

MID-SPENCER/GREENSVILLE RURAL SETTLEMENT AREA SUBWATERSHED STUDY

April 2016



Prepared for:

The City of Hamilton



Prepared By:

Aquafor Beech Limited

2600 Skymark Avenue
Building 6, Suite 202
Mississauga, Ontario
L4W 5B2



Aquafor Beech Reference: 64618

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Study Area	1
1.3	Study Goal, Objective and Key Tasks	5
1.3.1	<i>Study Goal</i>	5
1.3.2	<i>Study Objective</i>	5
1.3.3	<i>Key Tasks</i>	5
1.4	Subwatershed Planning.....	6
1.5	The Class Environmental Assessment Process.....	8
2	PROBLEM AND OPPORTUNITY IDENTIFICATION	12
2.1	General.....	12
3	STUDY AREA AND BACKGROUND	14
3.1	Study Area	14
3.2	Existing Land Use – Rural Settlement Area.....	14
3.3	Proposed Land Use – Rural Settlement Area	14
4	EXISTING SUBWATERSHED CONDITIONS	19
4.1	General.....	19
4.2	Environmental Features	19
4.3	Surface Water Resources – Flooding.....	20
4.3.1	<i>Introduction</i>	20
4.3.2	<i>Background Review</i>	20
4.3.3	<i>Hydrology – Continuous Model</i>	21
4.3.3.1	<i>Continuous Model Development</i>	21
4.3.3.2	<i>Continuous Model Application</i>	30
4.3.3.3	<i>Flood Flow Estimates</i>	38
4.3.4	<i>Hydrology – Event-Based Model</i>	39
4.3.5	<i>Hydraulics and Floodline Mapping</i>	42
4.3.5.1	<i>General</i>	42
4.3.5.2	<i>Floodline Mapping</i>	42
4.3.6	<i>Existing Stormwater Management Facilities</i>	43
4.4	Groundwater Resources	47

4.4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	47
4.4.2	<i>Hydrogeology and Aquifers</i>	53
4.4.3	<i>Detailed Field Work</i>	60
4.4.1	<i>Aquifers in the Greenville RSA</i>	65
4.4.2	<i>Groundwater Flow</i>	69
4.4.3	<i>Groundwater Recharge and Discharge</i>	72
4.4.4	<i>Groundwater Quantity and the Water Balance</i>	75
4.4.5	<i>Water Quality</i>	82
4.4.6	<i>Source Water Protection and Well Head Protection Area (WHPA)</i>	85
4.4.7	<i>Summary and Conclusions</i>	90
4.5	<i>Fluvial Geomorphology</i>	92
4.5.1	<i>Introduction</i>	92
4.5.1.1	<i>Location and General Description of the Mid-Spencer Creek Subwatershed</i>	93
4.5.1.2	<i>Drainage Characteristics and Surface Geology</i>	98
4.5.2	<i>Methodology</i>	98
4.5.2.1	<i>Rapid Geomorphic Assessments (MOE, 1999)</i>	99
4.5.2.2	<i>Detailed Geomorphic Assessments</i>	99
4.5.3	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	100
4.5.3.1	<i>Stream Reach Delineation and Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Results</i>	100
4.5.3.2	<i>Detailed Geomorphic Assessments</i>	112
4.5.4	<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>	117
4.6	<i>Natural Heritage Features</i>	119
4.6.1	<i>Introduction</i>	119
4.6.2	<i>Fieldwork Supporting the Characterization of the Subwatershed</i>	120
4.6.2.1	<i>Vegetation Community Classification and Flora</i>	124
4.6.2.2	<i>Amphibian Calling Surveys</i>	126
4.6.2.3	<i>Breeding Bird Surveys</i>	134
4.6.2.4	<i>Fish</i>	156
4.6.2.5	<i>Benthic Invertebrates</i>	162
4.6.3	<i>Species at Risk and Species of Conservation Concern</i>	165
4.7	<i>Natural Heritage System</i>	175
4.7.1	<i>Methodology</i>	179
4.7.2	<i>Review and Refinement of Core Areas within the Rural Settlement Area</i>	180
4.7.2.1	<i>Key Natural Heritage Features</i>	180

