cars turning into homes), capacity (this is an alternate to 403 when it is backed up due to accidents and regular daily commute), separate bike path and sidewalks for the huge number of homes being built to connect them to commercial Walmart plaza and schools, plus need many new traffic lights for people to cross safely to the bus route. Currently we have lots of people running across the road between traffic to catch the bus. People walk along gravel shoulder to get to stores and schools. We get stuck in traffic when the 403 slows down. Possible width saving could be to have 1 multiuse path on north side, with sidewalk, then only side walk on south side. Consistency is good. A 5 lane widening road would match the section of this road that starts at upper paradise and crosses upper james.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton < <u>notifications@engagementhq.com</u>	Comment received on Engage website December 12, 2023 It is good to see that active transportation is being taken into account. A bike lane along Garner is long awaited (including for other parts of Garner).	No action required.	No response required.
	Comment received December 13, 2023: Thave a few questions and concerns that you may be able to help answer. From the attached diagram you can see the 3 "CONC BLOCK PILLAR"s. My fence is currently running between these pillars but as you can see the existing fence is NOT on the property line. There is roughly 1/2 meter on the other side of the fence before the property line is reached. I am having to put in a new fence in the next couple of years and I am considering a few things 1) Am I allowed to bump out my fence to the actual property line? I've been paying property tax for quite a bit of land I don't have access to because of where the fence was installed. 2) Is there any indication how close the new plans will encroach on this area? Either the transit lane or bike lane that was proposed? 3) Is there a chance the city will require this land (for purchase)? I don't want to put up a new fence to only have it needing to be moved.	No action required.	Thank you for reaching out and apologies for the delay! To answer your questions: 1) The property owner has the right to build a fence on their property up to the property line. 2) As of now, there is no confirmed design for Garner Rd. We are at the alternative solutions stage, further studies will be completed to establish which alternative is the preferred one. After this stage, alternative designs will be created and we'll have a better understanding of where the bike lanes and sidewalks will be. 3) As we do not have final designs, we're unable to definitively say at this time. Potential property impacts will be identified for our next public meeting though so more information will be available around that time. We anticipate holding the next public meeting around May or June 2024. 4) Part of our assessment does include a noise study. Once the number of lanes are confirmed, we will proceed with



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	4) I've enquired several times, and also on the website regarding if the plans will consider putting in sound barrier walls (as the intersection is insanely busy). Also with regards to barriers to ensure vehicles don't come through the fence. This has actually happened before and luckily no one was injured. As recently as a month ago a transport truck at 3am took out the traffic light. Had they been travelling in the opposite direction they would have been in the middle of my backyard. Comment received January 18, 2024 Thanks for the reply Megan. Curious when the city is involved with new neighbourhoods and their fence locations. From my perspective I have been paying property tax since 2006 for a chunk of land that is not accessible from my side of the fence.		conducting the study. The study will be conducted in accordance with provincial standards and may results in recommendations for new infrastructure, such as noise walls. The results of this work will likely be available around May/June 2024. Thank you, Megan
	I presume the city approved this fenceline no? If this is better answered by someone else please pass it along or let me know whom to contact. I am not the original owner (1998) so some of this is new information for me. Thank		I asked around internally and it was recommended that you reach out to our Roads West team at roadswest@hamilton.ca . Someone from that team will follow up with you and can provide more information. Hope this helps! Thanks, Megan
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhg.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 13, 2023: I'm a little disappointed that the city of Hamilton would even entertain this project. The city has a \$3.2 billion infrastructure deficit and residents are looking at a 14.2% tax increase next year. The city needs to be fiscally responsible to its residents and stop building sprawl infrastructure that only supports the pockets of developers. Hamilton still has acres of brownfields and infill opportunities available that for the most part are fully serviced. Is this what we really want for Hamilton, to be the warehouse capital of Ontario?</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhg.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 13, 2023: I'm a little disappointed that the city of Hamilton would even entertain this project. The city has a \$3.2 billion infrastructure deficit and residents are looking at a 14.2% tax increase next year. The city needs to be fiscally responsible to its residents and stop building sprawl infrastructure that only supports the pockets of developers. Hamilton still has acres of brownfields and infill opportunities available that for the most part are fully serviced. Is this what we really want for Hamilton, to be the warehouse capital of Ontario?	No action required.	No response required.
	Call received December 14, 2023 They are not supportive of a three lane cross-section. From their experience as a transit user, if a bus stops, cars then use the centre turning lane to pass. They are concerned from a safety perspective. The exception to this is if there are bus bays for the buses to pull to the side. This prevents the centre turning lane from being used to pass.	No action required.	No response required.



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	They are supportive of either the four-lane or five-lane cross-section.		
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 20, 2023 Leave as is. Keep rural feel. Nothing wrong with the road as is. Doing anything is absolutely the right decision.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 20, 2023 Leave as is. Keep rural feel. Nothing wrong with the road as is. Doing anything is absolutely the right decision.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>. We cannot get out onto Garner safely to pick up our grand kids after 2PM. Garner is used as a 403 bypass every day and it is a very high risk situation. These drivers are NOT using Wilson but Garner as it takes them into Hamilton. It should be widened to 4 lanes with turning lanes and mor traffic lights. Why does Hamilton not use a traffic counter the way Toronto does to confirm the traffic patterns. We pay the highest taxes in Hamilton yet have the worst roads, and the poorest services. Having lived in all the GTA locations we have found that the Hamilton area the worst for dealing with the basic amenities such as roads and sidewalk maintenance, water quality, street lighting and traffic management. Having run a billion dollar organization i can tell you that it all boils down to poor management, and a basic disregard for the needs of Ancaster.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	. We cannot get out onto Garner safely to pick up our grand kids after 2PM. Garner is used as a 403 bypass every day and it is a very high risk situation. These drivers are NOT using Wilson but Garner as it takes them into Hamilton. It should be widened to 4 lanes with turning lanes and mor traffic lights. Why does Hamilton not use a traffic counter the way Toronto does to confirm the traffic patterns. We pay the highest taxes in Hamilton yet have the worst roads, and the poorest services. Having lived in all the GTA locations we have found that the Hamilton area the worst for dealing with the basic amenities such as roads and sidewalk maintenance, water quality, street lighting and traffic management. Having run a billion dollar organization i can tell you that it all boils down to poor management, and a basic disregard for the needs of Ancaster.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 20, 2023 Garner Rd definitely needs to be widened, but like always, Ancaster seems to allow all the building without considering the infrastructure. There seems to be no actual planning involved, just rushing into a quick plan. We watched several years of Wilson St. being redeveloped without a contiguous turn lane which would at least help with some of the horrible backups we experience every day. And there are still plans to add hundreds more condos to Wilson St in the village area. And if you think condo owners don't own cars, you are kidding yourself.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 20, 2023 Garner Rd definitely needs to be widened, but like always, Ancaster seems to allow all the building without considering the infrastructure. There seems to be no actual planning involved, just rushing into a quick plan. We watched several years of Wilson St. being redeveloped without a contiguous turn lane which would at least help with some of the horrible backups we experience every day. And there are still plans to add hundreds more condos to Wilson St in the village area. And if you think condo owners don't own cars, you are kidding yourself.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 20, 2023 We would support widening of Garner Road. Garner Road is an extension of Rymal Road which is a major artery across Hamilton. Our residential street is accessed off Garner Road and we have noticed a significant increase in traffic since moving here 5 years ago. Wilson Street is always mentioned as an alternate route for vehicles travelling on the 403, but it is now apparent that Garner Road has also become an alternate east-west route for drivers wishing to bypass traffic jams on the 403. There are days when it can take us up to 20 minutes to make a left hand turn on Garner Road from our street, which is Silver Maple Drive. When making a left turn lane into our street from Garner, many vehicles will bypass us by going on the shoulder of the road,</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 20, 2023 We would support widening of Garner Road. Garner Road is an extension of Rymal Road which is a major artery across Hamilton. Our residential street is accessed off Garner Road and we have noticed a significant increase in traffic since moving here 5 years ago. Wilson Street is always mentioned as an alternate route for vehicles travelling on the 403, but it is now apparent that Garner Road has also become an alternate east-west route for drivers wishing to bypass traffic jams on the 403. There are days when it can take us up to 20 minutes to make a left hand turn on Garner Road from our street, which is Silver Maple Drive. When making a left turn lane into our street from Garner, many vehicles will bypass us by going on the shoulder of the road,	No action required.	No response required.



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	with only inches to spare. There is no turning lane into our street from what is becoming an increasingly busy road. Although there is a turning lane for residents on John Frederick Street off Garner, vehicles are lined up during morning and evening rush hours to get out onto Garner or to turn left onto John Frederick. It only makes sense from a planning standpoint to consider widening Garner Road given all the newly constructed townhomes and condos on Garner between Southcote and Shaver. As well, with the plans for developing warehouse complexes between Fiddlers Green and Southcote just south of Garner, the traffic will only get worse and the need for widening this road will increase. A bike lane or pedestrian sidewalk will not be sufficient for long term future growth and will not address the increased traffic that is inevitable.		
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 22, 2023 The city should be doing its absolute best and anything within its power to ensure that we are not further threatening our local wildlife, habitat and biodiversity - widening the road would be the opposite of that.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 22, 2023 The city should be doing its absolute best and anything within its power to ensure that we are not further threatening our local wildlife, habitat and biodiversity - widening the road would be the opposite of that.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhg.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 23, 2023 Do nothing.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhg.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 23, 2023 Do nothing.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 31, 2023 The widening of the road is in complete violation of Hamilton's climate change initiatives. The Garner marsh should be a no touch zone as it provides many ecological benefits to the surround area but also massive economic services through water management in the area. We do not need bigger roads. Please fix the current infrastructure without widening the road. I agree with adding multimodal infrastructure that allows people to move freely without the need of a car but we cannot keep making more room for cars.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 31, 2023 The widening of the road is in complete violation of Hamilton's climate change initiatives. The Garner marsh should be a no touch zone as it provides many ecological benefits to the surround area but also massive economic services through water management in the area. We do not need bigger roads. Please fix the current infrastructure without widening the road. I agree with adding multimodal infrastructure that allows people to move freely without the need of a car but we cannot keep making more room for cars.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website December 31, 2023 We are living in unprecedented times with both the climate change and biodiversity crisis devastating ecosystems on Indigenous lands throughout Hamilton and elsewhere. We know from the Hamilton Natural Areas Inventory that formerly 'common' species are trending towards uncommon or even regionally significant species status. Despite work by the Hamilton Naturalists' Club and others since the late 1980s, the traditional style of land use development exemplified by the Garner Road expansion project continue. Perhaps in the past the climate change/biodiversity crisis was less well-understood - that is not the case now, therefore we must shift from an approach led by suggested mitigation measures to just saying no to urban sprawl development, including the expansion of roads and construction of job-poor warehouses, specifically as part of the AEGD area. No more loss of wetlands, not more loss of significant species' habitat (i.e., Blandings turtles, species at risk bats), no more loss of forested and meadow habitats. Having</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website December 31, 2023 We are living in unprecedented times with both the climate change and biodiversity crisis devastating ecosystems on Indigenous lands throughout Hamilton and elsewhere. We know from the Hamilton Natural Areas Inventory that formerly 'common' species are trending towards uncommon or even regionally significant species status. Despite work by the Hamilton Naturalists' Club and others since the late 1980s, the traditional style of land use development exemplified by the Garner Road expansion project continue. Perhaps in the past the climate change/biodiversity crisis was less well-understood - that is not the case now, therefore we must shift from an approach led by suggested mitigation measures to just saying no to urban sprawl development, including the expansion of roads and construction of job-poor warehouses, specifically as part of the AEGD area. No more loss of wetlands, not more loss of significant species' habitat (i.e., Blandings turtles, species at risk bats), no more loss of forested and meadow habitats. Having	No action required.	No response required.



<u></u>	Municipal Class Environmental Ass	CSSIIICIII	
Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	lost more than 75% of area wetlands, how can we permit development on the final 25%? In the past we failed on Hamilton's Vision 2020 process, construction of the Red Hill Expressway and so much suburban development. Let's now turn the corner and support urban intensification development including employment lands at the former Stelco site on the bay and areas such as McMaster Innovation Park. Brian McHattie, Former Hamilton Ward 1 City Councillor, Board member of the Hamilton Conservation Authority, and former member of the Task Force on Sustainable Development.		
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website January 1, 2024 1) How does this project fit with Ancaster's overall transportation priorities & needs? If there haven't been many collisions then maybe just some improvements at key intersections instead of a major redo may be enough. The road is still one of the few ways to get quickly in and out of Ancaster when there is a problem on the 403, which is often. It still seems to work well compared to the other roadways. 2) It is currently a very dangerous road to ride a bicycle or walk along with traffic moving at higher speeds. Both should be separated from traffic for safety. A 2-way separated bicycle path (e.g. Cannon Street) could work, or perhaps a shared path. Another important part is what the cycling infrastructure will connect to at either end of the project, and how it connects to the other roadways. Transitions are very scary and dangerous. Another very dangerous crossing for both walking and cycling is getting across the Hwy 6 on/off ramps.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website January 1, 2024 1) How does this project fit with Ancaster's overall transportation priorities & needs? If there haven't been many collisions then maybe just some improvements at key intersections instead of a major redo may be enough. The road is still one of the few ways to get quickly in and out of Ancaster when there is a problem on the 403, which is often. It still seems to work well compared to the other roadways. 2) It is currently a very dangerous road to ride a bicycle or walk along with traffic moving at higher speeds. Both should be separated from traffic for safety. A 2-way separated bicycle path (e.g. Cannon Street) could work, or perhaps a shared path. Another important part is what the cycling infrastructure will connect to at either end of the project, and how it connects to the other roadways. Transitions are very scary and dangerous. Another very dangerous crossing for both walking and cycling is getting across the Hwy 6 on/off ramps.	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website January 1, 2024 Widening the road causes too many ecological impacts including old trees being taken down, harder for animals to cross (its already very difficult for foxes, coyotes, turtles we see daily/weekly), and the increased danger of widening roads for overall safety (merging, speeding, confusion etc.). I think the main purpose should be safety for kids going to highschool (BT). A sidewalk would be enough to allow people to walk their dogs in safety and allow teens to go to school. Do not widen Garner please! Thank you!</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website January 1, 2024 Widening the road causes too many ecological impacts including old trees being taken down, harder for animals to cross (its already very difficult for foxes, coyotes, turtles we see daily/weekly), and the increased danger of widening roads for overall safety (merging, speeding, confusion etc.). I think the main purpose should be safety for kids going to highschool (BT). A sidewalk would be enough to allow people to walk their dogs in safety and allow teens to go to school. Do not widen Garner please! Thank you!	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website January 2, 2024 Hamilton declared a climate emergency - this will further add to the negative effects of climate change in our community. We have many old trees along this stretch of area that need to be preserved to help with the negative effects of climate change. Widening this roadway further puts the remaining wetlands at risk and the species that live there - especially the turtles. There are many historical buildings that also need to be taken into account - why do we need to change things always for the worse?! Think about future generations</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website January 2, 2024 Hamilton declared a climate emergency - this will further add to the negative effects of climate change in our community. We have many old trees along this stretch of area that need to be preserved to help with the negative effects of climate change. Widening this roadway further puts the remaining wetlands at risk and the species that live there - especially the turtles. There are many historical buildings that also need to be taken into account - why do we need to change things always for the worse?! Think about future generations	No action required.	No response required.
	Please remove any of my personal information from any future distribution of this email.	Contact information removed from	No response required.
	Attached are my comments for the proposed Garner Road project.	future emails.	



Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
,	,	,
I have used some of my own experiences with the recent Southcote road		
· ·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
I'm a little disappointed that the city of Hamilton would even entertain the		
Garner Road project.		
The city has a \$3.2 Bllion infrastructure deficit and residents are looking at a		
14.2% tax increase in 2024.		
The city really needs to be fiscally responsible to its residents and stop		
building unsustainable sprawl infrastructure that serves to only lines the		
pockets of developers at the taxpayer's expense!		
···		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Do we really want Ancaster, to be the "Warehousing" capital of Ontario?		
, ,		
for discussion		
Coat		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<u> </u>		
,		
, ,		
,		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
· · ·		
· ·		
Tree Preservation Plan:		
	The city has a \$3.2 Bllion infrastructure deficit and residents are looking at a 14.2% tax increase in 2024. The city really needs to be fiscally responsible to its residents and stop building unsustainable sprawl infrastructure that serves to only lines the pockets of developers at the taxpayer's expense! Hamilton still has acres of brownfield and infill opportunities available, that for the most part are fully serviced. Developers need to start exploring how to utilize these sites instead of bulldozing over our farms. Do we really want Ancaster, to be the "Warehousing" capital of Ontario? If we can use the 2023 Southcote road construction project as a starting point for discussion Cost: How much will this project really cost Hamilton taxpayers? And let's be honest, what is the real return to taxpayers and at what environmental cost? The former Ancaster councillor went to council in 2022 asking for \$6m for the Southcote road project I find it strange that the former head of one of the province's largest construction companies would ask council to approve and	project to ask some questions and outline some of the issues and challenges that local residents experienced during the project. I'm a little disappointed that the city of Hamilton would even entertain the Garner Road project. The city has a \$3.2 Bllion infrastructure deficit and residents are looking at a 14.2% tax increase in 2024. The city really needs to be fiscally responsible to its residents and stop building unsustainable sprawl infrastructure that serves to only lines the pockets of developers at the taxpayer's expense! Hamilton still has acres of brownfield and infill opportunities available, that for the most part are fully serviced. Developers need to start exploring how to utilize these sites instead of buildozing over our farms. Do we really want Ancaster, to be the "Warehousing" capital of Ontario? If we can use the 2023 Southcote road construction project as a starting point for discussion Cost: How much will this project really cost Hamilton taxpayers? And let's be honest, what is the real return to taxpayers and at what environmental cost? The former Ancaster councillor went to council in 2022 asking for \$6m for the Southcote road project I find it strange that the former head of one of the province's largest construction companies would ask council to approve and fund a project and within months needed to go back and ask for more funding , twice! Was he not supplied with the correct numbers by staff? This is a significant issue that the original financial numbers presented to our council to approve the Southcote road project are now 3x higher than they were lead to believe! The city had to use reserve funds to support these changes that ended up pushing the cost of the project to about \$14.9 million as of August 2022. I suspect the project will be closer to \$20 million when it's finally completed While still on cost issues, how was the existing soil deemed as not suitable but somehow was not an issue during several major road construction projects in the last 15 years on Southcote? T



