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Introduction

This document is one in a series of 15 Ward Profiles developed for the City of Hamilton. Each ward profile provides an overview of the ward’s features, assets and various indicators of socio-demographic characteristics.

The indicators in each of the Ward Profiles are a compilation of the most recent available data from Statistics Canada’s 2011 Census, Statistics Canada’s 2011 National Household Survey, the City of Hamilton’s Planning and Economic Development Department (PED) development applications, Planning and Economic Development Department (PED) building permits, Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) housing starts, orthophotos, Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC), Teranet, and the Official Plan and Parks Committee. Note that due to the various sources of data used in this document, there may be discrepancies between data that may result from differences in definitions of variables and/or geographic boundaries between data sources. Moreover, considerations should also be taken of the 2011 National Household Survey limitations provided at the end of this document when reviewing this data.

Where available, data for different points in time and/or for the overall City of Hamilton was also provided. Each indicator is presented in tables and graphs which help draw comparisons over time and between geographies.
Ward 9, also known as the Heritage Stoney Creek area is an urban and rural ward that straddles the Niagara Escarpment (often referred to as the Mountain) and is situated in the east end of Hamilton. Approximately one fifth of Ward 9 is located below the Escarpment. It is bordered by Grays Road to the east, and the lower edge of the Escarpment to the south. The northern border runs from Grays Road just north of Queenston Road then south just west of Centennial Parkway back to the escarpment. The upper section of the ward is bordered by the edge Escarpment to the north, First Road East on the east, and Rymal Road and Highway 20 East on the south. The west border runs north-south between Upper Mount Albion Road and Pritchard Road, turns east just before Old Mud Street, then south again at Upper Mount Albion Road to the Escarpment. Ward 9 is home to the historic “old town” of Stoney Creek, Battlefield House Museum and Park, and the Devil’s Punch Bowl Conservation Area. Ward 9 is located within the Hamilton and Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authorities watershed.
Population

Population by Age

- Ward 9 has 27,160 residents which continues to be 5.2% of the city’s total population.
- Compared to Hamilton, Ward 9 has a slightly lower proportion of seniors age 65 years and over.

Population by Age Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>26,695</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>27,160</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>504,560</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>519,950</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to 4 years</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>1,445</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>26,940</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>27,430</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9 years</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>1,610</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>29,410</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>27,995</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 14 years</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>1,835</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>33,530</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>30,295</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 19 years</td>
<td>2,050</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>2,005</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>34,910</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>36,200</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 24 years</td>
<td>1,745</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>1,825</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>34,395</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>36,370</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 29 years</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>1,590</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>30,330</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>33,195</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 34 years</td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>1,485</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>30,620</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>30,905</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 39 years</td>
<td>1,975</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>34,775</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>31,365</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 to 44 years</td>
<td>2,265</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>2,050</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>41,225</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>35,610</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 49 years</td>
<td>2,270</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>2,210</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>40,845</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>41,890</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 54 years</td>
<td>1,965</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>36,125</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>40,875</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 59 years</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>1,880</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>31,860</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>35,425</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 64 years</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>24,220</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>30,810</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 69 years</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>19,735</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>22,930</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 to 74 years</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>17,870</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>18,450</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 to 79 years</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>15,675</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 to 84 years</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>12,620</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>12,630</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 years and over</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>8,975</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>11,880</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Population by Sex and Age Group

- There are slightly more females than males residing in Ward 9.
- Between 2006 and 2011, Ward 9 has seen an increase in the population age 15 to 29 years and especially in the population age 45 years and over.
Population Projections

- The 2011 year end population for Ward 9 is expected to increase approximately 57% by 2031 according to the land use population model based on the GRIDS growth projections.
- Accompanying this projected growth is an increase in dwelling units by 44% or 7,850 from 10,165 units in 2006 to 18,020 units in 2031.
- Most of the projected growth is targeted to occur between 2016 and 2026.