4.7.2.2	Key Hydrologic Features.....	221
4.7.2.3	Local Natural Areas Within the Rural Settlement Area	222
4.7.3	Review and Refinement of Linkages within the Rural Settlement Area.....	225
4.7.4	Summary of Core Natural Heritage Features and Linkages within the Revised Natural Heritage System	229
4.7.5	Vegetation Protection Zones.....	236
4.8	Opportunities and Constraints to Development.....	240
5	FIRST PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE.....	243
6	IMPACT ASSESSMENT	245
6.1	Surface Water Assessment.....	245
6.1.1	Problems	245
6.1.2	Surface Water Impact Assessment	246
6.2	Groundwater Impact Assessment	251
6.2.1	Groundwater Quantity.....	251
6.2.2	Groundwater Quality.....	258
6.3	Natural Heritage.....	260
7	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	264
7.1	General.....	264
7.2	Long List of Alternatives – Mid-Spencer Subwatershed.....	265
7.2.1	Structural Best Management Practices for Rural Areas	265
7.2.2	Non-structural BMPs for Rural Areas.....	266
7.2.3	Measures for Rural Estates.....	266
7.2.4	Stream Restoration Programs.....	266
7.2.5	Aquatic Habitat / Fish Community Enhancement Programs	267
7.2.6	Terrestrial Habitat Enhancement	268
7.2.7	Vegetation Protection Outside of the NHS	269
7.2.8	Woodland Edge Management.....	269
7.2.9	Fencing	271
7.2.10	Groundwater Protection	271
7.2.11	Aggregate Extraction.....	272
7.2.12	Policy Development	273
7.3	Long List of Alternatives – Rural Settlement Area	274
7.4	Alternatives Subject to the Environmental Assessment Process	275

7.5	Servicing Alternatives.....	276
7.5.1	“Do nothing” – Maintain Status Quo.....	276
7.5.2	Control / Limit Community Growth.....	277
7.5.3	Bring up municipal water	277
7.5.4	Provide more communal wells.....	277
7.5.5	Maintain status Quo – Add Back up well.....	277
7.6	Description of the Evaluation Criteria	277
7.7	Summary of Evaluation Process For Servicing Alternatives.....	280
7.7.1	“Do-nothing”.....	280
7.7.2	Control / limit community growth.....	281
7.7.3	Bring up Municipal Water	282
7.7.4	Provide More Communal Wells.....	283
7.7.5	Maintain Status Quo – Add Back Up Well.....	284
7.8	Stormwater Alternatives	286
7.9	Evaluation of Alternative Measures.....	290
7.9.1	Screening Level Assessment.....	291
7.9.2	Detailed Assessment.....	294
7.10	Selection of the Preferred Alternative.....	301
8	COMMUNITY LIAISON COMMITTEE AND SECOND PUBLIC INFORMATION CENTRE.....	302
9	DESCRIPTION OF THE RECOMMENDED PLANS FOR THE SUBWATERSHED AND RSA (RURAL SETTLEMENT AREA).....	304
9.1	General	304
9.2	Rural Settlement Area Plan.....	304
9.2.1	RSA Surface Water Plan	304
9.2.1.1	Water Balance Targets	304
9.2.1.2	Water Quality Targets	305
9.2.1.3	Flood and Erosion Control Targets	307
9.2.1.4	Stormwater Management Strategy	307
9.2.2	Rural Sttlement Area Groundwater Plan.....	312
9.2.3	Rural Settlement Area Natural Heritage Plan.....	314
9.3	Subwatershed Plan	318
9.3.1	Subwatershed Surface Water Plan.....	318
9.3.2	Middle-Spencer Creek Subwatershed Surface Water Plan	319