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
Contact Information	133de/Oditeiti	Action Taken	Communentitiesponse
	replace the swath of trees that need to be cut to accommodate the widening		
	of Garner road .		
	or Gamor road.		
	Homes/ Churches/ Heritage buildings :		
	How many structures along Garner Road will you impact?		
	Does the city really have the money to expropriate or move any of these		
	buildings that stand in the way of this project?		
	It is sad to see how the face of Ancaster has changed in the last 20 years		
	and the unfortunate disappearance of a large number of vintage or heritage		
	buildings.		
	Snow removal and Road maintenance:		
	Again, we can hardly afford to maintain our existing roads system let alone		
	the replacement cost that future generations will incur.		
	How is the city prepared to remove the Snow from such a wide roadway and		
	at what cost?		
	Piling snow on residential front lawns or melting it with road salt is not the		
	answer.		
	I can only imagine the impact of road salt on my own front lawn let alone		
	what the impact would be to some of the environmentally sensitive areas		
	along Garner Road.		
	Project Timelines:		
	And lastly, the duration of the Southcote Road project was ridiculous!		
	Residents were told at initial information meetings that Southcote was such		
	an important road and that it had to be upgraded. The City put the		
	contractor's profits ahead of the residents and allowed the project to run for		
	months longer than was necessary as road construction crews were often		
	pulled off this project to work on other projects for the contractor.		
	There were days/ weeks where there was no "road construction" activity. I'm		
	not including the installation of signage, street lights, poles etc. in this		
	observation I guess this project just wasn't that important		
	Traffic Management:		
	Traffic Management: There was little and most of it was ineffective. The amount of non-resident		
	traffic was unacceptable. The weekends were especially bad with speeding		
	and lots of dust. On some summer weekends the dust was so bad that you		
	lost the right to enjoy your own property!		
	I'm not sure how you would manage the volume of traffic that uses Garner		
	Road. The last road closures for construction on Garner by Hamilton drive		
	lasted most of the summer and had to be extended and the traffic was		
	diverted onto Wilson Street. When there were accidents on the 403 the		
	entire town became gridlocked for hours.		
	S. M. O COM DOCUME G. MOCHOL		
	Local Residents Impact:		



	Municipal Class Environmental As		
Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	From the planning aspect, Southcote has to be one of the most poorly managed and communicated projects that i have seen in Ancaster in 40 years.		
	There was little in the way of a single point of communication to the key stakeholders l.e., the local residents. You know, there is nothing more frustrating than having someone knock on		
	your door at 7am telling you that you won't have access to your driveway or suddenly you have your driveway blocked for the weekend without any warning.		
	But you would have to live on Southcote to enjoy that experience There were numerous delays that frustrated both contractors and residents and significant grading issues that could have been and should have been		
	addressed and resolved before the shovels hit the ground. These issues were poorly communicated and left the impacted residents less than happy with the outcome and caused major design changes to the project.		
	The city's "on site" team did as best as they could trying to keep residents informed and their efforts were much appreciated! I would hope that our city's project management and communication skills		
	are improved going forward with any future projects.		
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website January 2, 2024: Hamilton declared a climate emergency - this will further add to the negative effects of climate change in our community. We have many old trees along this stretch of area that need to be preserved to help with the negative effects of climate change. Widening this roadway further puts the remaining wetlands at risk and the species that live there - especially the turtles. There are many historical buildings that also need to be taken into account - why do we need to change things always for the worse?! Think about future</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website January 2, 2024: Hamilton declared a climate emergency - this will further add to the negative effects of climate change in our community. We have many old trees along this stretch of area that need to be preserved to help with the negative effects of climate change. Widening this roadway further puts the remaining wetlands at risk and the species that live there - especially the turtles. There are many historical buildings that also need to be taken into account - why do we need to change things always for the worse?! Think about future	No action required.	No response required.
Anonymous User via	generations Comment received on Engage website January 3, 2024:	No action	No response required.
Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>The Garner marsh is one of the last biodiversity havens as well as a natural flood preventing reservoir on the Hamilton mountain. Any activity to diminish its area (square footage as well as significance) will cause permanent, irreversible damage to this unique system. In my opinion this is of more importance than the capacity of the road. So, let's find other options for the flow of traffic and preserve Garner Marsh!! Thank you.</td><td>required.</td><td></td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	The Garner marsh is one of the last biodiversity havens as well as a natural flood preventing reservoir on the Hamilton mountain. Any activity to diminish its area (square footage as well as significance) will cause permanent, irreversible damage to this unique system. In my opinion this is of more importance than the capacity of the road. So, let's find other options for the flow of traffic and preserve Garner Marsh!! Thank you.	required.	
Anonymous User via Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< td=""><td>Comment received on Engage website January 3, 2024: Please don't move the wetland. Do your best to work around it. If it can't be avoided there must be a replacement, hopefully before the other one is destroyed so the wildlife can be transferred as much as possible.</td><td>No action required.</td><td>No response required.</td></notifications@engagementhq.com<>	Comment received on Engage website January 3, 2024: Please don't move the wetland. Do your best to work around it. If it can't be avoided there must be a replacement, hopefully before the other one is destroyed so the wildlife can be transferred as much as possible.	No action required.	No response required.
	Comment received January 3, 2024 Hello Megan,	No action required.	No response required.
	Thank you very much to you and your team for your valiant effort to continue with the PIC on the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment		



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	on December 11, 2023. It must have been very difficult to persevere under so many difficult circumstances, as the venue changed, the time was considerably shortened, and the whole thing was conducted in front of a noisy library desk.		
	I expect that when you re-convened on Tuesday morning for a de-brief, that you all realized that the PIC had been less than successful and requires rescheduling.		
	I have attached below my comments and observations, not in any prioritized order, although I do think the team is working in a vacuum, without input from the many other groups who are stakeholders and who should be at the table as decisions are made.		
	Thank you listening to my suggestions. I appreciate your time and patience and look forward to PIC #2 repeated later this year.		
	Attached Comments:		
	 Overall Observations: Absolutely NO mention was made of the Climate Crisis although the City of Hamilton declared itself in a climate crisis in 2019. NO mention of the need to reduce the use of fossil fuels and GHG emissions – only an emphasis on moving goods faster. No mention of where they are going, but we all know these trucks are supposed to move faster to deliver goods to warehouses scheduled for development on Garner Road. Was this simply an honest omission? Citizens have the right to know that this road widening is the Drug Gateway to Sprawl in their backyards. The climate crisis will worsen exponentially over time, yet there was no mention of Adaptation and Mitigation – 2 key features which the Office Of Climate Change is espousing. 		
	 There were NO comments from the City's new Office of Climate Change. The Director and staffers should be major team players in this project as the widening of the Truck route has the potential to obliterate natural heritage features, thus destroying any ability to mitigate flooding and erosion. And Do NO Harm: this should be the overriding mantra of any City project at this critical time in our history. Any change which destroys wildlife habitats, thickets, woodlands, wetlands, and trees runs contrary to everything that the City is trying to save. 		



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	 Hamilton has a Tree Canopy Program. How will it be honoured? Have you worked with proponents so you understand how you can cooperate and meet their objectives? 		
	 In general, the team seemed to be working in an absolute vacuum, 		
	with no input from any other group. Early conversations with other		
	teams may have negated the need for this project completely and		
	saved the City time, money, and energy.		
	Garner Road itself:		
	 If the Road needs work, re-surface it. It's only 3 km. 		
	 There is no need for 3 – 5 lanes + bicycle lanes + sidewalks which may 		
	eat up 36 – 46 metres of space that is already occupied by hydro lines, pipelines, cemeteries and people's homes.		
	Speeding trucks along Garner Rd. should not be allowed as there is a		
	school along the route. Speed limits around schools are generally 40		
	km/hr. – not 60 or 100 regardless of whether this is a transit-priority route or not.		
	How can you justify a TRUCK route at the edge of the Greenbelt and		
	urban sprawl? This design may suit the transit model in 2024 but it will		
	be outdated in 10 years and Hamiltonians will still be paying the toll of a		
	ghost highway which cost millions of dollars.		
	The Natural Environment:		
	One poster explained that "an inventory of the existing natural Description of the existing natural in the existing		
	environment in the area will be completed through field investigations,		
	background research and consultation with the Hamilton Conservation Authority. A Natural Heritage Assessment Report will be prepared to		
	summarize the findings." And then what happens? Once the		
	natural features have been inventoried and identified, is there a		
	proposal to save them? Much more of an explanation is required,		
	please!!		
	What will be done to save the trees, the habitat for birds/bees/bats,		
	many of which are at risk?		
	 What about the small animals living in the area? How will you deal 		
	with them? You must have a planthere was discussion of animal		
	crossings. Has Hamilton ever designed/built one before?		
	 Also of major consideration is the time of year, not only for the creation 		
	of the inventory but also for construction. Consider nesting time for		
	birds, migration for swansthere is much at stake here.		



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	 Hamilton has a Biodiversity Action Plan. Have you liaised with this team to determine if your goals are aligned? They will certainly have input in your plans. 		
	 Environmental Assessment: The original EA was done in 2011 and has absolutely NO relevance today. We need surfaces that are permeable, that will hold water to prevent flooding and soil erosion, that will hold carbon emissions. The paved surfaces recommended throughout the presentation boards offer no protection from flooding, no suggestion that we need to change strategies in the midst of this global environmental breakdown. When extreme weather events occur, the torrents of rain will simply wash across the acres of pavement and asphalt and find their way into peoples' basements and living rooms. This is not a sustainable model. (I have refrained from mentioning the floods which will drain from the warehouse parking lots and flat-roofed buildings. This overflow will head directly north into the subdivisions on the other side of Garner Road.) 		
	 Storm Water Management Ponds These are the Developer's answer to the destruction of wetlands and they are a poor substitute They are full of contaminants, road salt, oil runoff from vehicles. They have no ability to actively absorb water or carbon emissions like a wetland. They must be monitored, managed and eventually de-watered. Who has this responsibility? 		
	 City of Hamilton Concepts: Hamilton is conscientiously working to develop "walkable communities" where everything a citizen needs to live, work, and play can be found within a 20-minute walk. This concept of a multi-lane highway where the only goal is speed does not address this issue. Many have argued that the warehouses within the AEGD are wrongly placed. They should be in the industrial lands on the bayfront where there is easy access to rail, marine shipping, and major highway routes to move freight. 		
	The Urban Boundary:		



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
	 It has been well-documented that Hamiltonians will hold the urban boundary. This is the decision of residents and City Council. This highway widening is not consistent with holding the urban boundary. Experience has shown that once a highway is built into rural lands, sprawl will soon follow. 		
	 The document on Engage.Hamilton specifically mentions properties still using wells for drinking water and septic beds, etc., yet admits that this is beyond the scope of the study. There were people in attendance on Monday evening who were worried about their wells, their property values, and what the future holds. The team seems absolutely determined to go forward with this project, regardless of the impact on people's lives and the fact that you are not part of the solution. In the same vein, Engage.Hamilton recognizes that the ROW may impact some property owners but the "project team will ensure early and thorough communication with impacted property owners." Can you please expand on this to explain what it really means. How much advance notice do they actually receive? 		
	 Indigenous People: One of the display boards listed all the archaeological sites, and there were many. Have the Indigenous People been consulted, not only on the overall project but on the fate of the archaeological findings? They should have been one of the first groups to be included in this EA and should have been at the table from the earliest stages. They may have input that could halt this project NOW. Please pay them respect and attention. A 3-km highway is not more important than the history of our Indigenous People. 		
	 Project Plan: On the Project Plan, there was no decision point, nothing that would cause the project to be paused, re-scheduled, or stopped completely. What would it take to stop this project? That should be part of your decision tree: a go/no go decision. 		
Anonymous User via	Comment received on Engage website January 4, 2024:	No action required.	No response required.



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response
<pre>Engage Hamilton <notifications@engagementhq.com< pre=""></notifications@engagementhq.com<></pre>	In the hope for a sustainable climatic future, Ontario and all cities should be making a great effort to move away from car-centered societies. Building major infrastructure that will promote the status quo use of fossil-fuel supported transportation is terribly irresponsible in today's world. If we want to see more extreme climate events in Canada, keep paving greenspaces to make room for more gas-driven vehicles. These new highways and expansion plans in Ontario and Hamilton are so disappointing for my family who all live here, and have been waiting for real climate-emergency leadership from all levels of government. Any Environmental Impact Assessment worth it's salt would not allow the building or expansion of more paved roads over wetlands. I lose hope for my children every time your 'development' plans remain stagnant without any progressive creativity. Canada wants to solve the climate emergency I wish our Premier would start acting like he cares, even a little bit. Change course - build EV stations instead of wasting our money on paving over wetlands again and again. Canada Geese used to nest in a wetland beside Garner Rd. that was filled in to build housing long ago - I hope the Garner Marsh in Ancaster will not meet the same fate. I do not trust any company to do a proper environmental impact assessment if they are hired or related in any way to the corporations that want to build Amazon warehouses on our wetlands in the Hamilton region. These warehouses are mini-deserts that will serve to accelerate the climate emergency. I don't want them, they are a blight on the landscape and cause worse air quality, increased heat, worse air and ground pollution and damage our important life-sustaining natural heritage. Think harder about our future before deciding our fate for us, please. And there is a wetland used by Provincially endangered Red-headed Woodpeckers that is right beside Highway #6 where the proposed widening is to take place. The Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas project has documentation on thes		
	Comment Received January 5, 2024: Please see below my comments and recommendations for the Garner Road EA and potential widening. I have lived on Garner Road for over 50 years and I am very familiar with the road and surrounding properties. 1. The City move towards a 4-lane road with a center turning lane where possible. There are cars repeatedly passing slow moving vehicles, City equipment such as lawnmowers/tractors, and farm equipment, even on double lines. This is obviously not a safe practice. 2. The City move towards a 4-lane road with a center turning lane to accommodate the current and future development along Garner Road. I understand that The City is scheduling a new 24" round watermain on Garner Road, from Southcote Road to Duff's Corner. This is in addition to the existing watermain and is scheduled for spring of 2024. The City has noted this is needed for future development along Garner Road. 3. The land south of Garner Road between Fiddler's Green Road and Shaver's Road is recently designated Greenbelt. However, this land was	No action required.	Thank you for your comments. I wanted to confirm that they have been received and will be reviewed by the project team. Thank you, Megan



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response	
	originally designated as Whitebelt in 2005 and has flipflopped in and out			
	of the greenbelt over the years based on political choice and will likely be			
	developed to residential use in the future. Therefore, the City should move			
	towards a 4-lane road with a center turning lane to accommodate future			
	growth.			
	4. I understand that there may be trees affected by the widening of Garner			
	Road. Tree removal is not new to the City and is required for progress.			
	The new development at 515 Garner Road West resulted in probably 200			
	mature trees being removed without any public opposition.			
	5. I do not recommend a separate bike lane with the road widening. I do not			
	see a lot of bike traffic and there will likely be no available space for this.			
	6. Recommend setting the speed limit to 50 kilometers per hour along			
	Garner Road.			
	7. Recommend pedestrian sidewalks on the north side of Garner Road. With			
	the added number of condo units on Garner, there is an increase in			
	pedestrian traffic. The existing sidewalks and lack thereof is not safe at			
	all.			
	8. Recommend stoplights at multiple intersections such as Hamilton Drive,			
	403 off ramp (it is difficult to turn left).			
	I understand the above proposal is an aggressive approach, however I have			
	seen Garner Road pieced together poorly in the past in an effort to make			
	traffic work. The road should be designed and constructed properly in the			
	future.			
	Thank you for your time and consideration.			

Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Appendix K Engagement

K.4 Public Information Centre 2





Garner Road

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment City of Hamilton

Public Information Centre 2

Ancaster Old Town Hall (210 Wilson Street E, Ancaster) 6:00 pm − 8:00 pm August 22, 2024



Land Acknowledgement





The City of Hamilton is situated upon the traditional territories of the Erie, Neutral, Huron-Wendat, Haudenosaunee and Mississaugas. This land is covered by the Dish With One Spoon Wampum Belt Covenant, which was an agreement between the Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabek to share and care for the resources around the Great Lakes. We further acknowledge that this land is covered by the Between the Lakes Purchase, 1792, between the Crown and the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation.

Today, the City of Hamilton is home to many Indigenous people from across Turtle Island (North America) and we recognize that we must do more to learn about the rich history of this land so that we can better understand our roles as residents, neighbors, partners and caretakers.



Welcome!



The goals of this Public Information Centre (PIC) are to:





Review the Project Background





Review the Problems and Opportunities





Review feedback and comments received in PIC 1

Present Alternative Design Concepts and Draft Evaluation Criteria



Present Preliminary Recommended Design Concept


Answer questions and provide an opportunity to get involved

Comments received will be used to help identify the approach for improvements within the study area.

Project Summary



The City of Hamilton initiated a Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) to develop and assess Alternative Solutions to improve transportation along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp). The EA will assess options to improve traffic, active transportation, transit, and stormwater management throughout the corridor. The improvements are required to support future growth within Hamilton, specifically the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD).





Municipal Class EA Process



The Municipal Class EA study process frames the planning and implementation of municipal infrastructure.

An EA is a planning process for municipal infrastructure, legislated by the *Ontario Environmental Assessment Act*. This EA study is being conducted as a Schedule 'C' project under the Municipal Class EA document (October 2000, as amended) and includes Phases 1 to 4.



Phase 1: Problem and Opportunity

- Review background planning and policy documents
- · Identify study area needs, problems and opportunities

Phase 2: Alternative Planning Solutions

- Complete inventories of existing conditions (socioeconomic, natural and cultural environments)
- Identify and evaluate feasible alternative solutions
- Select Recommended Alternative Solution
- Present to public and agencies for comment

Phase 3: Alternative Design Concepts We are here

- Develop and evaluate Design Alternatives
- Identify Impacts and Mitigation Measures
- Select a Recommended Design Alternative
- Present to public and agencies for comment

Phase 4: Environmental Study Report

- Document the decision-making process in an Environmental Study Report (ESR)
- Circulate draft ESR to agencies for review
- Publish Notice of Study Completion for 30-day comment period

Phase 5: Implementation

- Complete Contract Drawings and Tender Documents
- Construction and Operation
- Monitoring for Environmental Provisions and Commitments



Phase 1: Problem and Opportunity



Garner Road from Wilson Street to the Highway 403 ramp is a rural cross-section with inadequate transportation facilities to accommodate existing and future road users (pedestrians, cyclists, transit, commercial vehicles, and autos). Garner Road has no cycling facilities and discontinuous sidewalks. The existing Garner Road corridor cannot support the projected growth within the AEGD.

Improvements to Garner Road are required to accommodate existing and future transportation needs. Improvements will include road widening for the implementation of rapid transit and active transportation (i.e., bike lanes, sidewalks, multi-use paths).










Phase 2: Recommended Solution




The following Alternative Solutions have been carried forward for further consideration in Phase 3 of the Class EA process (Alternative Designs) and evaluated using the factors and criteria presented:

- Operational Improvements: Implement localized measures to improve transit, active transportation, and localized roadway improvements to optimize traffic flow. These improvements can include cycling lanes, sidewalks, transit queue jump lanes, intersection improvements, and/or turning lanes.
- Widen Garner Road: Include additional travel and/or turning lanes (e.g., 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections) to accommodate future travel demand. The right-of-way would be designed to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, transit, vehicles, and truck traffic.







Notes:

- Conceptual cross-section elements shown here are from the AEGD update.
- The cross-section configurations are conceptual, context sensitive and, where applicable, subject to refinements during Phases 3 & 4 of the EA process.
- The road cross-section options will incorporate these elements into the three Alternative Designs.