25 Year GRIDS Population Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9 Population</th>
<th>Ward 9 Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>27,446</td>
<td>10,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>28,961</td>
<td>11,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>31,742</td>
<td>12,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>37,509</td>
<td>14,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>44,546</td>
<td>17,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031</td>
<td>45,358</td>
<td>18,020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Family Structure**

- In Ward 9, there is a higher proportion of couples with children than couples without children.

### Family Structure of Census Families

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Census Family Type</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2011%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Census Families in Private Households</td>
<td>7,760</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Families (Married or Common Law) with Children</td>
<td>3,965</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Families (Married or Common Law) without Children</td>
<td>2,530</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lone Parent Families</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Family Structure of Census Families

![Bar chart showing the percent (%) of Total Census Families for different family types in Ward 9 and Hamilton.](chart.png)

- **Census Family Type**: Couple Families (Married or Common Law) with Children, Couple Families (Married or Common Law) without Children, Lone Parent Families.
Education

- The proportion of residents who have a postsecondary certificate, diploma or degree is similar for Ward 9 and Hamilton.

### Highest Educational Certificate, Diploma or Degree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highest Certificate, Diploma or Degree</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011%</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population aged 15 years and over by highest certificate, diploma or degree</td>
<td>21,940</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>424,055</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No certificate, diploma or degree</td>
<td>3,930</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>92,385</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>6,770</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>115,720</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsecondary certificate, diploma or degree</td>
<td>11,240</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>215,945</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprenticeship or trades certificate or diploma</td>
<td>1,895</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>36,255</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College, CEGEP or other non-university certificate or diploma</td>
<td>5,325</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>88,785</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University certificate or diploma below bachelor level</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>14,685</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University certificate, diploma or degree at bachelor level or above</td>
<td>3,270</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>76,220</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>2,070</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>45,600</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University certificate, diploma or degree above bachelor level</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>30,625</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Highest Education Certificate, Diploma or Degree - 2011

- University certificate, diploma or degree above bachelor level
- Bachelor's degree
- University certificate, diploma or degree at bachelor level or above
- University certificate or diploma below bachelor level
- College, CEGEP or other non-university certificate or diploma
- Apprenticeship or trades certificate or diploma
- Postsecondary certificate, diploma or degree
- High school diploma or equivalent
- No certificate, diploma or degree
Mobility Status

- Compared to Hamilton, the proportion of residents who have moved in the past year and the proportion of residents who have moved in the past five years are lower in Ward 9.

### Mobility Status 1 Year Ago

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011%</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total - Mobility status 1 year ago</td>
<td>26,515</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>504,025</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-movers</td>
<td>24,435</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
<td>450,890</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movers</td>
<td>2,080</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>53,140</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-migrants</td>
<td>1,455</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>34,895</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrants</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>18,245</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal migrants</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>15,140</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraprovincial migrants</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>13,620</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interprovincial migrants</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External migrants</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>3,105</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mobility Status 1 Year Ago - 2011

![Bar chart showing Mobility Status 1 Year Ago for Ward 9 and Hamilton](chart.png)
### Mobility Status 5 Years Ago

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobility Status</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2011%</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2011%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total - Mobility status 5 years ago</td>
<td>25,385</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>482,275</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-movers</td>
<td>18,130</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>309,025</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movers</td>
<td>7,255</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>173,255</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-migrants</td>
<td>5,015</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>113,755</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrants</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>59,500</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal migrants</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>44,995</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraprovincial migrants</td>
<td>1,615</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>40,515</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interprovincial migrants</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>4,475</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External migrants</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>14,505</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mobility Status 5 Years Ago - 2011

- **Non-movers**: 75% of the population in Ward 9 and 75% in Hamilton.
- **Movers**: 25% of the population in Ward 9 and 25% in Hamilton.
- **Movers: Non-migrants**: 5% of the population in Ward 9 and 5% in Hamilton.
- **Movers: Migrants**: 2% of the population in Ward 9 and 2% in Hamilton.
Ethnicity, Language, Immigrants and Visible Minority

Ethnicity

- The top three ethnicities residents in Ward 9 identify with are English, Canadian and Scottish.

## Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2011%</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2011%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population in private households by ethnic origins</td>
<td>26,870</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>Total population in private households by ethnic origins</td>
<td>509,640</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>6,930</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>134,925</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian</td>
<td>6,540</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>Canadian</td>
<td>122,145</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish</td>
<td>4,980</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>Scottish</td>
<td>98,955</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>4,010</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>85,650</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>3,885</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>60,535</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>2,325</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>46,910</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>2,085</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>42,735</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>1,870</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>27,460</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>26,375</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatian</td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>Ukrainian</td>
<td>17,765</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukrainian</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>14,615</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>First Nations (North American Indian)</td>
<td>13,720</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Isles origins, n.i.e.</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>British Isles origins, n.i.e.</td>
<td>13,240</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>East Indian</td>
<td>12,525</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbian</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>10,875</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Language Most Often Spoken at Home

- The majority (85.4%) of residents in Ward 9 speak English most often at home.
- Over ten percent (10.6%) of residents in Ward 9 speak a non-official language most often at home.

### Language Most Often Spoken at Home

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Most Often Spoken at Home</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population by language spoken most often at home</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2011 % Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>22,940</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-official languages</td>
<td>2,840</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple responses</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Language Most Often Spoken at Home - 2011

- English: 100.0% of the population speaks English most often at home in Ward 9, compared to 84.1% in Hamilton.
- French: 0.3% of the population speaks French most often at home in Ward 9, compared to 0.4% in Hamilton.
- Non-official languages: 10.6% of the population speaks a non-official language most often at home in Ward 9, compared to 11.7% in Hamilton.
- Multiple responses: 3.7% of the population gives multiple language responses at home in Ward 9, compared to 3.7% in Hamilton.
Immigrants

- Compared to Hamilton, the proportion of immigrants in Ward 9 is slightly lower.

### Immigrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population by immigrant status</td>
<td>26,865</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>509,640</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immigrants</td>
<td>5,975</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>125,015</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent Immigrants</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>14,825</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Immigrants - 2011

![Chart showing the percentage of immigrants and recent immigrants in Ward 9 and Hamilton in 2011.](chart.png)
Visible Minority Population

- Compared to Hamilton, there is a lower proportion of residents who self-identify as being a visible minority in Ward 9.

### Visible Minority Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visible Minority Group</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011 % Total</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011 % Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population by visible minority groups</td>
<td>26,865</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>509,635</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total visible minority population</td>
<td>3,135</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>79,970</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>17,245</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>16,110</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>7,175</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>7,335</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>7,075</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asian</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>5,875</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Asian</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>4,260</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1,975</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible minority, n.i.e.</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple visible minorities</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not a visible minority</td>
<td>23,735</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td>429,665</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Visible Minority Groups - 2011

- **South Asian**: Ward 9 - 11.7%, Hamilton - 15.7%
- **Chinese**: Ward 9 - 3.4%, Hamilton - 3.4%
- **Black**: Ward 9 - 2.2%, Hamilton - 3.2%
- **Filipino**: Ward 9 - 0.4%, Hamilton - 1.4%
- **Latin American**: Ward 9 - 1.3%, Hamilton - 1.4%
- **Arab**: Ward 9 - 1.5%, Hamilton - 1.4%
- **Southeast Asian**: Ward 9 - 0.9%, Hamilton - 1.2%
- **West Asian**: Ward 9 - 0.9%, Hamilton - 0.8%
- **Korean**: Ward 9 - 0.0%, Hamilton - 0.4%
- **Japanese**: Ward 9 - 0.1%, Hamilton - 0.2%
- **Visible minority, n.i.e.**: Ward 9 - 0.3%, Hamilton - 0.3%
- **Multiple visible minorities**: Ward 9 - 0.1%, Hamilton - 0.4%
- **Not a visible minority**: Ward 9 - 88.3%, Hamilton - 84.3%
Labour Force Characteristics