9.3.3	<i>Subwatershed Groundwater Plan</i>	323
9.3.4	<i>Subwatershed Natural Heritage Plan</i>	325
9.3.5	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities: Overview</i>	327
9.3.5.1	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities in Area A</i>	329
9.3.5.2	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities in Area B</i>	330
9.3.5.3	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities in Area C</i>	331
9.3.5.4	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities in Area D</i>	332
9.3.5.5	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities in Area E</i>	332
9.3.5.6	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities in Area F</i>	333
9.3.5.7	<i>Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities in Area G</i>	334
10	IMPLEMENTATION	335
10.1	General	335
10.2	Study Area	336
10.3	Objectives	336
10.4	Rural Settlement Area Implementation	336
10.4.1	<i>Stormwater Management for New Development</i>	336
10.4.2	<i>Drainage and Infrastructure Improvement Works</i>	343
10.4.3	<i>Stormwater Retrofit Measures</i>	344
10.4.4	<i>The Recommended Natural Heritage System within the Rural Settlement Area..</i>	346
10.4.4.1	<i>Natural Heritage Policy and Approvals</i>	346
10.4.4.2	<i>Environmental Rehabilitation and Enhancement</i>	351
10.4.4.3	<i>NHS Management</i>	352
10.4.4.4	<i>Responsibility for Implementation</i>	353
10.4.4.5	<i>Targets/Objectives</i>	354
10.4.4.6	<i>Requirements for Future Studies</i>	355
10.4.4.7	<i>Species at Risk and Other Species of Conservation Concern</i>	356
10.4.4.8	<i>Phasing</i>	356
10.4.4.9	<i>Summary of Implementation Elements</i>	357
10.4.5	<i>Groundwater Strategy</i>	364
10.4.5.1	<i>Groundwater Targets (New Development)</i>	364
10.4.5.2	<i>Design Guidance and Policy Considerations</i>	364
10.4.5.3	<i>Wellhead Protection Areas</i>	366
10.4.6	<i>Groundwater Retrofit Measures</i>	368
10.5	Subwatershed Plan Implementation.....	369

10.5.1	Surface/Groundwater Implementation Strategy	369
10.5.2	Groundwater Implementation Strategy.....	370
10.5.3	Natural Heritage Implementation Strategy, Greater Mid-Spencer/Greenville Subwatershed Study Area	372
10.5.3.1	Natural Heritage Policy and Approvals.....	373
10.5.3.2	Responsibility for Implementation.....	378
10.5.3.3	Targets/Objectives	379
10.5.3.4	Requirements for Future Studies	379
10.5.3.5	Species at Risk and Other Species of Conservation Concern	380
10.5.3.6	Phasing	380
10.6	The Class Environmental Assessment Process.....	381
11	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	383
11.1	General	383
11.2	Study Area	383
11.3	Study Components – Rural Settlement Area (RSA).....	384
11.4	Domestic Water Supply	386
12	REFERENCES.....	388

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Hydrologic Model Parameters

Appendix B – Hydraulic Model

Appendix C – Well Logs

Appendix D – RGA Form

Appendix E – Site Summaries

Appendix F – Species Lists

Appendix G – Ecoplans Limited: *Mid-Spencer Creek / Greensville Subwatershed Study: Faunal Inventories Report 2006*

Appendix H – ELC Datasheets

Appendix I – Breeding Bird Datasheets

Appendix J – Benthic Raw Data

Appendix K– Rehabilitation and Enhancement Opportunities

Appendix L – Hamilton Conservation Authority: Crook’s Hollow Dam Removal and Restoration of Spencer Creek Project Summary