Public Information Centre #1 Summary



The first PIC was held in-person on December 11, 2023 to present and receive public input on Phase 1 and 2 of the study. The following key themes and comments were received following PIC #1:

Road Safety and Expansion

- ✓ Mixed responses on desire for road widening
- ✓ Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists
- ✓ Safety concerns relating to the current design and speed
- ✓ Concerns about Garner Road being an alternate route for 403 traffic

Pedestrian Facilities

- ✓ Mixed responses for new sidewalk and cycling facilities in a busy traffic corridor
- ✓ Support for active transportation facilities

Climate Change and Stormwater Management

- ✓ Concern for water runoff from acquired property
- ✓ Concern for climate change impacts

Natural Environment

- ✓ Concern for impacts to existing trees
- ✓ Concern for wildlife



Phase 3: Alternative Designs Alternative Cross-Sections



The recommended alternative solution to **Widen Garner Road** considers 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections. The following three cross-sections were presented at PIC #1:



Alternative 1: 3 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to three lanes, including one travel lane in each direction, a centre two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 36m width



Alternative 2: 4 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to four lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 36m width.



Alternative 3: 5 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to five lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.

Evaluation Criteria



As presented in PIC 1, the Alternatives were assessed using the factors and criteria below. Comments received from agencies, stakeholders, Indigenous Nations and members of the public will be integrated as required.

Socio-Economic Environment

- Impacts to business operations
- Noise impacts
- Property and access
- Aesthetics and complete livable better streets
- Compatibility with existing and proposed developments

Natural Environment

Vegetation and wildlife



- Water resources
- Air quality
- Climate change
- Stormwater management

Transportation/Engineering

- Accommodate future travel demands (capacity)
- Safety for all users
- Public transit service
- Road network compatibility / connectivity
- Accommodate pedestrians / cyclists
- Response times / access for emergency vehicles
- Accommodate truck traffic
- Services / utilities
- Cost (i.e., capital cost, operational costs)

Cultural Environment

- Archaeological resources
- Built heritage / cultural landscape resources

Evaluation of Alternative Cross-Sections



The Alternative Cross-Sections are evaluated below:

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 1 3 Lane Cross-Section 36m Right-of-Way	Alternative 2 4 Lane Cross-Section 36m Right-of-Way	Alternative 3 5 Lane Cross-Section 45m Right-of-Way
Transportation	Least preferred	Most preferred	Moderately preferred
Cultural Environment	Moderately preferred	Moderately preferred	Least preferred
Socio-Economic Environment	Most preferred	Moderately preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
OVERALL SUMMARY	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred

Alternative 2: 4 Lane Cross-Section (36m Right-of-Way) is recommended for the Garner Road corridor. The cross-section will be implemented using a best-fit approach to avoid and/or reduce impacts along the corridor.

The 36m right-of-way provides some flexibility for design improvements: to allow for additional turning lanes approaching intersections where the road footprint allows; to avoid and/or reduce impacts to existing trees and utilities; to incorporate low-impact-develop (LID) measures rather than using roadside ditching for stormwater management; and to protect for future uses (i.e., rapid transit).


Active Transportation Alternatives



As part of the 4 lane cross-section, active transportation facilities will be included within the right-of-way. This will include both pedestrian and cycling facilities.

To determine the suitable cycling facility type for the corridor, the 3-stage Recommended Facility Selection Process in the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 18: Cycling Facilities (2021) was used. An overview of the 3-stage process is outlined below.

OTM Book 18 is a traffic engineering and control reference manual produced by the Ministry of Transportation and developed in association with the Ontario Traffic Council.



Stage 1: Pre-Select Facility Type Option

 Stage 1 of the Facility Selection process selects a desirable facility type based on motor vehicle speed and average daily traffic volume

Stage 2: Detailed and Contextual Evaluation

• Stage 2 evaluates the cycling route through a desktop review and field investigations to understand site specific characteristics

Stage 3: Justify and Document Rationale

Stage 3 identifies and evaluates the preferred facility type

Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 1 – Pre-Select Facility Type Option



Stage 1 of the Facility Selection process selects a desirable facility type based on motor vehicle speed and average daily traffic volume.

Based on the road designation, either the urban/suburban or rural nomograph is used to preselect the applicable facility type.


Garner Road Conditions:

- Garner Road falls under the urban/suburban nomograph
- Traffic Volume: exceeds 10,000 vehicles per day
- Speed limit: Ranges 60 to 70 km/h

A Physically Separated Bikeway is identified as the pre-selected facility type. These include:

- Separated Bicycle Lanes,
- Cycle Tracks, and/or
- Multi-Use Paths

Desirable Cycling Facility Pre-Selection Nomograph Urban/Suburban Context (Step 1)



Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 2 – Detailed and Contextual Evaluation



Once the pre-selected facility has been identified, Stage 2 evaluates the cycling route to understand site specific characteristics. Characteristics were grouped into the following functional groups and evaluated:

Characteristic Group	Description	Garner Road Characteristics
Roadway Characteristics	Vehicle speed, traffic volume, road function (street, road, highway), vehicle mix, pedestrian activity, on-street parking, and frequency of intersections and crossings	Garner Road poses high risk for users, options separating pedestrians and cyclists from vehicle traffic were preferred
Feasibility	Available space, anticipated costs, and type of roadway improvement project	Mixed facilities were preferred for lower costs and aligning with existing infrastructure
Attractiveness	User skill and stress tolerance, level of cycling usage, function of route in cycling network	Lack of existing cycling facilities prioritized low-stress facilities that are able to accommodate increased cyclist traffic of all ages

A Physically Separated Bikeway (i.e. a Separated Bicycle Lane, Cycle Track, and/or Multi-Use Path) is the preferred facility type for Garner Road.

Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 3 – Justify and Document Rationale



Following Stages 1 & 2, a Physically Separated Bikeway (i.e., a Separated Bicycle Lane, Cycle Track, and/or Multi-Use Path) is the preferred facility type for this Study.

Three Alternative Designs were developed based on the selected facility type:

Alternative A:




3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on Both Sides

Alternative B:



3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side

Alternative C:



2.0m Sidewalk and 2.0m Cycle
Track on Both Sides of the Road
(+0.6m Buffer)

These Alternatives were assessed and justified using the Evaluation Criteria.



Evaluation of Active Transportation Alternatives





The evaluation of Active Transportation Alternatives is presented below.

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative A 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on Both Sides		Alternative C 2.0m Sidewalk & 2.0m Cycle Track on Both Sides of the Road (+0.6m Buffer)
Transportation	Least preferred	Most preferred	Most preferred
Cultural Environment	Moderately preferred	Moderately preferred	Least preferred
Socio-Economic Environment	Most preferred	Moderately preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
OVERALL SUMMARY	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred

Alternative B: 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side is selected as the Recommended Active Transportation Alternative for the following reasons:

- Provides a physical separation for cyclists and pedestrians from traffic
- Multi-Use Pathway is accommodating for several levels of users
- Has the least impact on the existing trees, property and heritage resources
- It is consistent with the recommended improvements along the corridor outside of the study area



Intersection Improvements Garner Road and Wilson Street West




Improvements to the intersection of Garner Road and Wilson Street West are required as part of the study. A 2 lane roundabout was reviewed and compared to the current signalized intersection.

Operationally, the traffic signals were found to function well into the future, though a roundabout offered a slight increase in serviceability. Collision history was reviewed for this location, and it was found that the collision trends for this intersection were less than the rest of the Garner corridor, and did not indicate an issue where a roundabout would greatly improve safety.

A roundabout was not recommended as it would have significant property impacts in order to accommodate the unique road geometry of the intersection. A conceptual drawing of the roundabout is provided for consideration. This concept layout was shifted to various locations in the area, though each concept included various property impacts.

Maintaining a signalized intersection is recommended. Active Transportation facilities will be integrated as part of the next phase.






Intersection Improvements *Garner Road and Highway 6 West Ramp Terminal*



Adjustments to the intersection of Garner Road and the Highway 6 West Ramp Terminal are required to incorporate the recommended design. The four-lane cross section will transition west of the ramp terminal, and the existing single lane in each direction under the structure will remain.

The City of Hamilton and the Ministry of Transportation are currently examining the potential to add traffic signals to both ramp terminals on Garner Road. The recommendations from this Municipal Class Environmental Assessment can be integrated into a future signalized intersection during detail design.



Transitioning of the Active Transportation into the intersection will be determined through consultation with MTO and the development of the signalized intersection.





Overall Recommended Design



As a result of the evaluation of alternative cross-sections, and active transportation alternatives, the following design is recommended for the Garner Road corridor:

- 4 Lane Cross-Section within a 36m ROW
- 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side
- Signalized intersections at Garner Road and Wilson Street West, and at Garner Road and Highway 6 West Ramp Terminal

The proposed Garner Road corridor is presented on the printed roll plan, available in-person at the PIC and on the project website.

Stormwater Management

As part of the overall design, stormwater management measures will be included along the corridor to mitigate impacts of the proposed improvements. Water quality and quantity controls will be implemented.

Where possible, LID features (such as bioretention or enhanced grass swales) will be implemented where boulevard space and property permits. Underground infiltration chambers are a potential solution where space is limited. More traditional stormwater measures will also be considered, including storm sewers and oil and grit separators to meet quantity and quality control.







Preliminary Mitigation Measures



Impacts resulting from this project will be minimized to the extent possible. Preliminary mitigation measures have been identified below, and will be further refined in the Environmental Study report and during detail design. Investigations are ongoing and will continue to help confirm environmental impacts, refine mitigation measures, and support obtaining required permits and approvals.









Natural Environment

Species surveys have been undertaken to identify wildlife present within the study area. Wildlife crossings are not recommended as a mitigation measure for the species identified. Impacts to the existing natural environment will be minimized to the extent possible.



Utility conflicts with the Recommended Design will be determined.
Relocations or mitigation measures will be completed in advance of construction through consultation with individual utility organizations

Noise Impacts

The potential changes in traffic noise associated with the Recommended Design is currently being reviewed. A Noise Assessment is being completed to determine if measures are required to mitigate potential increases in traffic noise (i.e., noise wall, landscaping, etc.).

Tree Impacts

Trees and vegetation will need to be removed and will be minimized to the extent possible. Opportunities for new plantings and landscape enhancements will be identified during detail design.

Next Steps



Following this PIC, the project team will complete the next steps below:

Complete Field Investigations and Studies Review and Consider Feedback Received During PIC 2 Confirm Recommended Design Phase 4: Environmental Study Report 30-day Public Review Period Early 2025

At the end of the study, a Notice of Study Completion will be issued with the Environmental Study Report (ESR). The ESR will document the decision-making process and public feedback, and will be made available for a 30-day comment period.

Thank you!

Thank you for participating in this PIC for the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment study. Your feedback is valuable and appreciated.

Please provide comments by filling out the comment form or by contacting a member of the project team below by September 12, 2024:





Megan Salvucci

Senior Project Manager – Capital Infrastructure Planning City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5

Phone: 905-546-2424 extension 2732 Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca



Isaac Bartlett

Sr. Associate, Transportation Stantec Consulting 400-1305 Riverbend Road, London, ON N6K 0J5

Phone: 519-675-6643

Frequently Asked Questions

City of Hamilton, Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study

Active Transportation	
Why is a sidewalk being recommended for the south side when all of the large communities are on the north side of Garner Road?	This EA is being completed to support the current and future growth within Hamilton, specifically the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD). A 2.0 m sidewalk is being recommended for the south side of Garner road to improve active transportation options that will not only support the residents in the larger communities to the north but will also support the anticipated future growth. The sidewalk on the south side can be constructed in phases to meet the demand and as part of the development along the corridor.
Traffic & Safety	
Garner Road is often used as an alternate route for Highway 403 traffic. What is being done to mitigate traffic congestion, specifically in the surrounding communities?	The Garner Road corridor serves as the Provincial Emergency Detour Route for Highway 403 between Wilson Street (Interchange 58) and Highway 6 (Interchange 61). Outside of emergency situations, the traffic along the corridor is monitored for efficiency and traffic signal timing can be adjusted to accommodate peak period trends as required.
What will be the posted speed limit of Garner Road?	The existing posted speed limit of Garner Road is 60 km/hr. The speed limit is not anticipated to change as part of these improvements and will be confirmed during future phases of the project.
Will a sanitary sewer be installed to support development?	The design of additional sanitary sewers to the corridor is being undertaken as part of a separate project. In order to reduce impacts to the public, the construction of the road works will include the construction of the sanitary sewers.
Noise	

I'm concerned about increased traffic-related noise as a result of the road widening. Will a noise barrier be included to mitigate noise impacts on residents?	A Noise Assessment Study is being undertaken as part of the study. The findings will be presented as the study progresses, including the need for noise mitigation measures (i.e. noise barriers, landscaping, etc.). The noise assessment will use current and projected future traffic data to model the sound levels caused by road traffic with and without the proposed improvements.
Natural Environment	
What is being done to preserve the green spaces, walking trails, trees, and other important natural features such as the Greenbelt within the corridor?	The project team is aware of the diverse natural environment surrounding Garner Road. For this project, tree removal will be required to accommodate the new transportation facilities, though impacts to the trees will be avoided and mitigated to the extent possible. As part of the study, opportunities for streetscaping and landscaping improvements will be taken into consideration where feasible. Potential impacts to the natural environment are being considered as part of the evaluation of potential alternative cross-sections. Any potential impacts will be mitigated to the extent possible and will be shared as the study progresses.
Will there be wildlife crossings along the	In regard to the Greenbelt, this study follows the requirements and policies identified under the Ontario Greenbelt Plan (2017) to ensure the protection and preservation of agricultural lands and natural heritage features located within the Greenbelt. Wildlife corridor crossings are being considered as part of the overall
corridor? How will species at risk (SAR) be impacted?	improvements to provide safe passage for wildlife to pass under the roadway, and to reduce the risk of collision with motor vehicles. A Natural Environment Assessment Report is being undertaken as part of this study and will document potential impacts and appropriate mitigation measures to reduce potential negative effects. This information will be incorporated into the final Environmental Study Report.

Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes

There are many heritage features including a historical cemetery and church along Garner Road. Will these be impacted?	A review of the existing built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes within the study area will be completed. The City of Hamilton Heritage Mapping and Resources has identified several heritage properties within the study area. Any potential impacts will be mitigated to the extent possible and will be shared as the study progresses.
Evaluation Criteria	
We noticed that some items currently under review in the EA process were previously approved through the Garner Road/Rymal Road and Garth Street EA. Specifically, the new traffic signal at Garner Road East and the Highway 6 off-ramp. Could you clarify why these are being re-evaluated?	This EA includes a recommendation for traffic signals at the Highway 6 off-ramp, which is consistent with the Garner Road/Rymal Road and Garth Street EA. Our project team is working with the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) to integrate our recommendations along with their ongoing Highway 6 Provincial Class EA, as well as the proposed signalization design that the City and MTO are currently progressing.
Construction Phase	
When does the City of Hamilton anticipate beginning construction on the Garner Road improvements identified through this EA?	The construction phase for this project is anticipated to start in 2028.
Current construction in the area is already causing traffic congestion and delays. What will be done to alleviate traffic during the construction phase of this project?	At this time details on the anticipated construction phase are not available and will be assessed following the completion of this study and detailed design phase.
What will be done to mitigate noise and property impacts during the construction phase of this project?	The City has standard mitigation measures and specifications for contractors to follow during construction to minimize the noise and impacts to the lands adjacent to the roadway.
What will be done to mitigate impacts to wildlife during the construction phase of this project?	



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print)

Phone	Number:			
Property	Location: (if different from mail	ing address):		
lf you w	sh to be added to the City's s	tudy mailing list, ple	ease enter your email a	ddress below:
СОММІ	ENTS: Pleuse conside	er a di	edicated left	hard turning la
	nto Wood mount	t Avenue	95 SOUR 85	possible.
	There are safe	ety 15sies	to be consi	dered slice to the
risat	volume of traff	lie. An a	lecident in	wanting to happe
Co	iner Las mei	y beauti	ful trees	The landsupe
We	é be significan	the change	l. Hope	ely insiderate
luc	lbe given 40 pi	Warring .	e comment	h Environment
by	e lines, speans	pera, wa	eking track	s anot just
	corporation true	nsportation	curridor.	

Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by <u>Thursday, September 12, 2024</u> to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall - 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print)

ailing Address:	
hone Number:	
roperty Location: (if different from maili	ing address):
you wish to be added to the City's st	tudy mailing list, please enter your email address below:
COMMENTS:	
The wider	ying of the infrest
at my se	deres to go man
to agreen	too the store of the
merelasine	a letaler in the last
30 wash	bloo the wildlefor
turtles, de	eer fine, raccomo lete
- must han	ve augo to movingato
Ludh to	the grand so the practy
and land	It by both sides to
nursunds	2 22 wildelo
to go u	under The spend espenie
with mises	ared truppes and 4 lares
A it site	now to pick up save
ald any	weeks into weeks.
of relieve	under the costs and it
is not deep	n enough to allow winds
in a wester	ly direction that will to
up that p	rogress so immediate at
1 is wellerian	y to conveniente to water De

will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by Thursday, September 12, 2024 to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print)

Name:
Mailing Address:
Phone Number:
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):
If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:
COMMENTS:
· 2 LANG & TURN LANG ONLY OPTION.
PROPLEMS AT THIS LOCATION CHAMILLOW
DROP OFF 10-15 ON SOUTH SITTE
OF GARNER.
· THERE SHOULD BE NO SIDEWACKS ON THE SOUTH SIDE AND NO BLKE LANGS.
· THE GAS COMPANY NEXT DOOR TO THE EAST NEEDS MORE CAND FOR SERVICE VEHICLES ETC.
· WATER RUN OFF FROM THE FICED-IN POND CTHE BISHOP TONAS. (YEARS AGO FILLED IN 15 NOW IN OUR SIDE YARD & WILLOWLY GET WORSE.

Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by <u>Thursday, September 12, 2024</u> to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print)

Name:	
Mailing Address:	
Phone Number:	
Property Location: (if o	different from mailing address):
If you wish to be adde	d to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:
constructed on the in Anract now, this of our I to Isaac curb fac we are a and cons	in front of our house. Our house is in front of our house. Our house is list of invendoried historical proporties As the plan exists s sidewalk is going to be in the middle lying room. At this meeting we talked But lett and he advised us that the e could be changed. List concerned about the noise levels trudion vibration that could damge tago proporty. What measures is the
Comments and infor	mation regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting

Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by <u>Thursday</u>, <u>September 12</u>, <u>2024</u> to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Dlasca Drint) Name: Mailing Address: Phone Number: Property Location: (if different from mailing address): If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below: COMMENTS:

Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by <u>Thursday</u>, <u>September 12</u>, <u>2024</u> to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(B'-ase Print)	
Name:	
Mailing Address:	
Phone Number:	
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):	
If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:	
	_
COMMENTS: This cemetery and Church are Very historical to the area The about his of	
be 200 years ald and The Cemetery 240 year	2
don over 28 years there are many gran sit	01.7 ++ =>
of the embackment. You are destroying our	
history. The wild life has peen set down	<u> </u>
by your development for the North side are	
But wellow to destroy more world left lind	Zes
animals That you are distroying of build	_
stop derigning and fixing Things we That	<i>f</i> -
are not broken	
	_
	_
	_
	_
Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting	าต

Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by **Thursday, September 12, 2024** to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print)
Name:
Mailing Address:
Phone Number:
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):
If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:
Speech limit needs to be reduced. Traffic at already flows well past the speed limit. If you are going to construct sewers Should be put in at that time It is currently at the corner of fidalers t
Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the <i>Environmental Assessment Act</i> . They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record. Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by Thursday ,

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

-	Name: Mailing Address:		
•	Phone Number:		
Property Location: (if different from mailing address): If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:			
-	Speed people already travel 70-80 down that road speed Should be reduced to 50		
	in the section of graders gree to to Hamilton		
	by Juducing taxes:		
	high capacity housing speed should be reduced to 50		
-	so they drive 60		
_			

the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by <u>Thursday, September 12, 2024</u> to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm – 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

Name:
Mailing Address:
Phone Number:
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):
If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:
COMMENTS: I like the new design
Please add more street ignts det voor
con the worle easily letter and cross the voad
Do not put in the yellow-flashing procestrian lights
what they mean. So many curs and troks
I like that this will become a Hane + road. Hamilton news larger infrastruction especially sine we do not have they service roads.
the micht of the city o termilto should to
Hope fully this wiclening will redirect ovar for tape away from wilson street which is hand and comoring
flack pul
Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the Environmental Assessment Act. The

Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by <u>Thursday, September 12, 2024</u> to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall – 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print)

Name:	
Mailing Address:	
Phone Number:	
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):
If you wish to be add	ded to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:
COMMENTS:	
Alternative	- adding amiddle lane on Gamer Road W/Eis really
Truck	needed. The existing road shoulders will be absorbed
traffic/	by the third lane, However, the deep ditches along
Construction	Garner Road W/E need to be kept for water ronoff
16stri	Thave you seen now sent and water can rise in the ditch
time	bening Ancaster Storage on Garner Rd Wat McClure Road
delivenes	When my street was lowered in 1998 or 1999 to accommod
oversize	gas pipleline for new survey at old Mill Rol /Tollgate Drive
tadds 10	where Hamilton Drive has nowling and a stage of spaces
propelles	and on three properties
(2014) _	There really is no space for a multi-use path nor
	continuous sidenalk. Right non there are the store
	that are on the shoulders, Entridge has a gas plant
	first past a deep ditch slope where my east-bound bus stop is
~~	Let's face the fact that Wilson St WIE had bike lanes infalled
	in the past Tyears (incl on Wilson St. Ehill). There is not enough
	stafflequipment/budget to provide snowplaning for these paths
	. J
comments and infor	mation regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting

itation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by Thursday, September 12, 2024 to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall - 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print)			
Name:			
Mailing Address:			
Phone Number:			
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):			
If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:			
COMMENTS:			
To preserve more trees (100 ish) don't			
build the 2n sidewalk on the south			
side. Wait till development occurs on t			
South side + let Them build it only			
build the 3.5m path on the north side			
on the kids/residents there Add exo			
at the bus stops.			
·			
Commonto and information and i			
Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the <i>Environmental Assessment Act</i> . They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.			

ents in the box provided or forward them by Thursday, September 12, 2024 to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643



Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall - 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

	TELESCE FILM
Name:	
Mailing Address:	
Mailing Address.	
Phone Number:	
Property Location: (if different from mailing a	address):
11.11	
If you wish to be added to the Citv's study	mailing list inlease enter your email address below:
COMMENTS:	
D Presser consi	Las the last H H Ale
unat con astion	403 Courses a lines
Wales and of our	a large
alkinite	THE WILL GARRER as on
The state of the s	
The spine con	t weren James for 5 gears
inger and	I have culastrophic
+ a ME	of you should like
July Joseph	tess on Garner to Valedate
- Miss Migh	College
(2) Perus (p. 1)	A. a. a. the
Consider the Consideration of	and pulling in a turning
Olem in a	Joannant & Joann is
lin lack I W	here development that is
The first of the f	wilmourt. Kleene Consider
Current Ka	al on Woodmant before the
ale to do go	as time frame (Heres is
frent of space	To deale the lane currently
3). The circult and	
The wife of supp	toyment lands will cause
Trans I I I want	2 M Harner The family that
I de luce	I showed me a prospetters
Comments and information in	educal office building as an oxample
and requirements of the Environmental As	study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting assessment Act. They will be maintained on file for use during the mentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments
	ovided or forward them by <u>Thursday, September 12, 2024</u>
Megan Salvucci, RPP	lease Bartlett B 5
Project Manager, Infrastructure Renew	Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng. val Project Manager (Consultant)
City of Hamilton Phone: 905-977-1478	Stantec Consulting Phone: 519-675-6643

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Phone: 519-675-6643



PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE

Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall - 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

Name:
Mailing Address:
Phone Number:
Property Location: (if different from mailing address):
If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below:
COMMENTS:
My major concern # is that there is no provision Son a off rump from Hwy 6 to west bound 403. This means that all west bound traffic from Hwy 6 to 403 must use Rock Road > Fidellers > Garner To Humilton Drixe. This is traffic conditioning
Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the <i>Environmental Assessment Act</i> . They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record. Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by Thursday . September 12 , 2024

to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant) Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643

Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com



PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE

Garner Road (Wilson Street to Highway 403 off-ramp) EA Thursday, August 22, 2024 Ancaster Old Town Hall - 310 Wilson Street East 6:00 pm - 8:00 pm

COMMENT SHEET

(Please Print) Name: Mailing Address: Phone Number: Property Location: (if different from mailing address): If you wish to be added to the City's study mailing list, please enter your email address below: COMMENTS:

Comments and information regarding this study are being collected to assist the City of Hamilton in meeting the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act*. They will be maintained on file for use during the study and may be included in study documentation. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

Please drop these comments in the box provided or forward them by Thursday, September 12, 2024 to either:

Megan Salvucci, RPP

Project Manager, Infrastructure Renewal

City of Hamilton

Phone: 905-977-1478

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett, P. Eng.

Project Manager (Consultant)

Stantec Consulting

Phone: 519-675-6643

Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com

Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment – PIC #2

Overall Observations:

- Absolutely NO mention was made of the Climate Crisis although the City of Hamilton declared itself in a climate crisis in 2019.
- NO mention of the need to reduce the use of fossil fuels and GHG emissions only an emphasis on moving goods faster. No mention of where they are going, but we all know these trucks are supposed to move faster to deliver goods to warehouses scheduled for development on Garner Road. Was this simply an honest omission? Citizens have the right to know that this road widening is the Drug Gateway to Sprawl in their backyards.
- The climate crisis will worsen exponentially over time, yet there was no mention of Adaptation and Mitigation 2 key features which the Office Of Climate Change is espousing.
- There were NO comments from the City's new Office of Climate Change. The Director and staffers should be major team players in this project as the widening of the Truck route has the potential to obliterate natural heritage features, thus destroying any ability to mitigate flooding and erosion.
- And Do NO Harm: this should be the overriding mantra of any City project at this critical time in our history. Any change which destroys wildlife habitats, thickets, woodlands, wetlands, and trees runs contrary to everything that the City is trying to save.
- Hamilton has a Tree Canopy Program. How will it be honoured? Have you worked with proponents so you understand how you can cooperate and meet their objectives?
- In general, the team seemed to be working in an absolute vacuum, with no input from any other group. Early conversations with other teams may have negated the need for this project completely and saved the City time, money, and energy.

Garner Road itself:

- If the Road needs work, re-surface it. It's only 3 km.
- There is no need for 3 5 lanes + bicycle lanes + sidewalks which may eat up 36 46 metres of space that is already occupied by hydro lines, pipelines, cemeteries and people's homes.
- Speeding trucks along Garner Rd. should not be allowed as there is a school along the route. Speed limits around schools are generally 40 km/hr. not 60 or 100 regardless of whether this is a transit-priority route or not.
- How can you justify a TRUCK route at the edge of the Greenbelt and urban sprawl? This design may suit the transit model in 2024 but it will be outdated in 10 years and Hamiltonians will still be paying the toll of a ghost highway which cost millions of dollars.

The Natural Environment:

- One poster explained that "an inventory of the existing natural environment in the area will be
 completed through field investigations, background research and consultation with the Hamilton
 Conservation Authority. A Natural Heritage Assessment Report will be prepared to summarize
 the findings." And then what happens? Once the natural features have been inventoried and
 identified, is there a proposal to save them? Much more of an explanation is required, please!!
- What will be done to save the trees, the habitat for birds/bees/bats, many of which are at risk?
- What about the small animals living in the area? How will you deal with them? You must have a plan....there was discussion of animal crossings. Has Hamilton ever designed/built one before?
- Also of major consideration is the time of year, not only for the creation of the inventory but also for construction. Consider nesting time for birds, migration for swans.....there is much at stake here.
- Hamilton has a Biodiversity Action Plan. Have you liaised with this team to determine if your goals are aligned? They will certainly have input in your plans.

Environmental Assessment:

- The original EA was done in 2011 and has absolutely NO relevance today. We need surfaces that are permeable, that will hold water to prevent flooding and soil erosion, that will hold carbon emissions. The paved surfaces recommended throughout the presentation boards offer no protection from flooding, no suggestion that we need to change strategies in the midst of this global environmental breakdown.
- When extreme weather events occur, the torrents of rain will simply wash across the acres of pavement and asphalt and find their way into peoples' basements and living rooms. This is not a sustainable model. (I have refrained from mentioning the floods which will drain from the warehouse parking lots and flat-roofed buildings. This overflow will head directly north into the subdivisions on the other side of Garner Road.)

Storm Water Management Ponds

- These are the Developer's answer to the destruction of wetlands and they are a poor substitute
- They are full of contaminants, road salt, oil runoff from vehicles. They have no ability to actively absorb water or carbon emissions like a wetland.
- They must be monitored, managed and eventually de-watered. Who has this responsibility?

City of Hamilton Concepts:

- Hamilton is conscientiously working to develop "walkable communities" where everything a
 citizen needs to live, work, and play can be found within a 20-minute walk. This concept of a
 multi-lane highway where the only goal is speed does not address this issue.
- Many have argued that the warehouses within the AEGD are wrongly placed. They should be in the industrial lands on the bayfront where there is easy access to rail, marine shipping, and major highway routes to move freight.

The Urban Boundary:

- It has been well-documented that Hamiltonians will hold the urban boundary. This is the decision of residents and City Council.
- This highway widening is not consistent with holding the urban boundary.
- Experience has shown that once a highway is built into rural lands, sprawl will soon follow.

Do the Least Harm:

- The document on Engage. Hamilton specifically mentions properties still using wells for drinking water and septic beds, etc., yet admits that this is beyond the scope of the study. There were people in attendance on Monday evening who were worried about their wells, their property values, and what the future holds. The team seems absolutely determined to go forward with this project, regardless of the impact on people's lives and the fact that you are not part of the solution.
- In the same vein, Engage. Hamilton recognizes that the ROW may impact some property owners but the "project team will ensure early and thorough communication with impacted property owners." Can you please expand on this to explain what it really means. How much advance notice do they actually receive?

Indigenous People:

- One of the display boards listed all the archaeological sites, and there were many. Have the Indigenous People been consulted, not only on the overall project but on the fate of the archaeological findings?
- They should have been one of the first groups to be included in this EA and should have been at the table from the earliest stages. They may have input that could halt this project NOW. Please pay them respect and attention.

• A 3-km highway is not more important than the history of our Indigenous People.

Project Plan:

- On the Project Plan, there was no decision point, nothing that would cause the project to be paused, re-scheduled, or stopped completely.
- What would it take to stop this project? That should be part of your decision tree: a go/no go decision.

.



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action	Commitment/Response
		Taken	
	Electronic comment form received on September 2, 2024: Concern regarding closure of Garner Road for construction citing other recent closures to facilitate construction. Noted that they do not believe there is enough traffic to warrant widening the road. Expressed support for construction of a sidewalk. Asked which side of the road the sidewalk and widening would occur on. Asked when construction is anticipated. Noted that they rarely see bikes on the road so expressed that they feel bike lanes are not necessary. Requested to be added to the project mailing list.	Added contact information to the mailing list.	No response required.
	Email received on August 26, 2024: Noted that they are the development managers representing the owners of Asked the following questions: • The Environmental Assessment (EA) is expected to conclude in early 2025. Once the EA is complete, what are the next steps? Will the detailed design of the approved option be initiated immediately? • How long is the detailed design phase expected to take? • When does the City of Hamilton anticipate beginning construction on the Garner Road improvements identified through this EA? • We noticed that some items currently under review in the EA process were previously approved through the Garner Road/Rymal Road and Garth Street EA. Specifically, the new traffic signal at Garner Road East and the Highway 6 off-ramp. Could you clarify why these are being re-evaluated? • Are there any differences in the proposed traffic signal design between this EA and the previous one? Email response received on August 29, 2024: Noted they mapped out the schedule and that it appears that detailed design would be completed by approximately the end of Q1 2027. Asked if the remainder of the year would be dedicated to the tender and budget approval at Council. Also asked the following questions: • Will construction begin in early 2028 (January) or will it begin in the spring of 2028? • Is phased construction anticipated? • Will construction start at Highway 6 and work westward? • Are there opportunities to accelerate some of the Garner Road work to support our development and, if so, who can we speak to about this specifically?	No action required.	City of Hamilton response sent via email on August 28, 2024: Provided the following answers to their questions: The EA is expected to conclude Q1 2025. The next phase is detail design, which will start after the conclusion of the EA Usually, detail design takes about 2 years The construction phase should start in 2028 The traffic signal has not been re-evaluated, it has been proposed in the previous EA as well Asked for Stantec to respond to their fifth question. Stantec response sent via email on September 4, 2024: Response to question five: This EA includes a recommendation for traffic signals at the Highway 6 off-ramp, which is consistent with the Garner Road/Rymal Road and Garth Street EA. Our project team is working with their ongoing Highway 6 Provincial Class EA, as well at the proposed signalization design that the City and MTO are currently progressing. City of Hamilton response sent via email on September 5, 2024: Responded to questions asked on August 29, 2024. Noted that the scheduling for detailed design is tentative and that Q1 2027 is the earliest that it would be completed, but that it is also dependent on how utility relocations and any property acquisitions proceed. Indicated that tendering would occur as soon as possible thereafter but that it depends on the workplan of the construction staff, seasonal timing, coordination with subsurface components, and coordination with neighbouring segments (i.e., would not begin construction on Wilson Street to Highway 6 until the Highway 6 to Upper Paradise Road portion is constructed). Indicated that there is no anticipated construction phasing yet and that this is typically determined by the construction contractor.



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action	Commitment/Response		
		Taken			
	Email response received on September 4, 2024: Followed up, questing answers to their questions submitted on August 29. Email response received on September 9, 2024: Asked if construction of the improvements under the previous Garner Road/Rymal Road EA is complete. Noted that their understanding is that it may be complete and that it should not impact the start date of the construction work for this project.		Noted that, at this time, because timelines are tentative, the Project Team is not aware of any opportunities to accelerate work. Indicated that, as the project proceeds, the Project Team can pass along contact information of design staff so that they may check in when there is more information available.		
General Public 1	 Email received on September 3, 2024: Provided the following comments/recommendations: Recommended a 4-lane cross-section with a centre turning lane, where possible, to accommodate current and future development along Garner Road Expressed safety concerns regarding cars passing slower moving vehicles (e.g., farm equipment) Noted the land south of Garner Road between Fiddler's Green Road and Shaver Road is designated as Greenbelt Recommended that consideration be given to replacing trees removed to facilitate the project Noted they do not support a separate sidewalk on the south side of Garner Road, as there are few residential properties there and they can use the north sidewalk Recommended a 50 km/h speed limit on Garner Road Noted support for a pedestrian/bike sidewalk on the north side of Garner Road and expressed concern for the lack of an existing sidewalk Recommended stoplights at Garner Road intersections with Hamilton Drive and the Highway 403 off-ramp 				
	Electronic comment form received on September 2, 2024: Does not support construction of a sidewalk on the south side of Garner Road, as the largest communities are on the north side of the road. Recommended construction of a bike lane on the south side of Garner Road and a sidewalk on the north side. Expressed concern regarding traffic noise and indicated that a sound barrier is required at Woodmount for residents on Silver Maple Drive. Requested to be added to the project mailing list.	No action required.	No response required.		
	Electronic comment form received on September 11, 2024: Expressed support for improvements to Garner Road but noted that widening should continue past the Highway 6 off-ramp to Upper Paradise Road so that additional bottlenecks are not created. Noted that they are in favour of the 4 or 5 lane cross-sections but would prefer that multi-use paths be installed on both the north and south	No action required.	No response required.		



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action	Commitment/Response
		Taken	
	sides of Garner Road. Indicated concern that having only one multiuse path on the north side will encourage cyclists to travel on the eastbound lanes of Garner Road and that they have witnessed this on Southcote Road where only one multi-use path exists. Expressed concerns regarding construction impacts on residents and commuters. Asked how long the project would take to construct. Asked if construction will be broken down into closed segments or if a complete closure of Garner Road will be undertaken. Noted the current work on Garner Road is causing delays and frustration. Asked how the tender process will work for the project and noted that GIP is currently working on several city projects and that they seem ill-prepared to complete multiple projects at once. Noted that Southcote Road is not yet complete and there is minimal, or no work being conducted at many sections of Garner Road. Lastly, asked how the project will affect property taxes. Requested to be added to the project mailing list. Electronic comment form received on September 2, 2024:	No action	No response required.
	Asked that they be kept informed of the project and that water service not be interrupted into Ancaster and beyond. Noted concern for environmental impacts as a result of construction. Requested to be added to the project mailing list.	required.	No response required.
	Electronic comment form received on September 14, 2024: Requested no additional construction in the area. Expressed concern with traffic caused by recent construction. Requested to be added to the project mailing list.	No action required.	No response required.
	Email received on September 12, 2024: Submit a letter on behalf of the owner of they are the authorized planning consultant acting on behalf of Beedie Development Services Ltd., who manages the lands municipally known as owner, Ontari Holdings Inc.		City of Hamilton response sent via email on September 13, 2024: Requested an agenda for an upcoming meeting with the commenter. City of Hamilton response sent via email on September 17, 2024: Noted that the City is able to meet on October 10 and provided potential meeting times.
	Indicated that Prestige Business – Special Policy Area H on Map B.8-1 of the Airport Employment Growth District of the City's Urban Hamilton Official Plan, and it is located in the Airport Prestige Business (M11, 26, H57) Zone within the City's Zoning By-law No. 05-200. Noted that to develop the lands, UrbanSolutions has submitted a Draft Plan of Subdivision application to establish a new public road intersecting with Garner Road and Woodmount Avenue together with blocks to accommodate 123,590 m² of industrial space. Indicated that the submission included a comprehensive range of		



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action	Commitment/Response	
		Taken		
	supporting studies and reports from a multi-disciplinary project team. Indicated the purpose of the letter is to acknowledge and monitor the Garner Road Class EA given the relationship of the proposed development and road works included in the Class EA. Noted they attended PIC 2 for the project, that they can share findings from their Transportation Impact Study, and believe that there is an opportunity to collaborate with the project team on improvements in the vicinity of 140 Garner Road. Email response received on September 9, 2024: Provided their preferred meeting time.			
General Public 2	Provided their preferred meeting time. Provided written comments at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Comments were provided in point form. Asked if sewers will be available to everyone. Indicated notices should be equal, unbiased, and undiscriminating. Point form comments included "rural mail, move to south", "all other services", "width and location", "expropriation and payment for lands", "green belt", and "speed limit".	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Requested consideration of a dedicated left turn lane onto Woodmount Avenue as soon as possible. Expressed concern regarding safety related to current traffic volumes. Noted there are many trees along the corridor. Indicated that consideration should be given to preserving a "community" environment of bike lanes, green space, and walking trails, and that Garner Road should not just be a "transportation" corridor.	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted that the culvert near their address requires increased capacity to accommodate water flows. Also indicated that the culvert should also be wide enough to allow access for wildlife to navigate under Garner Road and that fencing should be installed to direct wildlife to the culvert. Noted that they find roadkill several times a week. Lastly, noted that the City's Water Department should be made aware that if the watermain being placed under the creek is not deep enough to allow widening in a westerly direction, it will cause delays.	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024:	No action required.	No response required.	