Labour Force Activity

- Compared to Hamilton, the participation rate and employment rate are higher in Ward 9.
- The unemployment rate is lower in Ward 9 than in Hamilton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labour Force Activity</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation rate</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment rate</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Labour Force Activity - 2011

Rate per 100

Labour Force Characteristic

City of Hamilton Ward Profiles
Labour force by occupation

- Similar to Hamilton, the most common occupation of residents in Ward 9 is sales and service.

### Labour Force by Occupation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>Ward 9 % Total</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>Hamilton % Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total labour force population aged 15 years and over by occupation - National Occupational Classification (NOC) 2011</td>
<td>14,580</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>266,200</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All occupations</td>
<td>14,275</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>258,885</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>1,430</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>25,455</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business, finance and administration</td>
<td>2,550</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>39,355</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural and applied sciences and related</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>14,675</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>19,565</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, law and social, community and government services</td>
<td>1,445</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>33,110</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art, culture, recreation and sport</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>6,695</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and service</td>
<td>3,270</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>62,855</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trades, transport and equipment operators and related</td>
<td>2,440</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>38,255</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural resources, agriculture and related production</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>4,090</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing and utilities</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>14,835</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation - Not applicable</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>7,315</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Labour Force by Occupation - 2011

- **Management**
- **Business, finance and administration**
- **Natural and applied sciences and related**
- **Health**
- **Education, law and social, community and government services**
- **Art, culture, recreation and sport**
- **Sales and service**
- **Trades, transport and equipment operators and related**
- **Natural resources, agriculture and related production**
- **Manufacturing and utilities**
- **Occupation - Not applicable**
Labour Force by Industry

- The most common industry residents in Ward 9 are employed in manufacturing. The proportion of residents employed in manufacturing is higher in Ward 9 than in Hamilton.

### Labour Force by Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total labour force population aged 15 years and over by industry - North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) 2007</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All industries</td>
<td>14,275</td>
<td>97.9%</td>
<td>258,880</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining, quarrying, and oil and gas extraction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>17,405</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>2,045</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>31,605</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale trade</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>11,615</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail trade</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>30,315</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and warehousing</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>11,225</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information and cultural industries</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>4,985</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and insurance</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>10,815</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate and rental and leasing</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>4,730</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, scientific and technical services</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>14,635</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of companies and enterprises</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative and support, waste management and remediation services</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>12,820</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational services</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>24,165</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care and social assistance</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>33,640</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, entertainment and recreation</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>4,440</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and food services</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>15,765</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services (except public administration)</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>12,355</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public administration</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>13,265</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry - Not applicable</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>7,315</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Place of Work

- The distribution of the employed labour force by place of work is similar for Ward 9 and Hamilton.

### Employed Labour Force by Place of Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Work</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total employed population aged 15 years and over by place of work status</td>
<td>13,515</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>243,080</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worked at home</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>14,045</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worked outside Canada</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fixed workplace address</td>
<td>1,385</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>26,345</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worked at usual place</td>
<td>11,550</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
<td>201,850</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Employed Labour Force by Place of Work 2011

![Bar chart showing the percentage of the employed labour force by place of work for Ward 9 and Hamilton in 2011.](chart)
Mode of Transportation

- Similar to Hamilton, the most common mode of transportation to and from work by employed residents in Ward 9 is by a car, truck or van as a driver.
- Compared to Hamilton, the proportion of the employed labour force that use public transit or walk to their place of work is lower in Ward 9.

