Memo

To:  Mir Taplur, Environmental Planner  
      Jim Enos, Project Manager  
From:  Heidy Schopf, Senior Cultural Heritage Specialist, Wood PLC  
       Chelsea Dickinson, Research Archaeologist, Wood PLC  
cc:  Peter Popkin  
Ref:  TP115082 Gordon Dean Avenue – Municipal Class EA - MHSTCI Checklist  
Re:  Gordon Dean Avenue and Collector Road ‘B’, Cultural Heritage Checklist and Identification of Properties 40 Years or Older

1.0  Introduction

Wood Environment & Infrastructure (“Wood”) was retained by Fruitland - Winona Development Group (the “Client”) to complete a cultural heritage memo in support of the Class Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed Gordon Dean Avenue and Collector Road ‘B’ project. The project involves a proposed north-south road from Barton Street to Highway 8 and the east-west connection to Fruitland Road at Sherwood Park Road from the proposed north-south road (the Study Area). The proposed north-south road is referred to as Gordon Dean Avenue, and the proposed east-west road to Fruitland Road at Sherwood Park Road from the proposed Gordon Dean Avenue is referred to as Collector Road ‘B’. Historically, the Study Area is located on Lot 13 and 14, Concession 2, in the Geographic Township of Saltfleet, Wentworth County, now in the City of Hamilton, Ontario (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Wood completed a draft Environmental Study Report (ESR) for this MCEA in 2020. The Ministry of Heritage, Culture, Tourism and Sport Industries (MHSTCI) reviewed the draft ESR in July 2020 and noted that the Study Area needs to be screened using the MHSTCI checklist. To consider cultural heritage for the Gordon Dean EA, the MHSTCI Criteria for Evaluating Potential for Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes: A Checklist for the Non-Specialist (the Checklist) was completed to identify recognized heritage properties and potential heritage properties that may
be of cultural heritage value. Following completion of the Checklist, it was found that the Study Area contains buildings that are 40 years or older and is adjacent to a cemetery.

The approach and methodology used to complete the Checklist are provided in Section 2.0, the results of the Checklist are provided in Section 3.0, a discussion of the results is provided in Section 4.0, and recommendations are provided in Section 5.0. Figures are included in Appendix A, the completed MHSTCI Checklist is provided in Appendix B, and a figure showing the preferred alternative for the proposed Gordon Dean Avenue and Collector ‘B’ Road is provided in Appendix C.

2.0 Approach and Methodology

2.1 Legislative Requirements and Guidance Documents

The requirements to consider cultural heritage under the Environmental Assessment (EA) process are found in the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) 2020 (Government of Ontario 2020) and the Environmental Assessment Act (1990).

The PPS provides policy direction on matters of provincial interest related to land use planning and development (Government of Ontario 2020:1). The PPS is applicable to the entire Province of Ontario. Under the PPS, the conservation of cultural heritage is identified as a matter of provincial interest. Section 2.6 of the PPS gives direction on the consideration of cultural heritage and archaeology (Government of Ontario 2020:31). Specifically, the following direction is given regarding built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes, and protected heritage properties:

2.6.1 Significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved

2.6.3 Planning authorities shall not permit development and site alteration on adjacent lands to protected heritage property except where the proposed development and site alteration has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that the heritage attributes of the protected heritage property will be conserved.

(Government of Ontario 2020)

The Environmental Assessment Act R.S.O. 1990, c. E.18 (EA Act) sets out planning and decision-making process so that potential environmental effects are considered before a project begins (Government of Ontario 2019). The EA Act applies to provincial ministries and agencies, municipalities, and public bodies.
The *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.18, provides a framework for the protection of cultural heritage resources in the Province. It gives municipalities and the provincial government powers to protect heritage properties and archaeological sites. The MHSTCI is responsible for the administration of the *Ontario Heritage Act* and has developed checklists, information bulletins, standards and guidelines, and policies to support the conservation of Ontario’s cultural heritage resources, including built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes, and archaeological sites.

The MHSTCI developed the Checklist to screen for known (or recognized) heritage properties and properties with potential cultural heritage value. The Checklist also includes other considerations to account for local or Indigenous knowledge that may suggest a property acts as a landmark, have special historical associations, or is part of a cultural heritage landscape. The Checklist represents a high-level screening for properties with cultural heritage value or interest (CHVI). Where properties with known or potential CHVI are identified as part of the Checklist, further work, such as a Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (CHAR), Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER), or Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), may be recommended as appropriate.