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response	
	Expressed support only for a two-lane cross section with a turn lane. Noted problems at the Hamilton Drive intersection include a drop off of 10 to 15 feet on the south side and bus stop on both sides of Garner Road. Expressed that there should be no sidewalks on the south side of Garner Road and no bike lanes. Indicated the gas company in the Study Area requires more land for service vehicles. Noted that run off from the fenced-in pond at Bishop Tonnas (years ago filled in) will only get worse. Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Expressed concern regarding the cemetery and church in the area, noting that they are historical, with the church being 200 years old and the cemetery being 240 years old. Noted that they are in charge of the cemetery. Noted that there are grave sites that are only a foot or two from the embankment. Expressed concern regarding impacts to wildlife (e.g., turtles, bats, deer, owls, etc.). Expressed concern regarding densification in the area.			
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted concern regarding the proximity of the proposed sidewalk to their house. Indicated their house is on the list of inventoried historical properties in Ancaster and is located on the corner of Fiddler's Green Road and Garner Road. Noted that, at the PIC, the Project Team advised that the curb face can be changed. Also expressed concern regarding noise levels and construction vibration that could damage their house/property. Asked what measures will be taken to ensure homes will not be damaged by vibration during construction. Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted concern regarding the proximity of the proposed sidewalk to their house. Recommended either eliminating the proposed sidewalk from the front of their property or eliminating the sidewalk	No action required.	No response required.	
	altogether. Recommended phasing in the sidewalk when it is warranted in the future. Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted that the speed limit needs to be reduced given the school and park in the area and that traffic in the area does not obey the current speed limit. Noted that if sewers are to be constructed, they should be done at the same time as the road construction.	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted concern with location of mailbox and having to cross four lanes of traffic to access it. Recommended reducing the speed limit to 50 km/h and that people speed currently. Recommended that	No action required.	No response required.	



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action	Commitment/Response	
		Taken	Company of the Compan	
	sanitary sewers be installed in the section of Fiddlers Green Road to Hamilton. Indicated that taxes should be reduced due to inconvenience of construction.			
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted support for the design and that the city needs more, larger roads to better access the city. Recommended adding more traffic lights at intersections to increase safety for drivers and pedestrians. Indicated that they do not support installation of yellow flashing pedestrian lights citing the road being four lanes and a lack of public knowledge on what they indicate.	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted support for a middle turning lane on Garner Road. Expressed that ditches along Garner Road need to be kept for drainage and that seasonal water levels near McClure Road can be high. Noted that Hamilton Drive has ponding near a sharp corner and on three properties. Indicated that there is no space for a multi-use path or continuous sidewalk. Noted that Wilson Street had bike lanes installed and there is not enough staff/equipment/budget to provide snowplowing for these paths.	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Recommended not building the sidewalk on the south side to preserve more trees and to build it in the future when there is more development. Noted support for the 3.5 m path on the north side of Garner Road. Recommended adding crossings at the bus stops.	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Noted travelers use Garner Road as an alternate route when Highway 403 is congested. Suggested using traffic counters on Garner to validate high volumes. Recommended consideration for a turning lane at Woodmount as there is a development set to occur there. Lastly noted that development of the airport employment lands will add to future traffic volumes.	No action required.	No response required.	
	Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Recommended consideration for a turning lane at the end of Woodmount on Silver Maple Drive, as it is difficult to turn onto Garner Road when it is busy. Noted that they believe the development to the east of Woodmount will require a turning lane.			
	Recommended consideration for construction of a westbound lane to Highway 403 off of Garner Road. Noted people use Garner Road between the current Highway 403 ramp, Hamilton Drive, and Wilson Road to access the Highway 403 westbound ramps, and that this will decrease traffic on Garner Road.			



Contact Information	Issue/Concern	Action Taken	Commitment/Response	
	Recommended that the contractor consider building a temporary lane for vehicles during construction so that delays are minimized. Provided written comment form at PIC 2 on August 22, 2024: Expressed concern that there is no provision for an off-ramp from Highway 6 to westbound Highway 403, and that this means that traffic from Highway 6 to Highway 403 must use Book Road, Fiddlers Green Road, Garner Road, to Hamilton Drive.	No action required.	No response required.	

Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Appendix K Engagement

K.5 Agency Engagement



Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessr	nent
Appendix K Engagement	

K.5.1 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting #1





Garner Road

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment City of Hamilton

TAC Meeting 1 October 17, 2023



Welcome!



The goals of this Public Information Centre (PIC) are to:









- Introduce the project and why it is being undertaken
- Provide an overview of the process that this study will follow for the project
- Provide a summary of the problems and opportunities to address
- Present existing conditions within the study area
- Present alternative solutions and draft evaluation criteria
- Answer any questions you may have and provide an opportunity to get involved in the project

Comments received during this study will be used to help identify the approach for current and future improvements within The City of Hamilton.




Project Summary



What are we doing?

The City of Hamilton has initiated a Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) to develop and assess alternative solutions to improve transportation along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp). The EA will assess options to improve traffic, active transportation, transit, and stormwater management throughout the corridor. The improvements are required to support future growth within Hamilton, specifically the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD).





Municipal Class EA Process



The Municipal Class EA study process frames the planning and implementation of municipal infrastructure

An EA is a planning process for municipal infrastructure, legislated by the *Ontario Environmental Assessment Act*. This MCEA Study is being conducted as a Schedule 'C' project under the MCEA document (October 2000, as amended).

This EA covers Phases 1 to 4.

Phase 1: Review background planning and policy documents Identify study area needs, problems and opportunities Problem and Opportunity Detailed inventories of socio-economic, natural and Phase 2: cultural environments Identify and evaluate feasible alternative solutions Alternative Planning Select Recommended Alternative Solution Solutions Present to public and agencies for comment We are here Phase 3: Develop and evaluate Design Alternatives Identify Impacts and Mitigation Measures Alternative Design Select a Recommended Design Alternative Concepts Present to public and agencies for comment

Phase 4: Environmental Study Report

- Document the decision-making process in an Environmental Study Report (ESR)
- Circulate draft ESR to agencies for review
- Publish Notice of Study Completion for 30-day comment period

Phase 5: Implementation

- Complete Contract Drawings and Tender Documents
- Construction and Operation
- Monitoring for Environmental Provisions and Commitments





Planning and Policy Context

Hamilton Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD) Transportation Master Plan

An AEGD Transportation Master Plan (TMP) was developed to prepare a transportation strategy that would accommodate employment projections within the AEGD (displayed on the map below). Growth is estimated to increase by over 28,000 people/employees by the year 2031. The AEGD and TMP recommend road widening, rapid transit, cycling infrastructure and transportation demand management (TDM) measures along Garner Road.

Ancaster Transportation Master Plan (ATMP)

The 2011 ATMP identifies improvements to support mobility to the year 2031. The ATMP identifies Garner Road as a Major Arterial road and Full Time Truck Route, and identified improvements along Garner Road including road widening, and adding left turn lanes as required.





Problem & Opportunity



Garner Road from Wilson Street to the Highway 403 Ramp is a rural cross-section with inadequate transportation facilities to accommodate existing and future road users: pedestrians, cyclists, transit, truck, and auto users. Garner Road has no cycling facilities, and discontinuous sidewalks. The existing Garner Road corridor does not support the projected growth within the AEGD.

Improvements to Garner Road are required to accommodate existing and future transportation needs. Improvements will include the implementation of planned rapid transit, active transportation (i.e., bike lanes, sidewalks, multi-use paths), and road widening.









Natural Environment




Existing Conditions

The study area includes the following natural environment features:

- Multiple watercourses and watercourse crossings
- Wetlands, evaluated and unevaluated
- Significant woodland
- Wooded areas

Field surveys include:

- Amphibian calls
- Bats
- Vegetation
- Breeding bird
- Headwater drainage assessment
- Fish habitat





Cultural Environment



Existing Conditions

The study will review and consider other resource material such as:

Archaeological Resources

A Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment was completed as part of this study.

- 21 archaeological assessments have been previously carried out within the study area
- 132 archaeological sites have been identified within one kilometer of the study area.
 Of those, nine were located within the study area, all of which are pre-contact Indigenous.
- Approximately 40.31% of the study area still retains potential for the recovery of archaeological resources and requires a Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment.

Cultural Heritage Resources

A review of existing built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes within the study area will be completed. The project team is aware of existing heritage features, such as churches and cemeteries, adjacent to Garner Road.



Transportation

Hamilton

Existing Conditions

The existing corridor is comprised of a two lane roadway with turning lanes at select intersection, which are either stop controlled or signalized.



Historical collision data indicates that the corridor has a relatively low collision rate overall. The highest recorded collisions were observed at the intersection of Fiddler's Green Road and Garner Road.

Intersection	Collisions (C)	Volume (V)	Data Period (N)	Collision Rate (R)	
RAMP WILSON EB TO GARNER EB @ GARNER RD W	2	22.114	5	0.05	
WILSON ST W @ RAMP WILSON EB TO GARNER EB	1	16,583	5	0.03	
GARNER RD W @ MCCLURE RD	2	14,743	5	0.07	
GARNER RD W @ SHAVER RD	5	22,551	5	0.12	
GARNER RD W @ HAMILTON DR	3	27,171	5	0.06	
GARNER RD W @ PANABAKER DR	2	27,289	5	0.04	
FIDDLER'S GREEN RD @ GARNER RD W	9	30,761	5	0.16	
ANSON DR @ GARNER RD E	1	22,551	5	0.02	
GARNER RD E @ MILLER DR	2	23,517	5	0.05	
GARNER RD E @ RAMP 403 WB TO GARNER	2	77,478	5	0.01	



Transportation

Existing Conditions



The existing corridor was also reviewed to determine the overall Multi Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) for vehicles, trucks, cyclists, pedestrians, and transit. The corridor has discontinuous sidewalks and lacks dedicated cycling infrastructure, which resulted in a poor MMLOS for those elements.

Mode	Level of Service
Transit	Poor Long delays, low levels of reliability
Bicycles	Fails No dedicated cycling infrastructure
Pedestrians	Fails Discontinuous sidewalks throughout corridor
Automotive	Good - Fair Low lane utilization, short delays
Trucks	Good - Fair Unimpeded movement, short delays

LOS **A**, **B**, **C** = Good LOS **D** = Fair LOS **E**, **F** = Poor

Table 3.7 - Existing Conditions (2021) Segment MMLOS Results

	Eastbound					Westbound				
Segments	Ped LOS	Bike LOS	Transit LOS	Truck LOS	Car LOS	Ped LOS	Bike LOS	Transit LOS	Truck LOS	Car LOS
Mason Dr to McClure Rd	Fails	Fails	F	C	С	Fails	Fails	F	C	В
McClure Rd to Shaver Rd	Fails	Fails	E	С	C	Fails	Fails	F	С	В
Shaver Rd to Hamilton Dr	Fails	Fails	F	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	С
Hamilton D to Panabaker D	Fails	Fails	Е	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	С
Panabaker Dr to Braithwaite Ave	Fails	Fails	F	С	C	Fails	Fails	Е	С	В
Braithwaite Ave to Fiddlers Green Rd	Fails	Fails	F	D	D	Fails	Fails	F	В	Α
Fiddlers Green Rd to Anson Dr.	Fails	Fails	F	D	D	Fails	Fails	F	D	D
Anson Dr to Miller Dr	Fails	Fails	F	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	В
Miller Dr to Woodmount Ave	Fails	Fails	F	С	В	Fails	Fails	F	С	С
Woodmount Ave to HWY 403 Off-Ramp	Fails	Fails	F	D	D	Fails	Fails	F	D	D
HWY 403 Off-Ramp to HWY 403 On-Ramp	Fails	Fails	F	В	Α	Fails	Fails	F	В	Α



Alternative Solutions



The following Alternative Solutions were developed and assessed to determine their ability to address the problems and opportunities identified within the study area:

Do Nothing

No improvements would be undertaken, only regular maintenance and planned improvements will be in place.



Does not address the needs and opportunities for the study area. **Do not carry forward.**

Limit Development

Limit development adjacent to the study area to reduce traffic congestion on the existing network.



Does not address the needs and opportunities for the study area. **Do not carry forward.**

Operational Improvements

Implement localized measures to improve transit, active transportation, and localized roadway improvements to optimize traffic flow. These improvements can include cycling lanes, sidewalks, transit queue jump lanes, intersection improvements, and/or turning lanes.



Partially Addresses the needs and opportunities for the study area. Carry forward.

Improve Other Roadways

Widen/enhance municipal arterial roads other than Garner Road to improve capacity and operations and provide congestion relief on existing facilities through additional lanes to increase the performance of the transportation network.



Does not address the needs and opportunities for the study area. **Do not carry forward.**

Widening Garner Road

Widen Garner Road to include additional travel and/or turning lanes (e.g., 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections) to accommodate future travel demand. The right-of-way would be designed to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, transit, vehicles, and commercial vehicles.



Addresses the needs and opportunities for the study area. Carry forward.


The recommended alternative solution for the study area includes both **Operational Improvements** and **Widening Garner Road**. These will be carried forward to Phase 3 of the EA study – development of design alternatives.



Alternative Solutions

The following Alternative Solutions will be carried forward for further consideration in Phase 3 of the Class EA process (Alternative Designs) and evaluated using the factors and criteria presented on the next slide.

- Operational Improvements and Transportation Management: Implement localized measures to improve transit, active transportation, and localized roadway improvements to optimize traffic flow. These improvements can include cycling lanes, sidewalks, transit queue jump lanes, intersection improvements, and/or turning lanes.
- Widen Existing Right-of-Way to Provide Additional Travel Lanes: Widen Garner Road to include additional travel and/or turning lanes (e.g., 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections) to accommodate future travel demand. The right of way would be designed to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, transit, vehicles, and truck traffic.



Concept cross sections shown from AEGD update

NOTE: The cross section configuration is conceptual, context sensitive and, where applicable, subject to refinements at phases 3 & 4 of the Mini Assessment or Planning Applications. All cross-section elements shall conform to the City master plans, policies and standards, including street lilandscaping etc.



NOTE: The cross section configuration is conceptual, context sensitive and, where applicable, subject to refinements at phases 3 & 4 of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment or Planning Applications. All cross-section elements shall conform to the City master plans, policies and standards, including street lighting, stormwater, landscaping etc.



Hamilton

Potential Alternative Cross Section Option 1



Widen Garner Road to three lanes, including one travel lane in each direction, a centre two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.

Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 36m width.



Potential Alternative Cross Section Option 2





Widen Garner Road to three lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, separated bike lanes and sidewalks. Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.



Potential Alternative Cross Section Option 3





Widen Garner Road to five lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks. Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.





Garner Road Corridor Constraints

Several environmental and technical constraints are located within the study area that will influence the development of design alternatives and the decision-making process.



Key constraints include existing commercial/industrial areas, churches, cemeteries, residential properties, secondary school property, and park/greenspace.

The existing right-of-way varies and is approximately 30m wide on average. Additional width is required to accommodate cycling facilities, sidewalks, multi-use paths and lane capacity for cars and commercial vehicles. The location of constraints varies throughout the study area and may restrict improvement opportunities.

Phase 3: Alternative Design Elements Hamilton



During Phase 3 of the EA process, the following design elements will be considered as design alternatives are developed:

Road lane width and alignment Transit stop locations and amenities Active transportation facilities location, width, and type Physical Design Drainage/stormwater management improvements Elements Street lighting Landscaping opportunities Construction staging Operational Turning movements, accesses, signal timing Design Elements Intersection accessibility opportunities (i.e., crosswalks, cross-rides)

Evaluation Criteria



The Alternatives will be assessed using the factors and criteria below. Comments received from agencies, stakeholders, First Nations and members of the public will be integrated as required.

Socio-Economic

- Impacts to business operations
- Noise impacts
- Property and access
- Aesthetics & complete livable better streets
- Compatibility with existing and proposed developments

Natural Environment

- Vegetation and wildlife
- Water resources
- Air quality
- Climate change
- Stormwater management

Transportation/Engineering

- Accommodate future travel demands (capacity)
- Safety for all users
- Public transit service
- Road network compatibility / connectivity
- Accommodate pedestrians / cyclists
- Response times / access for emergency vehicles
- Accommodate truck traffic
- Services / utilities
- Cost (i.e., capital cost, operational costs)

Cultural Environment

- Archaeological resources
- Built heritage / cultural landscape resources



Next Steps



Following this PIC, the project team will complete the next steps identified below:



The Alternative Designs and project team recommendations will be presented at Public Information Centre 2, tentatively scheduled for winter 2024.

Thank you!

Thank you for participating in Public Information Centre for the City of Hamilton, Municipal Class Environmental Assessment for the Garner Road study. Your feedback is valuable and appreciated.

Please provide comments by filling out the comment form or by contacting a member of the project team below by **DATE**:



Megan Salvucci

Project Manager – Capital Infrastructure Planning City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5 Phone: 905-546-2424 extension 2732

Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca



Isaac Bartlett

Sr. Associate, Transportation Stantec Consulting 600-171 Queens Avenue, London, ON N6A 5J7

Phone: 519-675-6643

Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com





Meeting Notes

Garner Road MCEA - TAC Meeting #1

Project/File: 165001196

Date/Time: October 17, 2023 / [10:30]

Location: Microsoft Teams

Attendees: Megan Salvucci City of Hamilton, Project Manager (Project Team)

Olivia Stanciu City of Hamilton, Capital Infrastructure Analyst (Project Team)

Danny Pinmentel City of Hamilton, Active Transportation

Sam Brush City of Hamilton, Urban Forest Health Technician

Roger Silva City of Hamilton, Roadway Safety

Jessica Abrahamse City of Hamilton, Heritage & Urban Design

Bakir Fayad City of Hamilton, Pedestrian & Cycling Engineering

Brian Le City of Hamilton, Traffic Signal Technologist
Sarath Vala City of Hamilton, Senior Project Manager, Design

Nick Piedigrossi City of Hamilton, Infrastructure Programming Technologist

Mushfigur Raham City of Hamilton, Superintendent – Transportation

Engineering

Dawn Bingham City of Hamilton, Traffic Signal Technologist
Cory Manning City of Hamilton, District Superintendent - Parks

Hanna Daniels City of Hamilton, Manager, Water & Wastewater Systems
Harry Krinas City of Hamilton, Senior Project Manager, Infrastructure

Andrew Johnson City of Hamilton, Transit Planning & Infrastructure

Omar Shams City of Hamilton, Transportation Planning – New Initiatives

Isaac Bartlett Stantec, Project Manager (Project Team)

Paula Hohner Stantec, Senior Environmental Planner (Project Team)

Sarah Micks Stantec, Environmental Planner (Project Team)

Distribution: All attendees

Item	Action
Introduction	
The purpose of this meeting was to introduce the Technical Advisory Committee to the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) study (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp) being undertaken by the City of Hamilton.	