Employed Labour Force by Mode of Transportation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011 % Total</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011 % Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total employed population aged 15 years and over with a usual place of work or no fixed workplace address by mode of transportation</td>
<td>12,930</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>228,195</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car, truck or van - as a driver</td>
<td>10,930</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
<td>174,410</td>
<td>76.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car, truck or van - as a passenger</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>16,080</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transit</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>22,475</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walked</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>11,235</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1,805</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other methods</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employed Labour Force by Mode of Transportation - 2011

- The graph shows the percentage of employed labour force aged 15 and over by mode of transportation for both Ward 9 and Hamilton.

City of Hamilton Ward Profiles
Household Income

- Compared to Hamilton, Ward 9 has a larger proportion of households that fall in the higher income groups.
- The average household income is slightly higher for households in Ward 9 than for households in Hamilton overall.

### Household Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household total income in 2010 of private households</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>2011 % Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $5,000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000 to $9,999</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>3,645</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 to $14,999</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>7,810</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 to $19,999</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>10,875</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 to $29,999</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>19,685</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000 to $39,999</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>19,115</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000 to $49,999</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>18,850</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 to $59,999</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>16,380</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,000 to $79,999</td>
<td>1,570</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>27,605</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,000 to $99,999</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>22,785</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 to $124,999</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>19,125</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$125,000 to $149,999</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>12,565</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000 and over</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>20,280</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average household total income $</td>
<td>$81,878</td>
<td></td>
<td>$76,742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Household Income - 2010

![Bar chart showing percent (%) of total private households by household income range for Ward 9 and Hamilton.](chart.png)
Low Income Status

- Compared to Hamilton, Ward 9 has a overall lower prevalence of low-income. The prevalence of low income for those age 65 years or older is slightly higher in Ward 9 than Hamilton.

### Low Income Status, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total persons in private households</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of low income after tax in 2010</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total persons less than 6 years</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of low income after tax in 2010</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total persons 65 years and over</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of low income after tax in 2010</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Low Income Status - 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Group</th>
<th>Prevalence of Low Income After Tax in 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total persons in private households</td>
<td>Ward 9: 11.4, Hamilton: 15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total persons less than 6 years</td>
<td>Ward 9: 17.5, Hamilton: 24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total persons 65 years and over</td>
<td>Ward 9: 8.9, Hamilton: 8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dwellings

Dwellings by Period of Construction

- Compared to Hamilton, there is a much lower proportion of dwellings in Ward 9 that were constructed before 1960 and much higher proportion constructed between 1981 and 2005.
- The majority of dwellings in Ward 9 were constructed between 1961 and 2000.

### Occupied Private Dwellings by Period of Construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period of Construction</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011%</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of occupied private dwellings by period of construction</td>
<td>9,910</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>203,805</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960 or before</td>
<td>2,320</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>78,360</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961 to 1980</td>
<td>2,550</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>59,655</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981 to 1990</td>
<td>1,830</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>25,680</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991 to 2000</td>
<td>1,830</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>19,895</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 to 2005</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>10,280</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 to 2011</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>9,935</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Occupied Private Dwellings by Period of Construction - 2011

![Bar chart showing percentage of occupied private dwellings by period of construction for Ward 9 and Hamilton in 2011.](chart.png)
Dwellings by Structural Type

- Similar to Hamilton, the most common type of dwelling in Ward 9 is a single-detached house.

### Occupied Private Dwellings by Structural Type of Dwelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Type of Dwelling</th>
<th>Ward 9 2011</th>
<th>2011%</th>
<th>Hamilton 2011</th>
<th>2011%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of occupied private dwellings by structural type of dwelling</td>
<td>9,925</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>203,805</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-detached house</td>
<td>5,815</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
<td>118,105</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment, building that has five or more storeys</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>33,140</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movable dwelling</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-detached house</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>6,325</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row house</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>21,440</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment, duplex</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>6,320</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment, building that has fewer than five storeys</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>17,660</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other single-attached house</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Occupied Private Dwellings by Structural Type of Dwelling - 2011

#### Chart

- **Ward 9**
- **Hamilton**

---

*City of Hamilton Ward Profiles*
Vacant Residential Land Inventory

- There is still a substantial amount of potential for vacant residential land inventory and units to be built in Ward 9, which is estimated to total 121 hectares for 5,160 proposed units.

