City of Hamilton
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
Contract Number: C11-66-17
Request for Proposals
Pier 8 Development Opportunity

ADDENDUM 10

The following queries and responses, issued by the Procurement Section shall form part of the Request for Proposals documents for the above, and the revisions and additions noted herein and any attachments shall read in conjunction with all other documents. This Addendum shall, however, take precedence over all previously issued Request for Proposals documents where differences occur.

Included in this Addendum are: 2 Pages for Addendum 10

1.0 QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

| Question #130 | For Bid Form 1, can you please confirm if "Gross Built Area" is the same as Gross Construction Area, and if it should include both above and ground area? Similarly, is "gross square feet" (for Total Non-Residential Area) to be interpreted as GFA, as defined in Addendum 7?
| City Response #130 | For further clarity, in Bid Form 1, please replace “gross built area” and “gross square feet” with “Gross Floor Area”, as defined in Zoning By-law 05-200 and further clarified in City Response #106 (Addendum 7)
| Question #131 | Does the additional setback listed in Fig.11 (e) apply from the outer building face or from the property line?
| City Response #131 | The additional setback is to be measured from the outer face of the building’s lower storeys.
Notwithstanding, Proponents are reminded that the RFP allows Proposals to contemplate designs that require a minor variance or zoning by-law amendment, provided that a Secondary Plan amendment is not required. Evaluation Process subsection 2.1.1.1(2) requires Proponents to identify these instances within their Proposals. Provided that a Secondary Plan amendment is not required, a Proposal will be considered compliant.

With specific regard to the policies in Figure 11: Waterfront Zones – Maximum Setbacks, the overall intent is to promote streets that are closely framed by building facades, creating a strong street-front presence, while balancing against the shadow in impact of continuous shear faces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question #132</th>
<th>Can the additional setback listed in Fig.11 (e) be applied to a building face at a lower story than that recommended, for example can the setback be applied from the 3rd storey even if it is only required starting at the 5th storey?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Response #132</td>
<td>The additional setback is to commence after the 5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; storey. Notwithstanding, Proponents are reminded that the RFP allows Proposals to contemplate designs that require a minor variance or zoning by-law amendment, provided that a Secondary Plan amendment is not required. Evaluation Process subsection 2.1.1.1(2) requires Proponents to identify these instances within their Proposals. Provided that a Secondary Plan amendment is not required, a Proposal will be considered compliant. With specific regard to the policies in Figure 11: Waterfront Zones – Maximum Setbacks, the overall intent is to promote streets that are closely framed by building facades, creating a strong street-front presence, while balancing against the shadow in impact of continuous shear faces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**END OF ADDENDUM 10**

Proponents providing a signed Form of Proposal have made any necessary inquiries with respect to addenda issued by the City and have provided for all addenda in their Proposal submission.

**All addenda will be posted on the City’s bid portal at:**
hamilton.bidsandtenders.ca
and at
www.hamilton.ca/westharbour
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