Appendix M – Public Information Centre

Appendix N – Letter to HCA - dated December 15, 2015

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.1: Comparison of Urban Stormwater Runoff Concentrations with Various Water Quality Criteria	12
Table 4.3.1: Hydrologic Parameters Used in Mike 11 and their Tolerance for Calibration.....	30
Table 4.3.2: Storm Events used to Calibrate and Validate the MIKE 11 Model.....	31
Table 4.3.3: MIKE 11 Results for the Calibrated and Validated Storm Events	32
Table 4.3.4: Comparison between the Results of MIKE 11 and a Previous Study	38
Table 4.3.5: Surface Runoff Rates under Existing Conditions within the RSA Study Area.....	40
Table 4.3.6: Comparison between MIKE 11 and SWMHYMO Estimates of the Regional Flood Flow Rate	42
Table 4.3.7: Regional Flood Estimates and Water Surface Elevations along the Unnamed Tributary	45
Table 4.4.1: Ministry of the Environment and City of Hamilton Water Wells Used.....	53
Table 4.4.2: New Domestic Water Supply Wells in Greensville RSA.....	54
Table 4.4.3: Aquifers and Aquitards in the Hamilton and Greensville RSA Areas (EarthFx, 2101a, b)	68
Table 4.4.4: Water Levels in Piezometers in Greensville RSA.....	72
Table 4.4.5: Groundwater Elevations in Monitor Wells 2007 – 2010.....	74
Table 4.4.6: Calculation of Evapotranspiration (ET) and Water Balance for a Silt and Fine Sand Loam in Greensville for different vegetation Cover.....	76
Table 4.4.7: A Simplified Water Budget for the Greensville RSA (Precipitation Only)	77
Table 4.4.8: Industrial/Commercial Permits to Take Water in the Greensville Area.....	78
Table 4.4.9: Calculation of the Water Balance for Greensville for a normal year (1977-2000), a dry year (2007) and a wet year (2008) using records from the Hamilton Airport. Calculated for a fine sandy loam (water retention = 150 mm).....	81
Table 4.4.10: Number and Percentage of Unsafe Wells in 1983, 2005 and 2008	82
Table 4.4.11: Greensville RSA Monitor Wells – Nitrate Concentrations 2007 - 2014 (mg/L)....	84
Table 4.4.12: Water Budget for Greensville RSA Including Groundwater Inflows from the North	85
Table 4.5.1: Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Descriptions Based on Index Value	100
Table 4.5.2: Reach Breaks and Rapid Geomorphic Assessments for Logies Creek	101

Table 4.5.3: Reach Breaks and Rapid Geomorphic Assessments for Greenville Tributary	105
Table 4.5.4: Reach Breaks and Rapid Geomorphic Assessments for Middle Spencer Creek...	108
Table 4.6.1: Summary of Ecological Field Surveys, 2006-2014.....	121
Table 4.6.2. Calling Amphibian Survey Station Locations and Environmental Conditions, 2014.	129
Table 4.6.3. Amphibians heard using MMP Protocols (2014)	132
Table 4.6.4: Start time of 10-minute point counts in each Wildlife Survey Unit and Open Country Breeding Bird Unit. Units are listed in the order that point counts were conducted; earlier visits were conducted in primarily forested units.....	137
Table 4.6.5: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 8a.....	139
Table 4.6.6: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 8b.....	140
Table 4.6.7: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 9.....	141
Table 4.6.8: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 10.....	141
Table 4.6.9: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 12.....	142
Table 4.6.10: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 13.....	143
Table 4.6.11: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 14.....	143
Table 4.6.12: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 26.....	144
Table 4.6.13: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit V.....	145
Table 4.6.14: Birds recorded in Wildlife Survey Unit 31.....	146
Table 4.6.15: Birds recorded in Open Country Breeding Bird Unit 1.....	147
Table 4.6.16: Birds recorded in Open Country Breeding Bird Unit 2.....	148
Table 4.6.17: Birds recorded in Open Country Breeding Bird Unit 3.....	149
Table 4.6.18: Birds recorded in Open Country Breeding Bird Unit 4.....	150
Table 4.6.19: Numbers of species and territories recorded in the Survey Units of the Greenville RSA during breeding bird surveys completed during 2014.....	151
Table 4.6.20: Summary of all Species and Numbers Noted During 2014 Breeding Bird Surveys.	152
Table 4.6.21: Fish species found within the Middle Spencer Creek Subwatershed.....	160
Table 4.6.22: : Results of Benthic Macroinvertebrate Survey Conducted by Aquafor Beech Limited in 2008 and 2014.....	164