- The number of single detached homes comprise 38% of proposed development, while 45% are townhouse units. A total of 1,666 or 32% of units are in draft approved status, while 17% are pending, and the remaining 44% are categorized as potential development.

### Vacant Residential Land Inventory - Ward 9, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Status</th>
<th>Single-Detached</th>
<th>Semi-detached</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Apartment</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Units (ha)</td>
<td>Units (ha)</td>
<td>Units (ha)</td>
<td>Units (ha)</td>
<td>Units (ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total by Development</td>
<td>1,933 66.8</td>
<td>150 3.9</td>
<td>2,344 43.1</td>
<td>733 7.4</td>
<td>5,160 121.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>26 1.2</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>270 3.8</td>
<td>80 0.5</td>
<td>376 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Approved</td>
<td>511 20.3</td>
<td>150 3.9</td>
<td>702 15.0</td>
<td>303 3.3</td>
<td>1,666 42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>492 15.7</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>193 3.6</td>
<td>191 2.0</td>
<td>876 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Development</td>
<td>904 29.6</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>1,179 20.7</td>
<td>159 1.6</td>
<td>2,242 51.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urban Residential Condominiums

- Ward 9 condominium applications totalled 248 units, with 84 being comprised of townhouses, and the remaining 164 as apartments. The majority of these units were under registered status, which included 168 units or 68%, while the remaining 80 units were under pending status.

- Many of the active application plans occur south of the Lincoln M. Alexander Parkway.

### Urban Residential Condominiums - Ward 9, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Status</th>
<th>Single-detached</th>
<th>Semi-detached</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Apartment</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total by Development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Approved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Housing Starts

- Although Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) reported more active starts during the period between 2000 and 2005 for Ward 9, there has been a recent resurgence of new building start activity since 2010. A total of 1,622 starts were reported from 2000 to 2013, with 507 new units reported since 2010, or 31% of all reported starts since 2000.

- Since 2000, the majority of dwelling types for new starts has been single family, which made up 58% or 936 units, followed by townhouses at 39% or 633 total units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-detached</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-detached</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhouse</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Housing Starts - Ward 9, 2006-2013

- Single-detached, 360, 56%
- Semi-detached, 4, 1%
- Townhouse, 280, 43%
Housing Tenure

- Compared to Hamilton, Ward 9 has a higher proportion of owned housing and a lower proportion of rented housing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure - 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ward 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of private households by tenure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Housing Affordability

- Compared to Hamilton, Ward 9 has a lower proportion of tenant-occupied households spending 30% or more of their household income on shelter costs.

### Housing Affordability - 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of owner households in non-farm, non-reserve private dwellings</td>
<td>7,225</td>
<td>138,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of owner households spending 30% or more of household total income on shelter costs</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tenant households in non-farm, non-reserve private dwellings</td>
<td>2,685</td>
<td>64,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of tenant households spending 30% or more of household total income on shelter costs</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Housing Affordability - 2011

- The bar chart compares the percentage of owner and tenant households in Ward 9 and Hamilton spending 30% or more of their household income on shelter costs. The chart shows that
  - Ward 9 has a lower percentage of owner households spending a high proportion of their income on shelter costs compared to Hamilton.
  - Similarly, Ward 9 has a lower percentage of tenant households spending a high proportion of their income on shelter costs compared to Hamilton.
Land Use

Primary Land Use

- Ward 9 is located partly within the urban area and partly within the rural area of the City of Hamilton. It is not surprising that its two highest land use categories are residential at 1,153 acres or 28% and agriculture at 962 acres or 24%.

- Open space and vacant land are also prominent in this ward which is home to the Eramosa Karst Conservation Area.