Item	Action
Study Background – Project Overview	
Using a presentation (attached to these minutes), Stantec and the City Project Manager provided an overview of the Garner Road MCEA study, including study background, existing conditions, alternative solutions, and evaluation criteria. The presentation will be used for Public Information Centre 1, tentatively scheduled for December 2023. [Post Meeting Note: PIC 1 is scheduled for Monday, December 11, 2023.]	
The project team highlighted the need for additional corridor capacity in the study area to accommodate existing and future travel demand and to incorporate active transportation infrastructure.	
Evaluation of Alternative Lane Widening	
The project team presented the preliminary draft evaluation of alternative cross-section lane widening options: 3-lanes, 4-lanes, and 5-lanes. It was noted that the evaluation is still preliminary, and subject to change.	
It was noted that all alternatives would require land acquisition to accommodate the right-of-way (ROW) widening, though some mitigation may be possible under some alternatives.	
Discussion	
The project team noted that the lane widening alternatives/cross-sections were derived from the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD). The evaluation of alternative cross-sections used the 36m ROW and 45m ROW arterial roadways as provided in the AEGD. These AEGD cross-sections use the Complete Street guidelines. Elements of the Complete Street guidelines included in the cross-section will be determined during the alternative designs phase of the study (following PIC 1), using the City's audit tool.	
The current AEGD cross-section figures will be provided to the project team without the 'draft' watermark once approved by City Council.	Omar Shams
There is potential to reduce the stormwater management (SWM) facilities and Low Impact Developments (LIDs) footprint proposed in the AEGD cross-sections, to best fit the needs of the Garner Road corridor. Garner Road is a border road of the AEGD, so it is understood that the recommendations of the AEGD may need to be altered to accommodate road constraints. This could include exploring alternative SWM options, that could reduce the footprint of the overall ROW. This will be further considered in the alternative designs phase of the study.	Project Team
It was noted that the SWM facilities for Garner Road would only be developed to accommodate the ROW. Any SWM for developments outside of the ROW (for residential developments, etc.) would require a separate study.	
It was noted that the connectivity of active transportation facilities between Garner Road and the Rymal Road EA recommended improvements should provide consistency to users. This will be further considered during the alternative designs phase of the study.	Project Team
The study area contains wildlife and wildlife features, with local residents and Ward Councillor advocating to conserve these species and areas. The project team will further consult with the AEGD staff to understand how the AEGD area is supporting the natural environment (i.e., wildlife crossings, mitigation measures, etc.).	Project Team

Item	Action
Mature tree canopy is located within the study area and should be retained where possible. The Forestry group will be engaged during the alternative designs phase of the study to understand how the recommended improvements may impact tree canopy.	Project Team / Forestry
The TAC expressed interest towards a 'hybrid' cross-section/ROW approach; 36m ROW, 4-lanes, with 5-lanes provided where there are more common turning movements. This would allow a smaller footprint and potentially reduced impact to adjacent properties. In addition, TAC indicated that active transportation facilities can remain flexible, and do not need to be symmetrical on both sides of the road. The project team will further consider this option during the alternative designs phase.	Project Team

The meeting adjourned at 11:00am.

The foregoing is considered to be a true and accurate record of all items discussed. If any discrepancies or inconsistencies are noted, please contact the writer immediately.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Isaac Bartlett, P.Eng, ENV SP

Project Manager

Isaac.Bartlett@stantec.com

Attachment: Presentation

Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessme	ent
Appendix K Engagement	

K.5.2 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting #2





Garner Road

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment City of Hamilton

TAC Meeting 2

June 24, 2024



Land Acknowledgement





The City of Hamilton is situated upon the traditional territories of the Erie, Neutral, Huron-Wendat, Haudenosaunee and Mississaugas. This land is covered by the Dish With One Spoon Wampum Belt Covenant, which was an agreement between the Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabek to share and care for the resources around the Great Lakes. We further acknowledge that this land is covered by the Between the Lakes Purchase, 1792, between the Crown and the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation.

Today, the City of Hamilton is home to many Indigenous people from across Turtle Island (North America) and we recognize that we must do more to learn about the rich history of this land so that we can better understand our roles as residents, neighbours, partners and caretakers.

Welcome!



The goals of this Public Information Centre (PIC) are to:



Review the Project Background



Provide an overview of the process that this study is following



Review the Problems and Opportunities



Review the Alternative and Recommended Solutions presented in PIC 1





Present Alternative Design Concepts and Draft Evaluation Criteria



Answer questions and provide an opportunity to get involved

Comments received will be used to help identify the approach for improvements within the study area.

Project Summary



The City of Hamilton initiated a Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) to develop and assess Alternative Solutions to improve transportation along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp). The EA will assess options to improve traffic, active transportation, transit, and stormwater management throughout the corridor. The improvements are required to support future growth within Hamilton, specifically the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD).



Municipal Class EA Process



The Municipal Class EA study process frames the planning and implementation of municipal infrastructure.

An EA is a planning process for municipal infrastructure, legislated by the *Ontario Environmental Assessment Act*. This EA study is being conducted as a Schedule 'C' project under the Municipal Class EA document (October 2000, as amended) and includes Phases 1 to 4.

Phase 1: Review background planning and policy documents Identify study area needs, problems and opportunities Problem and Opportunity Complete inventories of existing conditions (socio-Phase 2: economic, natural and cultural environments) Identify and evaluate feasible alternative solutions Alternative Planning Select Recommended Alternative Solution Solutions Present to public and agencies for comment Phase 3: Develop and evaluate Design Alternatives Identify Impacts and Mitigation Measures **Alternative Design** Select a Recommended Design Alternative Concepts Present to public and agencies for comment We are Document the decision-making process in an Phase 4: Environmental Study Report (ESR) Circulate draft ESR to agencies for review **Environmental Study** Publish Notice of Study Completion for 30-day Report comment period Complete Contract Drawings and Tender Documents Phase 5: Construction and Operation Monitoring for Environmental Provisions and Implementation Commitments

Phase 1: Problem & Opportunity



Garner Road from Wilson Street to the Highway 403 ramp is a rural cross-section with inadequate transportation facilities to accommodate existing and future road users (pedestrians, cyclists, transit, commercial vehicles, and autos). Garner Road has no cycling facilities and discontinuous sidewalks. The existing Garner Road corridor cannot support the projected growth within the AEGD.

Improvements to Garner Road are required to accommodate existing and future transportation needs. Improvements will include road widening for the implementation of rapid transit and active transportation (i.e., bike lanes, sidewalks, multi-use paths).








Phase 2: Recommended Solution



The following Alternative Solutions have been carried forward for further consideration in Phase 3 of the Class EA process (Alternative Designs) and evaluated using the factors and criteria presented:

- Operational Improvements: Implement localized measures to improve transit, active transportation, and localized roadway improvements to optimize traffic flow. These improvements can include cycling lanes, sidewalks, transit queue jump lanes, intersection improvements, and/or turning lanes.
- Widen Garner Road: Include additional travel and/or turning lanes (e.g., 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections) to accommodate future travel demand. The right-of-way would be designed to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, transit, vehicles, and truck traffic.



Notes:

- Conceptual cross-section elements shown here are from the AEGD update.
- The cross-section configurations are conceptual, context sensitive and, where applicable, subject to refinements during Phases 3 & 4 of the EA process.
- The road cross-section options will incorporate these elements into the three Alternative Designs.

Public Information Centre #1 Summary



The first PIC was held in-person on December 11, 2023 to present and receive public input on Phase 1 & 2 of the study. The following key themes and comments were received following PIC #1:

Road Safety and Expansion

- ✓ Mixed responses on desire for road widening
- ✓ Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists
- ✓ Safety concerns relating to the current design and speed
- ✓ Concerns about Garner Road being an alternate route for 403 traffic

Pedestrian Facilities

- ✓ Mixed responses for new sidewalk and cycling facilities in a busy traffic corridor
- ✓ Support for active transportation facilities

Climate Change and Stormwater Management

- ✓ Concern for water runoff from acquired property
- ✓ Concern for climate change impacts

Natural Environment

- ✓ Concern for impacts to existing trees
- ✓ Concern for wildlife



Phase 3: Alternative Designs Alternative Cross-Sections



The recommended alternative solution to **Widen Garner Road** considers 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections. The following three cross-sections were presented at PIC #1:





- Widen Garner Road to three lanes, including one travel lane in each direction, a centre two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 36m width



Alternative 2: 4 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to four lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.



Alternative 3: 5 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to five lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.

Evaluation Criteria



As presented in PIC 1, the Alternatives were assessed using the factors and criteria below. Comments received from agencies, stakeholders, Indigenous Nations and members of the public will be integrated as required.

Socio-Economic Environment

- Impacts to business operations
- Noise impacts
- Property and access
- Aesthetics & complete livable better streets
- Compatibility with existing and proposed developments

Natural Environment

- Vegetation and wildlife
- Water resources
- Air quality
- Climate change
- Stormwater management

Transportation/Engineering

- Accommodate future travel demands (capacity)
- Safety for all users
- Public transit service
- Road network compatibility / connectivity
- Accommodate pedestrians / cyclists
- Response times / access for emergency vehicles
- Accommodate truck traffic
- Services / utilities
- Cost (i.e., capital cost, operational costs)

Cultural Environment

- Archaeological resources
- Built heritage / cultural landscape resources

Evaluation of Alternative Cross-Sections



The Alternative Cross-Sections are evaluated below:

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 1 3 Lane Cross-Section 36m Right-of-Way	Alternative 2 4 Lane Cross-Section 45m Right-of-Way	Alternative 3 5 Lane Cross-Section 45m Right-of-Way
Transportation	Least preferred	Most preferred	Moderately preferred
Cultural Environment	Moderately preferred	Least preferred	Least preferred
Socio-Economic Environment	Most preferred	Moderately preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
OVERALL SUMMARY	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred

Alternative 2: 4 Lane Cross-Section (45m Right-of-Way) is recommended for the Garner Road corridor. The cross-section will be implemented using a best-fit approach, and will allow for adjustment to add additional turning lanes approaching intersections where the road footprint allows.


Active Transportation Alternatives



As part of the 4 lane cross-section, active transportation facilities will be included within the right-of-way. This will include both pedestrian and cycling facilities.

To determine the suitable cycling facility type for the corridor, the 3-step Recommended Facility Selection Process in the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 18: Cycling Facilities (2021) was used. An overview of the 3-step process is outlined below.

OTM Book 18 is a traffic engineering and control reference manual produced by the Ministry of Transportation and developed in association with the Ontario Traffic Council.



Stage 1: Pre-Select Facility Type Option

 Step 1 of the Facility Selection process selects a desirable facility type based on motor vehicle speed and average daily traffic volume

Stage 2: Detailed and Contextual Evaluation

 Stage 2 evaluates the cycling route through a desktop review and field investigations to understand site specific characteristics

Stage 3: Justify and Document Rationale

Stage 3 identifies and evaluates the preferred facility type

Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 1 – Pre-Select Facility Type Option



Step 1 of the Facility Selection process selects a desirable facility type based on motor vehicle speed and average daily traffic volume.

Based on the road designation, either the urban/suburban or rural nomograph is used to preselect the applicable facility type.


Garner Road Conditions:

- Garner Road falls under the urban/suburban nomograph
- Traffic Volume: exceeds 10,000 vehicles per day
- Speed limit: Ranges 60 to 70 km/h

A Physically Separated Bikeway is identified as the pre-selected facility type. These include:

- Separated Bicycle Lanes,
- Cycle Tracks, and/or
- Multi-Use Paths

Desirable Cycling Facility Pre-Selection Nomograph Urban/Suburban Context (Step 1)



Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 2 – Detailed and Contextual Evaluation



Once the pre-selected facility has been identified, Stage 2 evaluates the cycling route to understand site specific characteristics. Characteristics were grouped into the following functional groups and evaluated:

Characteristic Group	Description	Garner Road Characteristics
Roadway Characteristics	Vehicle speed, traffic volume, road function (street, road, highway), vehicle mix, pedestrian activity, on-street parking, and frequency of intersections and crossings	Garner Road poses high risk for users, options separating pedestrians and cyclists from vehicle traffic were preferred
Feasibility	Available space, anticipated costs, and type of roadway improvement project	Mixed facilities were preferred for lower costs and aligning with existing infrastructure
Attractiveness	User skill and stress tolerance, level of cycling usage, function of route in cycling network	Lack of existing cycling facilities prioritized low-stress facilities that are able to accommodate increased cyclist traffic of all ages

A Physically Separated Bikeway (i.e., a Separated Bicycle Lane, Cycle Track, and/or Multi-Use Path) is the preferred facility type for Garner Road.

Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 3 – Justify and Document Rationale



Following Stages 1 & 2, a Physically Separated Bikeway (i.e., a Separated Bicycle Lane, Cycle Track, and/or Multi-Use Path) is the preferred facility type for this Study.

Three Alternative Designs were developed based on the selected facility type:

Alternative A:




3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on Both Sides

Alternative B:



3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side

Alternative C:



2.0m Sidewalk & 2.0m Cycle Track on Both Sides of the Road (+0.6m Buffer)

These Alternatives were assessed and justified using the Evaluation Criteria.

Evaluation of Active Transportation Alternatives



The evaluation of Active Transportation Alternatives is presented below.

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative A 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on Both Sides		Alternative C 2.0m Sidewalk & 2.0m Cycle Track on Both Sides of the Road (+0.6m Buffer)
Transportation	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Moderately preferred
Cultural Environment	Most preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
Socio-Economic Environment	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment	No preference	No preference	No preference
OVERALL SUMMARY	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred

Alternative B: 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side is selected as the Recommended Active Transportation Alternative for the following reasons:

- Provides a physical separation for cyclists and pedestrians from traffic
- Multi-Use Pathway is accommodating for several levels of users
- Has the least impact on the existing trees, property and heritage resources
- It is consistent with the recommended improvements along the corridor outside of the study area




Intersection Improvements Garner Road and Wilson Street West



Improvements to the intersection of Garner Road and Wilson Street West are required as part of the study. A 2 lane roundabout is recommended to be constructed. A conceptual drawing of the roundabout is provided below, and will be refined during detail design.

Roundabouts provide the following benefits:

- Fewer points of conflict and slower speeds, which decrease the risk of serious car crashes or injury
- Roundabouts make left hand turns more efficient
- Allow high volumes of traffic to travel through the area
- Eliminate maintenance and electricity costs affiliated with traffic signals



Overall Recommended Design



As a result of the evaluation of alternative cross-sections, and active transportation alternatives, the following design is recommended for the Garner Road corridor:

- 4 Lane Cross-Section
- 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side
- Roundabout at Garner Road and Wilson Street West intersection

The proposed Garner Road corridor is presented on the printed roll plan, available in-person at the PIC and on the project website.

Stormwater Management

As part of the overall design, stormwater management measures will be included along the corridor to mitigate impacts of the proposed improvements. Water quality and quantity controls will be implemented.

Where possible, LID features (such as bioretention or enhanced grass swales) will be implemented where boulevard space and property permits. Underground infiltration chambers are a potential solution where space is limited. More traditional stormwater measures will also be considered, including storm sewers and oil and grit separators to meet quantity and quality control.





Preliminary Mitigation Measures



Impacts resulting from this project will be minimized to the extent possible. Preliminary mitigation measures have been identified below, and will be further refined in the Environmental Study report and during detail design. Investigations are ongoing and will continue to help confirm environmental impacts, refine mitigation measures, and support obtaining required permits and approvals.




Natural Environment

Species surveys have been undertaken to identify wildlife present within the study area. Wildlife crossings are not recommended as a mitigation measure for the species identified. Impacts to the existing natural environment will be minimized to the extent possible.



Utilities

Utility conflicts with the Recommended Design will be determined.
Relocations or mitigation measures will be completed in advance of construction through consultation with individual utility organizations.



Noise Impacts

The potential changes in traffic noise associated with the Recommended Design is currently being reviewed. A Noise Assessment is being completed to determine if measures are required to mitigate potential increases in traffic noise (i.e., noise wall, landscaping, etc.).



Tree Impacts

Trees and vegetation will need to be removed and will be minimized to the extent possible. Opportunities for new plantings and landscape enhancements will be identified during detail design.

Next Steps



Following this PIC, the project team will complete the next steps below:

Complete Field Investigations and Studies Review and Consider Feedback Received During PIC 2 Confirm Recommended Design Phase 4: Environmental Study Report 30-day Public Review Period Early 2025

At the end of the study, a Notice of Study Completion will be issued with the Environmental Study Report (ESR). The ESR will document the decision-making process and public feedback, and will be made available for a 30-day comment period.

Thank you!

Thank you for participating in this PIC for the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment study. Your feedback is valuable and appreciated.