Table 4.6.23: Consolidated list of Species at Risk and Species of Conservation Concern within the Greenville Subwatershed Study Area.....	167
Table 4.6.24 - Greenville Avian Species At Risk Recorded by Ecoplans Limited, 2006.....	172
Table 4.7.1: City of Hamilton definitions of woodland linkages and other natural vegetation types.	179
Table 4.7.2: Categories of occurrence assigned to COSSARO-designated species.	181
Table 4.7.3: Categories of 31 COSSARO-designated Species at Risk known or Suspected to Occur in the Greenville Rural Settlement Area.....	183
Table 4.7.4: Fish Habitat Classification of Watercourses within the Greenville Subwatershed Study Area	193
Table 4.7.5: Significant Woodland Analysis for Greenville Rural Settlement Area	202
Table 4.7.6: Assessment of Species of Conservation Concern Previously Recorded within the Greenville Rural Settlement Area	215
Table 4.7.7: City of Hamilton definitions of woodland linkages and other natural vegetation types.	225
Table 4.7.8: Linkage Assessment for the Greenville Rural Settlement Area.....	227
Table 4.7.9: Minimum Vegetation Protection Zone Requirements for Urban Areas as Required by the City of Hamilton (adapted from City of Hamilton 2013).....	238
Table 4.7.10: Minimum Vegetation Protection Zone Requirements for Rural Areas as Required by the City of Hamilton (adapted from City of Hamilton 2012).....	239
Table 6.1.1: New Development Areas within the Rural Settlement Area	247
Table 6.1.2: Surface Runoff Rates under Existing and Future Conditions (No Control).....	248
Table 6.2.1: Summary of Water Level Drawdowns Under Existing and Proposed Development for the Greenville RSA.....	257
Table 6.2.2: Water Level Drawdowns in the Greenville RSA Under Drought Conditions	257
Table 6.3.1: Summary of Potential Impacts to the Natural Heritage System	261
Table 7.4.1: Long List of Alternatives for Rural Settlement Area	276
Table 7.6.1: Information Matrix For Servicing Alternatives	278
Table 7.6.2: Listing of Evaluation Criteria	279
Table 7.9.1: Primary Criteria used in Screening Level Assessment (Phase 1).....	292
Table 7.9.2: Phase 1 Screening-Level Evaluation Matrix	293

Table 7.9.3: Description of the Physical and Natural Environment Criteria used in the Detailed Assessment.....	297
Table 7.9.4: Description of the Social and Cultural Environment Criteria used in the Detailed Assessment.....	298
Table 7.9.5: Description of the Technical Criteria used in Detailed Assessment.....	298
Table 7.9.6: Description of the Financial Criteria used in the Detailed Assessment.....	299
Table 7.9.7: Detailed Assessment Matrix for Selecting the Preferred Alternative.....	300
Table 9.2.1: Summary of Location and Sizing of the Proposed Stormwater Management Ponds within the Rural Settlement Area.....	309
Table 9.2.2: Summary of Stormwater Management Strategy Components for the New Development.....	310
Table 9.2.3: Water Demand in the Greensville RSA under Existing and Build-Out Conditions (No Controls)	312
Table 9.2.4: Core Natural Areas	314
Table 9.2.5: Linkages.....	315
Table 9.3.1: Summary of Natural Land Cover Types in the Greensville Subwatershed.....	328
Table 10.4.1: Summary of Stormwater Management Strategy Components for New Development Areas.....	338
Table 10.4.2: Summary of Approvals for Site Development and Alteration Proposed Within or Adjacent to the NHS in the Greensville RSA.....	349
Table 10.4.3: Responsible Parties for Anticipated Works on Potential Development Properties	354
Table 10.4.4: Summary of NHS Implementation Elements Applicable to Each Development Areas within the Greensville Rural Settlement Area.....	358
Table 10.5.1: Existing Rural Development - Retrofit Opportunities and Implementation.....	370
Table 10.5.2: Summary of Approvals for Site Development and Alteration Proposed Within or Adjacent to the NHS in the Greater Mid-Spencer/Greensville Subwatershed Study Area	376
Table 10.5.3: Responsible Parties for Anticipated Works on Potential Development Properties	378
Table 10.6.1: Environmental Assessment – Stormwater Wet Ponds.....	381