- Comparable to the other wards, there is an estimated density of 58.2 persons per net acre on residential lands, or 14.1 persons per gross hectare of the overall ward.

- Ward 9 also has a higher than average commercial land use percentage of 7%, compared to the city average among urban wards of 5%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Land Use Areas</th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>2013 % Total</th>
<th>Average Urban Ward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total land use area (ac)</td>
<td>4,096</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>Wards %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/Office</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation/Utility</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space*</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Land*</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*adjusted land use overlaps and/or non-applicable lands between wards where required

Source: Planning Department – Community Planning – GIS Section, 2013 Year End Land Use
Parks and Open Space

- In 2014, Ward 9 had a surplus of neighbourhood parks 5.0 hectares, and a minimal surplus of community parkland of 0.1 hectares.
- The highest proportion of park classification in Ward 9 is city wide at 43%, or 53.4 hectares.
- There is a relatively even distribution of Neighbourhood, Community and Natural/General Open space in Ward 9 of 20%, 16% and 21% respectively.
- Heritage Green Sports Park is the largest classified park (City Wide) in Ward 9 at 34.4 hectares.

### Parks and Open Space - 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Area (ha)</td>
<td>122.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkette</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Wide</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Open Space</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Open Space</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parks and Open Space - Ward 9, 2014

- City Wide: 43%
- Neighbourhood: 20%
- Community: 16%
- Parkette: 0%
- General Open Space: 13%
- Natural Open Space: 8%

### Park Deficiency - 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood Parks (ha)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Parks (ha)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Voter Turnout

- During the 2014 Municipal Election, 34.2% of eligible voters in Ward 9 voted.

City of Hamilton Municipal Election 2014 Turnout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ward 9</th>
<th>Hamilton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2014 % Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible Voters</td>
<td>19957</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballots Cast</td>
<td>6826</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City of Hamilton Municipal Election 2014 Turnout

- Ward 9: 34.2%
- Hamilton: 34.0%

Percent (% of Eligible Voters who Cast A Ballot)

- Ward 9: 34.2%
- Hamilton: 34.0%
2011 National Household Survey Limitation Notes

The 2011 National Household Survey (NHS) provides information about the demographic, social, and economic characteristics of Canadians. The NHS was designed to capture the socio-demographic characteristics that were previously collected by the long form census questionnaire. However, the NHS had made some changes to the census questions and is a voluntary survey which would result in estimates subject to higher non-response bias than the previous mandatory long form census.

Non-response bias is a concern because populations who choose to respond to a survey tend to be different from those who chose not to participate in a survey. This means there would be data gaps for certain groups that tend not to participate in voluntary surveys (including aboriginal, low income, immigrants and other groups) and data may be skewed to over or under represent different sub-population groups. For the 2011 National Household Survey (NHS) estimates, the global non-response rate (GNR) is used as an indicator of data quality. This indicator combines complete non-response (household) and partial non-response (question) into a single rate. A smaller GNR indicates a lower risk of non-response bias and as a result, lower risk of inaccuracy.

Despite the fact that the questions in the NHS were similar to those from the previous mandatory long form census questionnaire, due to methodological differences between the NHS and the census, comparisons of data from these two sources are unreliable. Hence, the 2011 NHS data should not be compared to previous Census data and trend over time information is not available for the information that used to be collected in the census long-form questionnaire.

Furthermore, since it is not possible to determine with certainty whether differences in data are attributable to actual differences of a characteristic among populations or non-response bias, extra caution should be exercised when comparing NHS data for different and/or at smaller geographies with varying Global Non-Response Rates (GNRs). Comparisons between geographies with similar GNRs may be more suitable. The following table shows the GNRs of each ward in the City of Hamilton as well as the overall GNR for the City of Hamilton. Note that the GNRs vary greatly between the different wards ranging from 19.1% to as high as 41.5%; with most being over 25% which is the 2011 Census threshold for suppression.
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