Please provide comments by filling out the comment form or by contacting a member of the project team below by September 12, 2024:





Megan Salvucci

Senior Project Manager – Capital Infrastructure Planning City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5

Phone: 905-546-2424 extension 2732 Email: megan.salvucci@hamilton.ca

Isaac Bartlett

Sr. Associate, Transportation Stantec Consulting 400-1305 Riverbend Road, London, ON N6K 0J5

Phone: 519-675-6643

Email: isaac.bartlett@stantec.com



Meeting Notes

Garner Road MCEA - TAC Meeting #2

Project/File: 165001196

Date/Time: June 24, 2024 / [10:30]

Location: Microsoft Teams

Attendees: Megan Salvucci City of Hamilton, Project Manager (Project Team)

Olivia Stanciu City of Hamilton, Capital Infrastructure Analyst (Project Team)

Danny Pinmentel City of Hamilton, Active Transportation

Sam Brush City of Hamilton, Urban Forest Health Technician

Roger Silva City of Hamilton, Roadway Safety

Bakir Fayad City of Hamilton, Pedestrian & Cycling Engineering

Brian Le City of Hamilton, Traffic Signal Technologist

Mushfiqur Raham City of Hamilton, Superintendent – Transportation Engineering

Dawn Bingham City of Hamilton, Traffic Signal Technologist

Omar Shams City of Hamilton, Transportation Planning – New Initiatives

Kara Bunn City of Hamilton, Manager of Parks and Cemeteries

Nicholas Chaloux City of Hamilton, Senior Project Manager
Tanvir Chowdhury City of Hamilton, Transportation Engineering
Steve Hasselman City of Hamilton, District Superintendent Parks
Melissa Kiddie City of Hamilton, Heritage & Urban Design

Steve Molloy City of Hamilton, Manager Transportation Planning
Evan Nopper City of Hamilton, Active Transportation Technologist

John Perrotta City of Hamilton, Superintendent of Cemeteries

Parham Salehi City of Hamilton, Project Manager-Design

Tina Sha City of Hamilton, Project Manager

Michael Votruba City of Hamilton, Program Lead Urban Design Isaac Bartlett Stantec, Project Manager (Project Team)

Paula Hohner Stantec, Team Lead, Assessment and Permitting (Project Team)

Sarah Micks Stantec, Environmental Planner (Project Team)

Distribution: All attendees

Item	Action
Introduction	
The purpose of this meeting was to provide an update since TAC Meeting #1 (held in October 2023) to the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) on the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) study (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp) being undertaken by the City of Hamilton.	
Project Overview	
Using a presentation (attached to these minutes), Stantec and the City Project Manager provided an overview of the Garner Road MCEA study, including an overview of the recommended alternative solution, Public Information Centre (PIC) 1 summary, alternative cross-sections, active transportation alternatives, intersection improvements, and the overall recommended design. The presentation will be used for PIC 2, scheduled for August 22, 2024.	
Evaluation of Alternative Cross-Sections	
The project team presented the results of the evaluation of alternative cross-sections with the recommendation of a 4-Lane cross-section within a 45m protected right-of-way.	
Property acquisition and utility relocations will be required to achieve the 45m right-of-way. It was noted by the project team that the 4-lane cross-section will not be built out to the extents of the 45m right-of-way, and the road corridor/impermeable surface will be minimized where possible. As part of the design, the larger right-of-way will allow the project team to shift the active transportation facilities to avoid some impacts to existing trees and utilities and will allow for protection of the road corridor for potential future uses (i.e., rapid transit, etc.).	
Evaluation of Active Transportation Alternatives	
The project team presented the results of the evaluation of alternative cross-sections with the recommendation of a 3.5m multi-use pathway on the north side and 2.0m sidewalk on the south side.	
The minimum setback for the active transportation facilities is 1m and will be adjusted throughout the corridor to avoid impacts to trees where possible. Some localized areas of curb face facilities are recommended to mitigate impacts.	
Intersection Improvements	
The project team presented a draft conceptual drawing for a potential roundabout at Garner Road and Wilson Street West. A roundabout workshop is being held internally to discuss the need for the roundabout and design considerations.	
Overall Recommended Design	
The overall recommended design for the Garner Road corridor includes the following:	
4 Lane cross-section within a 45m protected right-of-way	
3.5m multi-use pathway on the north side and 2.0m sidewalk on the south side	

Item	Action
Roundabout at Garner Road and Wilson Street West intersection	
The Garner Road corridor improvements will be constructed under one contract, as an urbanized cross-section.	
Discussion	
The project team noted that stormwater management measures are currently being looked at for the Garner Road corridor. The 45m right-of-way will provide flexibility to incorporate low-impact-develop (LID) measures, rather than using roadside ditching. It was recommended that the stormwater management report be circulated to the conservation authority as part of the EA process.	Project Team
The project team will consult with the City of Hamilton's development engineering department to understand if any commitments have been made to private developers along Garner Road regarding right-of-way extents.	Project Team
Forestry noted that while there is no ideal solution for road widening along Garner Road due to the existing tree canopy, flexibility within the 45m right-of-way will provide flexibility to avoid and/or reduce impacts.	
It was noted that the City of Hamilton has acquired the White Brick Church cemetery, and there are burials very close to the existing property line.	
The City of Hamilton project team clarified that the current watermain works being undertaken on Garner Road will not include the recommendations identified in this EA study (i.e., widening, active transportation).	
The project team noted that this study area is on the border of the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD) boundary. Elements of the AEGD cross-section are being considered where feasible to fit the needs of the Garner Road corridor.	
Wildlife crossings are not warranted as part of the improvements along Garner Road. It was recommended that the project team consider noting that natural environment improvements will consider the Hamilton Biodiversity Action Plan, which was presented to council on June 18, 2024 for approval.	Project Team
The project team noted the following tentative timeline for this project:	
Early 2025 – completion of environmental assessment	
• 2025-2027 – design	
• 2027-2028 – construction	

The meeting adjourned at 11:30am.

June 24, 2024 Garner Road MCEA – TAC Meeting #2 Page 4 of 4

The foregoing is considered to be a true and accurate record of all items discussed. If any discrepancies or inconsistencies are noted, please contact the writer immediately.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Isaac Bartlett, P.Eng, ENV SP

Project Manager Isaac.Bartlett@stantec.com

Attachment: Presentation

Garner Road Improvements Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Appendix K Engagement

K.5.3 Agency Correspondence





Garner Road

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment City of Hamilton

MTO Meeting #1 October 3, 2023





Agenda

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Study Background
- 3. Project Overview
- 4. Existing Conditions
- 5. Transportation
- 6. Project Schedule
- 7. Next Steps




Study Area Overview



What are we doing?

The City of Hamilton has initiated a Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) to develop and assess alternative solutions to improve transportation along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 N-W/E ramp). The EA will assess options to improve traffic, active transportation, transit, and stormwater management throughout the corridor. The improvements are required to support future growth within Hamilton, specifically the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD).





Municipal Class EA Process



The Municipal Class EA study process frames the planning and implementation of municipal infrastructure.

An EA is a planning process for municipal infrastructure, legislated by the *Ontario Environmental Assessment Act*. This MCEA Study is being conducted as a Schedule 'C' project under the MCEA document (October 2000, as amended).

This EA covers Phases 1 to 4.

Phase 1: Problem and Opportunity

- Review background planning and policy documents
- Identify study area needs, problems and opportunities

Phase 2: Alternative Planning Solutions

- Detailed inventories of socio-economic, natural and cultural environments
- Identify and evaluate feasible alternative solutions
- Select Recommended Alternative Solution
- Present to public and agencies for comment

We are here

Phase 3: Alternative Design Concepts

- Develop and evaluate Design Alternatives
- Identify Impacts and Mitigation Measures
- Select a Recommended Design Alternative
- Present to public and agencies for comment

Phase 4: Environmental Study Report

- Document the decision-making process in an Environmental Study Report (ESR)
- Circulate draft ESR to agencies for review
- Publish Notice of Study Completion for 30-day comment period

Phase 5: Implementation

- Complete Contract Drawings and Tender Documents
- Construction and Operation
- Monitoring for Environmental Provisions and Commitments



Study Background – Land Use



City if Hamilton Official Plan (Consolidated, 2013)

- The Study area is located with the City of Hamilton Urban Official Plan.
- Garner Road is a rural cross-section with no cycling facilities, and a discontinuous sidewalk on one side of the road. It is a major arterial road that divides Urban Hamilton from Rural Hamilton.
- Designated Urban Area lands are within existing and planned growth areas of the study area.






Study Background – Land Use



Garner Neighbourhood Secondary Plan (February 2021)

- This secondary plan is bounded by Fiddlers Green to the west, Garner Road to the south, Southcote Road to the east, and extends to the Highway 403 corridor north.
- Within this area, the majority of lands have been designated as low density residential. Medium density residential, natural open space, neighbourhood park, high density residential, and institutional lands are also present within this area.





Transportation Planning



Hamilton Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD) Transportation Master Plan (TMP)

An AEGD Transportation Master Plan (TMP) was developed to prepare a transportation strategy that would accommodate employment projections within the AEGD (displayed on the map below). Growth is estimated to increase by over 28,000 people/employees by the year 2031. The AEGD and TMP recommend road widening, rapid transit, cycling infrastructure and transportation demand management (TDM)

measures along Garner Road.

Ancaster Transportation Master Plan (ATMP)

The 2011 ATMP identifies improvements to support mobility to the year 2031. The ATMP identifies Garner Road as a Major Arterial road and Full Time Truck Route, and identified improvements along Garner Road including road widening, and adding left turn lanes as required.




Rymal Road EA



Rymal Road (Upper James St to Dartnall Rd) EA

The City is currently undertaking an EA along Rymal Road to improve safety and efficiency, and create a well-connected, multi-modal transportation corridor that balances all modes of transportation. The current recommended alternative solution of the Rymal Road EA is to Create Additional Travel Lanes to support transit, provide infrastructure consistency, and support future development.





Natural Environment




Existing Conditions

The study area includes the following natural environment features:

- multiple watercourses and watercourse crossings
- Wetlands, evaluated and unevaluated
- significant woodland
- wooded areas

Field surveys will include:

- Amphibian calls
- Bats
- Vegetation
- Breeding bird
- Headwater drainage assessment
- Fish habitat





Cultural Environment



Existing Conditions

The study will review and consider other resource material such as:

Archaeological Resources

A *Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment* was completed as part of this study. A total of 21 archaeological assessments have been previously carried out within the study area, and total of 132 archaeological sites identified within one kilometre of the study area. Of those, nine were located within the study area, all of which are pre-contact Indigenous. Approximately 40.31% of the study area still retains potential for the recovery of archaeological resources and requires a *Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment*.

Cultural Heritage Resources

The project team will undertake a review of existing cultural heritage resources within the study area.



Transportation

Hamilton

Existing Conditions



- Majority of intersections unsignalized
- No major trends in collision history

Intersection	Collisions (C)	Volume (V)	Data Period (N)	Collision Rate (R)
RAMP WILSON EB TO GARNER EB @ GARNER RD W	2	22,114	5	0.05
WILSON ST W @ RAMP WILSON EB TO GARNER EB	1	16,583	5	0.03
GARNER RD W @ MCCLURE RD	2	14,743	5	0.07
GARNER RD W @ SHAVER RD	5	22,551	5	0.12
GARNER RD W @ HAMILTON DR	3	27,171	5	0.06
GARNER RD W @ PANABAKER DR	2	27,289	5	0.04
FIDDLER'S GREEN RD @ GARNER RD W	9	30,761	5	0.16
ANSON DR @ GARNER RD E	1	22,551	5	0.02
GARNER RD E @ MILLER DR	2	23,517	5	0.05
GARNER RD E @ RAMP 403 WB TO GARNER	2	77,478	5	0.01



Transportation Existing Travel Demand

MMLOS analysis noted:

- Pedestrians LOS F
- Cyclists LOS F
- LOS A-C at all others, except for Transit at LOS D at signals
- Segment between ramps LOS F except Auto/Truck



Table 3.4 – Existing (2021) ICA Analysis (Unsignalized)

			AM Pe	ak Hou	ır		PM Pe	ak Hou	ır
Unsignalized Intersection	Movement	1.00	Dalan (a)	we	Queue (m)	100	Deless (e)	WG	Queue (m
III.C. C. C. C.		LOS	Delay (s)	V/C	95th	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C	95th
Gamer Rd W	EBL	Α	8.4	0.02	0.6	Α	8.5	0.03	8.0
& McClure Rd	SBLR	В	14.4	0.08	1.9	С	24.2	0.22	6.4
Gamer Rd W	EBL	Α	8.5	0.01	0.3	Α	8.7	0.06	1.5
& Access Rd	SBLR	С	16.3	0.12	3.0	D	30.2	0.51	20.8
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	8.9	0.01	0.3	Α	9.0	0.04	0.9
& Hamilton Dr	SBLR	D	34.8	0.53	21.6	D	28.6	0.34	10.9
Garner Rd W	EBL	В	10.2	0.02	0.5	Α	8.9	0.03	0.6
& Braithwaite Ave	SBLR	F	105.7	0.84	39.8	С	21.3	0.12	3.0
Gamer Rd W	EBL	Α	0.3	0.01	0.2	Α	0.2	0.01	0.1
& Anson Dr	SBLR	F	95.5	0.46	14.0	С	21.8	0.06	1.4
Gamer Rd W	EBL	В	10.6	0.01	0.3	Α	9.5	0.01	0.3
& Miller Dr	SBLR	F	77.4	0.45	14.0	D	31.7	0.12	3.0
Gamer Rd W &	EBL	Α	0.0	0.00	0.0	Α	0.1	0.00	0.1
Woodmount Ave	SBLR	D	26.4	0.05	1.2	C	22.5	0.03	0.7
Gamer Rd W & 403 EB off- ramp	SBLR	F	219.2	1.16	58.0	F	148.1	1.10	76.6

			AM Pe	ak Hou	ır		PM Pe	ak Hour		
Unsignalized Intersection	Movement				Queue (m)	LOS	5-1	V/C	Queue (m)	
intersection		LOS	Delay (s)	V/C	95th	LOS	Delay (s)		95th	
Gamer Rd W & 403 EB on- ramp	EBL	В	12.0	0.19	5.1	В	10.1	0.04	0.9	

Table 3.7 – Existing Conditions (2021) Segment MMLOS Results

Acres of 1		E	astboun	d			٧	Vestbou	nd	
Segments	Ped LOS	Bike LOS	Transit LOS	Truck LOS	Car	Ped LOS	Bike LOS	Transit LOS	Truck LOS	Car
Mason Dr to McClure Rd	Fails	Fails	F	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	В
McClure Rd to Shaver Rd	Fails	Fails	Е	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	В
Shaver Rd to Hamilton Dr	Fails	Fails	F	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	С
Hamilton D to Panabaker D	Fails	Fails	E	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	С
Panabaker Dr to Braithwaite Ave	Fails	Fails	F	С	С	Fails	Fails	E	С	В
Braithwaite Ave to Fiddlers Green Rd	Fails	Fails	F	D	D	Fails	Fails	F	В	Α
Fiddlers Green Rd to Anson Dr.	Fails	Fails	F	D	D	Fails	Fails	F	D	D
Anson Dr to Miller Dr	Fails	Fails	F	С	С	Fails	Fails	F	С	В
Miller Dr to Woodmount Ave	Fails	Fails	F	С	В	Fails	Fails	F	С	С
Woodmount Ave to HWY 403 Off-Ramp	Fails	Fails	F	D	D	Fails	Fails	F	D	D
HWY 403 Off-Ramp to HWY 403 On-Ramp	Fails	Fails	F	В	Α	Fails	Fails	F	В	Α



Transportation Future Travel Demand

Future 2031 MMLOS analysis noted:

- Pedestrians LOS F to LOS C or
- Cyclists LOS F to LOS C
- LOS A-C at all others, except for Transit at LOS D at signals
- Segment between ramps improved (varies)

Alternative 1 follows the "Do Nothing" traffic and examines inclusion of sidewalks and bicycle lanes (separated)



Table 4.2 – Future Do Nothing (2031) Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Results

			AM Pe	ak Hour			PM Pe	ak Hour	
Unsignalized Intersection	Movement	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C	Queue (m) 95th	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C	Queue (m) 95th
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	8.6	0.03	0.7	Α	9.7	0.05	1.3
& McClure Rd	SBLR	С	19.2	0.13	3.5	F	51.2	0.46	16.1
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	8.6	0.02	0.4	В	10.6	0.11	2.8
& Access Rd	SBLR	D	25.4	0.23	6.5	F	222.9	1.26	82.7
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	9.1	0.02	0.4	В	11.0	0.07	1.7
& Hamilton Dr	SBLR	F	155.7	1.10	70.0	F	99.3	0.82	39.1
Garner Rd W	EBL	В	10.5	0.03	0.6	В	10.5	0.04	1.0
& Braithwaite Ave	SBLR	F	610.2	2.05	102.5	F	52.2	0.39	12.3
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	1.5	0.02	0.4	Α	0.2	0.01	0.2
& Anson Dr	SBLR	F	750	9.83	Err	F	66.9	0.27	7.3
Garner Rd W	EBL	В	11.4	0.02	0.4	В	11.2	0.02	0.5
& Miller Dr	SBLR	F	351.5	1.17	35.2	F	69.2	0.28	7.6
Garner Rd W/	EBL	Α	0.1	0.00	0.0	Α	0.2	0.01	0.2
Woodmount Ave	SBLR	D	33.5	0.12	3.0	F	58.1	0.15	3.8
Garner Rd W & 403 EB exit-ramp	SBLR	F	750	3.18	Err	F	750	3.28	Err

Table 4.3 – Intersection Capacity Analysis Results for Signalization of Garner Road/ Highway 403 Exit-Ramp (Future Do Nothing 2031)

			AM Pe	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour					
Signalized Intersection	Movement	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue (m)	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue (m)		
			(s)		95th		(s)		95th		
	EBT	С	26.3	0.97	#335.7	Α	9	0.74	130.9		
Garner Rd W	WBT	Α	6.3	0.72	113.7	В	14.3	0.86	#217.2		
& 403 EB	SBL	D	47.5	0.53	32.6	С	25.8	0.53	37.6		
entr-rmp	SBR	D	42.5	0.03	8.9	С	22.6	0.12	14.1		
	Overall	В	19.3	0.92	1	В	13.4	0.79			

The results show that the intersection operates within capacity across both peak hours and that all movements operate at a LOS of D or better. However, due to the high EBT traffic volumes in the AM peak hour and the high WBT traffic volumes in the PM peak hour, these movements operate at high V/C values and with significant levels of queueing in the respective peak hour.



Transportation Future Travel Demand

Table 5.5 – Future Alternative 2 (2031) Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Results

		AM Peak Hour				PM Pe			
Unsignalized Intersection	Movement	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C	Queue (m) 95 th	LOS	Delay (s)	V/C	Queue (m) 95 th
Garner Rd W	EBL	В	11.4	0.02	0.4	В	11.2	0.02	0.5
& Miller Dr	SBLR	D	30	0.25	7.1	C	21.6	0.09	2.2
Garner Rd W/	EBL	В	10.6	0	0	В	11.2	0.01	0.2
Woodmount - Ave	SBLR	С	20.4	0.07	1.7	С	21.5	0.05	1.2
Garner Rd W & 403 EB exit-ramp	SBLR	F	60	0.74	36.9	F	151.9	1.17	100.8
Garner Rd W & 403 EB entr-rmp	EBL	В	14.2	0.25	7.6	В	12.7	0.07	1.7

Table 5.6 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Results for Signalization of Garner Road/ Highway 403 Exit-Ramp (Alternative 2, 2031)

			AM Pe	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour					
Signalized Intersection	Movement	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue (m)	LOS	Delay V/C		Queue (m)		
			(s)		95 th		(s)	***	95 th		
	EBT	С	26.3	0.97	#335.7	A	9	0.74	130.9		
Garner Rd W	WBT	Α	6.3	0.72	113.7	В	14.3	0.86	#217.2		
& 403 EB	SBL	D	47.5	0.53	32.6	С	25.8	0.53	37.6		
exit-rmp	SBR	D	42.5	0.03	8.9	С	22.6	0.12	14.1		
	Overall	В	19.3	0.92		В	13.4	0.79			

Alternative 2 looks at widening to three lanes and include a two way left turn lane, in addition to new sidewalks and bicycle lanes (separated)



Table 5.8 – Future Alternative 3 (2031) Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Results

			AM Pe	ak Hour			PM Pe	ak Hour	
Unsignalized Intersection	Movement	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue (m)	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue (m)
			(s)		95 th		(s)		95 th
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	8.6	0.03	0.7	Α	9.7	0.05	1.3
& McClure Rd	SBLR	В	14.2	0.09	2.3	D	31.9	0.33	10.3
Garner Rd W	EBL	A	8.6	0.02	0.4	Α	9.9	0.1	2.4
& Access Rd	SBLR	С	16.8	0.15	3.8	E	46.5	0.7	35.9
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	9.2	0.02	0.5	В	11.3	0.07	1.8
& Hamilton Dr	SBLR	E	42.5	0.67	33.3	E	46.6	0.58	23.8
Garner Rd W	EBL	В	10.5	0.03	0.6	В	10.5	0.04	1.1
& Braithwaite Ave	SBLR	F	149.9	1.04	59.3	D	31.6	0.26	7.5
Garner Rd W	EBL	Α	0.6	0.02	0.4	Α	0.3	0.01	0.2
& Anson Dr	SBLR	D	28.3	0.17	4.4	С	23	0.09	2.4
Garner Rd W	EBL	В	11.8	0.02	0.5	В	11.3	0.02	0.5
& Miller Dr	SBLR	F	83.2	0.53	17.6	E	39.6	0.17	4.4
Gamer Rd W/	EBL	Α	0	0	0	Α	0.2	0.01	0.2
Woodmount Ave	SBLR	С	18.2	0.06	1.4	E	35	0.09	2.2
Garner Rd W & 403 EB exit-ramp	SBLR	F	311.5	1.43	82.1	F	507.1	1.96	170.6