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.2.1: Study Areas	2
Figure 1.2.2: Niagara Escarpment Plan (figure amended from NEP maps).....	4
Figure 1.4.1: Evolution of Stormwater Management	8
Figure 1.5.1: Municipal Class EA Planning and Design Process	9
Figure 2.1.1: The Impact of Conventional Urbanization on the Hydrologic Cycle	13
Figure 3.3.1: Greenville Secondary Plan illustrating areas designated for development.....	17
Figure 3.3.2: Greenville Secondary Plan illustrating areas approved for development.....	18
Figure 4.3.1: Meteorological and Streamflow Gauge Locations	23
Figure 4.3.2: Hydrologic Model Subcatchments	25
Figure 4.3.3a: Soil Types	26
Figure 4.3.4: Cross-section Location	29
Figure 4.3.5: Calibration June 28 th 2013.....	33
Figure 4.3.6: Calibration May 25 th 2011.....	34
Figure 4.3.7: Calibration November 30 th 2010	35
Figure 4.3.8: Calibration May 3 rd 2011	36
Figure 4.3.9: Calibration May 18 th 2011.....	37
Figure 4.3.10: Rural Settlement Area Subcatchments (Existing Conditions)	41
Figure 4.3.11: Regulatory Floodline Mapping	44
Figure 4.3.12: Existing Stormwater Management Facilities	46
Figure 4.4.1: Landforms in the Middle Spencer Creek Subwatershed and the Greenville RSA	50
Figure 4.4.2: Surface Geology of the Greenville RSA.....	51
Figure 4.4.3: Bedrock Geology of the Greenville RSA	52
Figure 4.4.4: Water Wells in Overburden and in Bedrock. Mid-Spencer Subwatershed.....	56
Figure 4.4.5: Water Wells and Cross-section in the Greenville RSA	57
Figure 4.4.6: North-South Cross-Section BB-5, Greenville RSA.....	58
Figure 4.4.7: Thickness of Overburden in the Greenville RSA from Water Wells Records	59
Figure 4.4.8: Locations of 2007 Monitor Wells, Greenville Municipal Well (Drilled 1972, Water Works Approval 1975), the Briencrest Communal Well (1957) and streambed piezometers	61