Table 5.9 - Intersection Capacity Analysis Results for Signalization of Garner Road/ Highway 403 Exit-Ramp (Alternative 3, 2031)


Signalized Intersection			AM Pe	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour				
	Movement	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue (m)	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue (m)	
			(s)		95 th		(s)		95 th	
	EBT	В	17.5	0.78	84.5	В	18.2	0.61	60.5	
Garner Rd W	WBT	В	13.3	0.58	55.2	С	20.2	0.72	74.1	
& 403 EB	SBL	В	17.9	0.16	19.5	В	13.8	0.21	32	
exit-rmp	SBR	В	16.7	0.03	5.9	В	12.8	0.1	14	
	Overall	В	15.9	0.53		В	18.6	0.46		


Alternative 3 looks at widening to four lanes, in addition to new sidewalks and bicycle lanes (separated)

Transportation Garner Road and Highway 403

Hamilton










Study Schedule





Next Steps



- Address MTO comments
- Draft Transportation Report
- PIC #1 Fall 2023



Meeting Notes

Garner Road MCEA - MTO Meeting #1

Project/File: 165001196

Date/Time: October 3, 2023 / 10:00 am

Location: Microsoft Teams

Attendees: Megan Salvucci City of Hamilton, Project Manager

Olivia Stanciu City of Hamilton, Capital Infrastructure Analyst

Alice Kam MTO, Senior Project Engineer
Paul Nunes MTO, Senior Project Manager
Margaret Pak MTO, Environmental Planner
Brian Barrett AECOM, Highway Engineering
Felipe Sapateiro AECOM, Project Manager

Julia DeDecker AECOM, Environmental Planner

Isaac Bartlett Stantec, Project Manager

Sarah Micks Stantec, Environmental Planner

Distribution: All attendees

Item	Action
Introduction	
The purpose of this meeting is to introduce the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp) being undertaken by the City of Hamilton.	
The focus of the meeting was to discuss how the study may impact the Highway 403 off-ramp with the Ministry of Transportation (MTO).	
Study Background – Project Overview	
Using a presentation (attached to these minutes), Stantec and the City provided an overview of the Garner Road MCEA Study, including study background and existing conditions.	
The project team highlighted the need for additional roadway capacity along the Garner Road corridor to accommodate existing and future traffic volumes.	
Transportation – Garner Road and Highway 403	City of
MTO noted that the City of Hamilton has submitted a permit to signalize the intersection at Highway 403 off-ramp and Garner Road. The City noted that this signalization may be completed in conjunction with subsurface works along Garner Road scheduled for 2024. The City is to confirm timing.	Hamilton

Item	Action
MTO is completing an EA for the twinning of Highway 6. It was noted that their analyses has assumed the need for signalization at Highway 403 and Garner Road and that this will be considered their base condition. Their Recommended Plan includes the signalization of the intersection, to be completed by the City. MTO noted that CIMA completed the design for the intersection in 2017.	
No changes to the Highway 6 structures (overhead of Garner Road) are anticipated.	
Discussion	
MTO does not anticipate the Garner Road EA PIC 1 material will require Senior Management endorsement as we are not anticipating impacting the structures.	
The City of Hamilton noted that 5-laning of Garner Road is a consideration for the alternative solutions as a result of the potential Greenbelt developments, and to accommodate potential future populations, though this option may get screened out.	
Next Steps	
Public Information Centre (PIC) 1 is planned for December 2023. PIC 2 is tentatively scheduled for Spring 2024, and the Environmental Study Report anticipated for late 2024. The City noted this schedule may permit 2025/2026 detailed design, and 2027 construction.	Stantec/City of
PIC materials are to be circulated to MTO 1-2 weeks prior to going to the public.	Hamilton

The meeting adjourned at 11:00am.

The foregoing is considered to be a true and accurate record of all items discussed. If any discrepancies or inconsistencies are noted, please contact the writer immediately.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Isaac Bartlett, P.Eng, ENV SP

Project Manager

Isaac.Bartlett@stantec.com

Attachment: Presentation



Garner Road

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment City of Hamilton

MTO Meeting #2 July 10, 2024





Agenda

- 1. Introductions & Project Summary
- Project Update PIC 1 Recommendations
- Alternative Design Overview & Evaluation Criteria
- 4. Ramp Terminal Details
- 5. Next Steps





Project Summary

The City of Hamilton initiated a Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) to develop and assess Alternative Solutions to improve transportation along Garner Road (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp). The EA will assess options to improve traffic, active transportation, transit, and stormwater management throughout the corridor. The improvements are required to support future growth within Hamilton, specifically the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD).







Project Summary: Problem & Opportunity

Garner Road from Wilson Street to the Highway 403 ramp is a rural cross-section with inadequate transportation facilities to accommodate existing and future road users (pedestrians, cyclists, transit, commercial vehicles, and autos). Garner Road has no cycling facilities and discontinuous sidewalks. The existing Garner Road corridor cannot support the projected growth within the AEGD.

Improvements to Garner Road are required to accommodate existing and future transportation needs. Improvements will include road widening for the implementation of rapid transit and active transportation (i.e., bike lanes, sidewalks, multi-use paths).









PIC 1 Recommended Solution

The following Alternative Solutions have been carried forward for further consideration in Phase 3 of the Class EA process (Alternative Designs) and evaluated using the factors and criteria presented:

- Operational Improvements: Implement localized measures to improve transit, active transportation, and localized roadway improvements to optimize traffic flow. These improvements can include cycling lanes, sidewalks, transit queue jump lanes, intersection improvements, and/or turning lanes.
- Widen Garner Road: Include additional travel and/or turning lanes (e.g., 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections) to accommodate future travel demand. The right-of-way would be designed to accommodate pedestrians, cyclists, transit, vehicles, and truck traffic.



Notes:

- Conceptual cross-section elements shown here are from the AEGD update.
- The cross-section configurations are conceptual, context sensitive and, where applicable, subject to refinements during Phases 3 & 4 of the EA process.
- The road cross-section options will incorporate these elements into the three Alternative Designs.





Public Information Centre #1 Summary

The first PIC was held in-person on December 11, 2023 to present and receive public input on Phase 1 & 2 of the study. The following key themes and comments were received following PIC #1:

Road Safety and Expansion

- Mixed responses on desire for road widening
- ✓ Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists
- ✓ Safety concerns relating to the current design and speed
- ✓ Concerns about Garner Road being an alternate route for 403 traffic

Pedestrian Facilities

- ✓ Mixed responses for new sidewalk and cycling facilities in a busy traffic corridor.
- ✓ Support for active transportation facilities

Climate Change and Stormwater Management

- ✓ Concern for water runoff from acquired property
- ✓ Concern for climate change impacts

Natural Environment

- ✓ Concern for impacts to existing trees
- ✓ Concern for wildlife





Alternative Designs Alternative Cross-Sections

The recommended alternative solution to **Widen Garner Road** considers 3, 4, or 5 lane cross-sections. The following three cross-sections were presented at PIC #1:







Alternative 1: 3 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to three lanes, including one travel lane in each direction, a centre two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 36m width

Alternative 2: 4 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to four lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.

Alternative 3: 5 Lane Cross-Section

- Widen Garner Road to five lanes, including two travel lanes in each direction, a two way left turn lane, separated bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Widen/protect right-of-way to standard 45m width.





Evaluation Criteria

As presented in PIC 1, the Alternatives were assessed using the factors and criteria below. Comments received from agencies, stakeholders, Indigenous Nations and members of the public will be integrated as required.

Socio-Economic Environment

- Impacts to business operations
- Noise impacts
- Property and access
- Aesthetics & complete livable better streets
- Compatibility with existing and proposed developments

Natural Environment

- · Vegetation and wildlife
- Water resources
- Air quality
- Climate change
- Stormwater management

Transportation/Engineering

- Accommodate future travel demands (capacity)
- Safety for all users
- Public transit service
- · Road network compatibility / connectivity
- Accommodate pedestrians / cyclists
- Response times / access for emergency vehicles
- Accommodate truck traffic
- Services / utilities
- Cost (i.e., capital cost, operational costs)

Cultural Environment

- Archaeological resources
- Built heritage / cultural landscape resources





Evaluation of Alternative Cross-Sections

The Alternative Cross-Sections are evaluated below:

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative 1 3 Lane Cross-Section 36m Right-of-Way	Alternative 2 4 Lane Cross-Section 45m Right-of-Way	Alternative 3 5 Lane Cross-Section 45m Right-of-Way
Transportation	Least preferred	Most preferred	Moderately preferred
Cultural Environment	Moderately preferred	Moderately preferred	Least preferred
Socio-Economic Environment	Most preferred	Moderately preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
OVERALL SUMMARY	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred

Alternative 2: 4 Lane Cross-Section (45m Right-of-Way) is recommended for the Garner Road corridor. The cross-section will be implemented using a best-fit approach to avoid and/or reduce impacts along the corridor. The 4-lane cross-section will not be built out to the extents of the 45m right-of-way, and the paved footprint of the road will be minimized where possible.

The 45m right-of-way provides flexibility for design improvements: to allow for additional turning lanes approaching intersections where the road footprint allows; to avoid and/or reduce impacts to existing trees and utilities; to incorporate low-impact-develop (LID) measures rather than using roadside ditching for stormwater management; and to protect for future uses (i.e., rapid transit).






Active Transportation Alternatives

As part of the 4 lane cross-section, active transportation facilities will be included within the right-of-way. This will include both pedestrian and cycling facilities.

To determine the suitable cycling facility type for the corridor, the 3-step Recommended Facility Selection Process in the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 18: Cycling Facilities (2021) was used. An overview of the 3-step process is outlined below.

OTM Book 18 is a traffic engineering and control reference manual produced by the Ministry of Transportation and developed in association with the Ontario Traffic Council.



Stage 1: Pre-Select Facility Type Option

 Step 1 of the Facility Selection process selects a desirable facility type based on motor vehicle speed and average daily traffic volume

Stage 2: Detailed and Contextual Evaluation

 Stage 2 evaluates the cycling route through a desktop review and field investigations to understand site specific characteristics

Stage 3: Justify and Document Rationale

Stage 3 identifies and evaluates the preferred facility type





Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 1 – Pre-Select Facility Type Option

Step 1 of the Facility Selection process selects a desirable facility type based on motor vehicle speed and average daily traffic volume.

Based on the road designation, either the urban/suburban or rural nomograph is used to preselect the applicable facility type.


Garner Road Conditions:

- Garner Road falls under the urban/suburban nomograph
- Traffic Volume: exceeds 10,000 vehicles per day
- Speed limit: Ranges 60 to 70 km/h

A Physically Separated Bikeway is identified as the pre-selected facility type. These include:

- Separated Bicycle Lanes,
- Cycle Tracks, and/or
- Multi-Use Paths

Desirable Cycling Facility Pre-Selection Nomograph Urban/Suburban Context (Step 1)







Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 2 – Detailed and Contextual Evaluation

Once the pre-selected facility has been identified, Stage 2 evaluates the cycling route to understand site specific characteristics. Characteristics were grouped into the following functional groups and evaluated:

Characteristic Group	Description	Garner Road Characteristics
Roadway Characteristics	Vehicle speed, traffic volume, road function (street, road, highway), vehicle mix, pedestrian activity, on-street parking, and frequency of intersections and crossings	Garner Road poses high risk for users, options separating pedestrians and cyclists from vehicle traffic were preferred
Feasibility	Available space, anticipated costs, and type of roadway improvement project	Mixed facilities were preferred for lower costs and aligning with existing infrastructure
Attractiveness	User skill and stress tolerance, level of cycling usage, function of route in cycling network	Lack of existing cycling facilities prioritized low-stress facilities that are able to accommodate increased cyclist traffic of all ages

A Physically Separated Bikeway (i.e., a Separated Bicycle Lane, Cycle Track, and/or Multi-Use Path) is the preferred facility type for Garner Road.





Active Transportation Alternatives Stage 3 – Justify and Document Rationale

Following Stages 1 & 2, a Physically Separated Bikeway (i.e., a Separated Bicycle Lane, Cycle Track, and/or Multi-Use Path) is the preferred facility type for this Study.

Three Alternative Designs were developed based on the selected facility type:

Alternative A:



3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on Both Sides

Alternative B:



3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side

Alternative C:



2.0m Sidewalk & 2.0m Cycle Track on Both Sides of the Road (+0.6m Buffer)

These Alternatives were assessed and justified using the Evaluation Criteria.



Evaluation of Active Transportation Alternatives

The evaluation of Active Transportation Alternatives is presented below.

Evaluation Criteria	Alternative A 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on Both Sides	Alternative B 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side	Alternative C 2.0m Sidewalk & 2.0m Cycle Track on Both Sides of the Road (+0.6m Buffer)
Transportation	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Moderately preferred
Cultural Environment	Most preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
Socio-Economic Environment	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment	No preference	No preference	No preference
OVERALL SUMMARY	Moderately preferred	Most preferred	Least preferred

Alternative B: 3.5m Multi-Use Pathway on North Side and 2.0m Sidewalk on South Side is selected as the Recommended Active Transportation Alternative for the following reasons:

- · Provides a physical separation for cyclists and pedestrians from traffic
- Multi-Use Pathway is accommodating for several levels of users
- · Has the least impact on the existing trees, property and heritage resources
- · It is consistent with the recommended improvements along the corridor outside of the study area






Ramp Terminal Details

Ahead of the PIC #2 in August, the project team would appreciate MTO input on how best to represent tying into the Highway 6 ramp terminal:

- · Transition from 4 lanes to 3 lanes
- Integration of AT







Next Steps

Following this PIC, the project team will complete the next steps below:

Complete Field Investigations and Studies

Review and Consider Feedback Received During PIC 2

Confirm Recommended Design

Phase 4: Environmental Study Report

30-day Public Review Period Early 2025

At the end of the study, a Notice of Study Completion will be issued with the Environmental Study Report (ESR). The ESR will document the decision-making process and public feedback, and will be made available for a 30-day comment period.





Meeting Notes

Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment - MTO Meeting #2

Project/File: 165001196

Date/Time: July 10, 2024 / 11:00 AM

Location: Microsoft Teams

Attendees: Megan Salvucci City of Hamilton, Project Manager

Olivia Stanciu City of Hamilton, Capital Infrastructure Analyst

Margaret Pak MTO, Environmental Planner

Jonathan Pasquali MTO, Project Engineer

Neave Constantine MTO, Team Lead, Corridor Management West

David Pillay MTO, Corridor Management Officer
Saumya John MTO, Corridor Management EDP
Vereen Rattigan MTO, Corridor Management Engineer

Christian Villanueva MTO, Engineering Associate Felipe Sapateiro AECOM, Project Manager

Julia DeDecker AECOM, Environmental Planner

Isaac Bartlett Stantec, Project Manager

Paula Hohner Stantec, Senior Environmental Planner

Shane Vanhell Stantec, Environmental Planner

Distribution: All attendees

Item	Action
Introductions	
All meeting attendees were introduced.	
Project Summary and Update	
 Using a presentation (attached to these minutes), Stantec provided a summary of the Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) (Wilson Street to the Highway 403 off-ramp) being undertaken by the City of Hamilton. 	
Stantec provided an overview of the alternative designs, evaluation criteria, and recommended solution that were presented as part of Public Information Centre (PIC) 1. A summary of the key themes and comments that were received following PIC 1 was also presented.	
The evaluation of alternative cross-sections, and an overview and the evaluation of active transportation alternatives were also presented.	

Item		Action
Transp	ortation Discussion	
3.	Regarding the Highway 6 ramp terminal, Stantec noted that the City of Hamilton is helping to signalize the intersection. Stantec requested input from the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) as to where the pedestrian facilities should be terminated.	
	MTO indicated that the ramp terminal intersection must include a pedestrian crossing facility, but that it has not been determined whether a crossing will be provided on both sides of the terminal intersection or only across the ramp terminal itself.	
4.	Stantec asked whether MTO has plans to replace or widen the Highway 6 structure over Garner Road.	
	MTO indicated that there are no plans for improvements to the Highway 6 structure over Garner Road at this time.	
5.	Stantec asked if MTO had any concerns with showing the preferred alternative as having a four lane to three lane transition and minor widening through the Highway 6 ramp terminal intersection as part of the presentation for PIC 2. The road width would be matched at the structure. It was also noted that the City of Hamilton is currently in the process of applying for a permit to signalize the ramp terminal. The MTO noted that if traffic signals were introduced, they would be required to meet AODA standard and accommodate active transportation users.	MTO and Stantec/City of Hamilton
	MTO noted that the proposed alternative will need to be reviewed and modifications approved by their Traffic Department. MTO requested a copy of the presentation to circulate to their team. A copy of the presentation has been appended to the minutes.	
	Stantec noted that the PIC 2 slides can indicate that the design is to be confirmed, should additional consultation with MTO be required.	
6.	Stantec asked if a presentation by the City of Hamilton to MTO Senior Management is required prior to PIC 2 or if circulation of the PIC 2 slides is sufficient.	МТО
	MTO noted that review of the slides is likely sufficient and that a meeting with MTO Senior Management is not envisioned to be required at this time. MTO indicated, however, that a meeting may be required with MTO staff should any of their departments have questions about the proposed design/project. MTO will confirm.	
7.	Stantec noted that there is the option of transitioning the four lane section of Garner Road and terminating the pedestrian infrastructure further west of the Highway 6 ramp temporarily, should MTO identify any operational issues with the proposed design.	
Projec	t Schedule Discussion	
8.	MTO asked what the timeline is for construction of the proposed improvements.	
	City of Hamilton noted that the tentative schedule includes completion of the MCEA in the first quarter of 2025, design and property acquisition and	

Item		Action	
	relocation of utilities in 2025 and 2026, and construction in 2027 at the earliest. They indicated that these proposed improvements are being coordinated with work to the east of the study area, which may impact the construction timeline.		
Noise	Noise Discussion		
9.	MTO asked if a Noise Study has been conducted for the project.	MTO and Stantec/City of	
	Stantec indicated that a Noise Study is ongoing.	Hamilton	
	MTO requested that the results or reporting be shared with them and noted that there have been noise complaints from residents in the area of the project.		
	Stantec asked if MTO has completed a Noise Study for the area and, if so, requested that it be shared with the Project Team.		
	MTO indicated that a Noise Study has been completed for interim four lane widening of Highway 6 and that the results of the study will be shared with the Project Team.		
Next S	teps	Stantec/City of	
10.	City of Hamilton noted that PIC 2 is tentatively scheduled for the middle of August 2024 and that any feedback from MTO on the project information/slides provided would be appreciated so that updates can be made prior to the PIC. MTO requested that relevant information be sent to Saumya John.	Hamilton	

The meeting adjourned at 11:32 AM.

The foregoing is considered to be a true and accurate record of all items discussed. If any discrepancies or inconsistencies are noted, please contact the writer immediately.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING LTD.

Shane Vanhell B.Sc. Environmental Planner Phone: (289) 212-8045 shane.vanhell@stantec.com

Attachment: Garner Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment – MTO Meeting #2 Presentation