Figure 4.4.9: North-South Cross-Section of Greenville Monitor Wells	62
Figure 4.4.10: East-West Cross-Section of Greenville Monitor Wells.....	63
Figure 4.4.11: Incidence of High Sulphur Water in Wells as a Function of Depth.....	64
Figure 4.4.12: Bedrock Stratigraphy and Aquifer Sections (from Brunton 2008)	66
Figure 4.4.13: Regional North-South Cross-Section Across Middle Spencer Creek Subwatershed (from EarthFx. 2010a,b)	67
Figure 4.4.14: Groundwater Flow in Overburden Monitor Wells.....	70
Figure 4.4.15: Groundwater Flow in Bedrock Monitor Wells.....	71
Figure 4.4.16: Water levels in a dug well in Rosebough Park Compared to Middle Spencer Creek	73
Figure 4.4.17: Groundwater Withdrawals in the Greenville Area PTTW and Domestic Wells.	79
Figure 4.4.18: Annual Precipitation Records (1977-2014) for the Hamilton Airport	80
Figure 4.4.19: Nitrate Concentrations in Greenville Well Water (1983 – 2008).....	83
Figure 4.4.20: Nitrate Concentrations in the Greenville Municipal Well (2003 – 2012)	83
Figure 4.4.21: Well Head Protection Area for the Greenville Municipal Well	87
Figure 4.4.22: WHPA and Vulnerability of the Greenville Municipal Well	88
Figure 4.4.23: Nutrient Units within the WHPA for the Greenville Municipal Well.....	89
Figure 4.4.24: Conceptual Block Diagram of Greenville RSA Showing Recognized Aquifers	90
Figure 4.5.1: Geomorphic Characteristics	95
Figure 4.5.2: Geomorphic Characteristics	96
Figure 4.5.3: Physiography	97
Figure 4.5.4 (Left): Logies Creek Reach L-0	103
Figure 4.5.5 (Right): Logies Creek Reach L-1	103
Figure 4.5.6 (Left): Logies Creek Reach L-2	104
Figure 4.5.7 (Right): Logies Creek Reach L-3a	104
Figure 4.5.8: Logies Creek Reach L-3b.....	104
Figure 4.5.9 (Left): Greenville Tributary Reach GT-0	107
Figure 4.5.10 (Right): Greenville Tributary Reach GT-1	107
Figure 4.5.11 (Left): Greenville Tributary Reach GT-2	108
Figure 4.5.12 (Right): Greenville Tributary Reach GT-3	108

Figure 4.5.13 (Left) : Greensville Tributary Reach GT-4	108
Figure 4.5.14 (Right): Greensville Tributary Reach GT-5	108
Figure 4.5.15 (Left): Middle Spencer Reach MS-3	111
Figure 4.5.16 (Right): Middle Spencer Reach MS-4.....	111
Figure 4.5.17 (Left): Middle Spencer Reach MS-5a	111
Figure 4.5.18 (Right): Middle Spencer Reach MS-5b.....	112
Figure 4.5.19: Middle Spencer Reach MS-5c.....	112
Figure 4.5.20 (Left): Logies Creek Detailed Site	113
Figure 4.5.21 (Right): Logies Creek Detailed Site	113
Figure 4.5.22 (Left): Greensville Tributary Detailed Site	115
Figure 4.5.23 (Right): Greensville Tributary Detailed Site	115
Figure 4.5.24 (Left): Middle Spencer Creek Detailed Site.....	117
Figure 4.5.25 (Right): Middle Spencer Creek Detailed Site.....	117
Figure 4.6.1: Vegetation Communities within the Rural Settlement Area.....	125
Figure 4.6.2: Amphibian Monitoring Stations Within and Adjacent to the RSA, Surveyed in 2014	127
Figure 4.6.3: Breeding Bird Survey Locations, 2014.	136
Figure 4.6.4: Corn (left) and wheat (right) in OCBB Survey Unit 3.....	149
Figure 4.6.5: Logie's Creek descending 41 metres over Tew's Falls	159
Figure 4.6.6: Species of Conservation Concern Recorded in 2011 by NRSI and Aquafor Beech Limited in 2014.....	174
Figure 4.7.1: The City of Hamilton's Approach to Natural Heritage Planning	177
Figure 4.7.2: Fish Habitat Classification Within the Greensville Rural Settlement Area	194
Figure 4.7.3: Wetland 4, located within the south west portion of the Greensville RSA.....	196
Figure 4.7.4: Wetlands Within the Rural Settlement Area	197
Figure 4.7.5: Woodlands Within the Rural Settlement Area	203
Figure 4.7.6: Seepage area uncovered within the decommissioned Crook's Hollow Dam site. (photo courtesy of the Hamilton Conservation Authority).....	209
Figure 4.7.7: Small wetland feature within Woodland 6.....	212
Figure 4.7.8: Linkages Assessment within the Greensville Rural Settlement Area	228

Figure 4.7.9: Natural Heritage System Area A, B & C	231
Figure 4.7.10: Revised Natural Heritage System Area A	232
Figure 4.7.11: Revised Natural Heritage System Area B	233
Figure 4.7.12: Revised Natural Heritage System Area C	234
Figure 4.7.13: Revised Natural Heritage System, Greater Subwatershed Study Area	235
Figure 4.8.1: Opportunity and Constraints to Development: Entire Subwatershed Area.....	241
Figure 4.8.2: Opportunity and Constraints to Development: Rural Settlement Area.....	242
Figure 6.1.1: Rural Settlement Area Subcatchments (New Development Areas).....	249
Figure 6.1.2: Rural Settlement Area (New Development and Constraints)	250
Figure 6.2.1: Existing and Proposed Wells in the Greenville RSA Used in the Earthfx Model	253
Figure 6.2.2: Times-of-Travel of Groundwater from Point of Recharge to Existing Water Wells	254
Figure 6.2.3: Times-of-Travel of Groundwater from Point of Recharge to Existing and Proposed Water Wells	255
Figure 6.2.4: Area of Groundwater Recharge for 2 to 25 Year ToT for Existing and Proposed Wells	256
Figure 6.2.5: Total Nitrate Concentration in Groundwater in Greenville from Existing and Proposed Septic Systems (with infiltration average, in 2007 and 2008).	259
Figure 7.2.1: The Lafarge South Quarry Retention Pond, viewed from the RSA (2011).	273
Figure 7.8.1: Example End-of-Pipe Controls (clockwise from top left: Constructed Wetland, Dry Pond, Wet Pond)	287
Figure 7.8.2: Traditional Source Controls (Clockwise, from top left: Rooftop Storage, Parking Lot Storage, Oil-Grit Separator)	288
Figure 7.8.3: Example LID Source Controls (from L to R: Bioretention, Downspout Disconnection, Permeable Pavement, Green Roofs)	289
Figure 7.8.4: Example LID Conveyance Controls (From L to R: Vegetated Channel, Subsurface Perforated Pipe, Bio-swale, Grass Channel).....	289
Figure 7.9.1: The Evaluation Process	290
Figure 9.2.1: Proposed Stormwater Management Facilities for Water Quality, Erosion, and Flood Control	311
Figure 9.2.2: Greenville RSA Steady State Nitrate Input from Septic Systems under Existing and Future Conditions with Infiltration Rates shown for an Average Year, for 2007 (dry year) and 2008 (wet year).	313

Figure 9.2.3: Details of the Revised NHS for the Greensville Rural Settlement Area..... 317

Figure 9.3.1: Details Details of the Revised Natural Heritage System for the Mid-Spencer/Greensville Subwatershed Study Area..... 326

Figure 9.3.2: Schematic Diagram of Evergreen Privacy Screen 331

Figure 9.3.3: Riparian vegetation typical of Mid-Spencer Creek along areas identified in Restoration and Enhancement Area E. 333

Figure 10.4.1: Low Impact Development Measures Covering a Typical Residential Area within the Rural Settlement Area..... 345

Figure 10.4.2: Development Area within the Greensville Rural Settlement Area (includes subcatchments and SWM pond locations)..... 361

Figure 10.4.3: Details of the Revised Natural Heritage System Within The Rural Settlement Area 362

Figure 10.4.4: Species at Risk and Species of Conservation Concern Recorded by NRSI..... 363

Figure 10.4.5: Minmum Residential Lot Size by Predominant Soil Texture 367