### 2.2 Desktop Data Collection and Information Gathering

The Checklist was completed through a combination of desktop data collection and municipal and agency consultation conducted via telephone and email.

The desktop data collection was completed by following the instructions provided pages 4-8 of the Checklist. To identify known (or recognized) heritage properties, the following online sources were reviewed:

- Hamilton Cultural Heritage Resources (2020)
- Easements/Protections, (Ontario Heritage Trust 2020)
- Directory of Designated Heritage Railway Stations in Ontario (Parks Canada 2017)
- Designated Lighthouses (Parks Canada 2020)
- Directory of Federal Heritage Designations (Government of Canada 2020)
- World Heritage List (UNESCO 2020)

In addition, information requests were submitted to identify protected cultural heritage resources in the Study Area. The City of Hamilton, Ontario Heritage Trust, and the MHSTCI were contacted directly via email and/or telephone to determine the presence of listed, designated, or protected heritage properties within, and adjacent to, the Study Area.

To identify properties with potential cultural heritage value, the following online sources were reviewed:
• Plaques Database (Ontario Heritage Trust 2019)
• Bereavement Authority of Ontario: Home, City of Hamilton Cemeteries (2019)
• Canadian Heritage Rivers System (2017)

In addition, historical mapping from 1877, 1881, 1907, 1923, 1929, 1934, 1938 and aerial photographs from 1960 and 1966 were reviewed to identify the presence of properties containing buildings and structures 40 years or older. Historical maps were reviewed to complete the Checklist are contained in Appendix A. In addition, Google Earth imagery was reviewed to gain an understanding of recent change and development within the Study Area.

2.3 Field Review

A field review of the Study Area was completed by Chelsea Dickinson, Research Archaeologist at Wood, on Tuesday, August 25, 2020 to confirm the existing conditions of previously identified heritage properties, including both built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes. During the field review, the 40-year ‘rule of thumb’ was used to identify properties with the potential to have CHVI. The 40-year rule is generally accepted by federal and provincial agencies as a preliminary screening measure for CHVI. It should be noted, however, that the 40-year threshold is a guide only and does not imply that all properties of 40 years of age have CHVI. Nor does it exclude properties that are less than 40 years of age and exhibit CHVI. Following the fieldwork, the professional judgement of Wood's Research Archaeologist and Senior Cultural Heritage Specialist, Heidy Schopf at Wood was used to apply the 40-year rule and identify properties with potential CHVI.

3.0 Results

3.1 Background Review

A high level review of previously completed cultural heritage studies was completed in order to gain a thorough understanding of the history of the Study Area. Each report was reviewed, and the key points summarized in Section 3.1.1 and 3.1.2.

3.1.1 Information Sheet: Fruitland Road Study Area (Community Planning and Design, Heritage and Urban Design 2011)

In 2011, the City of Hamilton’s Community Planning and Design, Heritage and Urban Design group created a document titled Information Sheet: Fruitland Road Study Area (Information Sheet) to provide background information on the development history and cultural heritage resources, including archaeology, built heritage and cultural heritage landscapes within the Fruitland EA study area. The Fruitland Road EA assessed parts of the present Gordon Dean EA Study Area, including both sides of Fruitland Road from Barton Street to Highway 8. The information sheet
identified three (3) properties listed in the City of Hamilton Inventory of Buildings of Architectural and/or Historical Interest and twelve (12) buildings of potential cultural heritage value or interest. For the purpose of this memo the following four (4) properties identified on the information sheet are located within, or adjacent to, the Gordon Dean Study Area and were subject to field review:

- 708 Barton Street
- 693 Highway No. 8
- 725 Highway No. 8
- 735 Highway 8 - Mountview Gardens Cemetery

3.1.2 Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (Letourneau Heritage Consulting Inc. 2019)

In 2019, Letourneau Heritage Consulting Inc. was retained by Wood PLC, on behalf of the City of Hamilton (the proponent), to prepare a Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (CHAR) for the proposed Highway 8 Improvement (Fruitland Road to Fifty Road) ‘Schedule C’ MCEA (Phases 3 and 4) in Hamilton, Ontario. Letourneau’s assessment determined that the Highway 8 Improvement Study Area contained four (4) properties designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, two (2) properties listed on the City of Hamilton’s Register of Heritage Properties, and 32 of interest on the City of Hamilton’s Inventory. Of these, three (3) properties identified by Letourneau are located adjacent to the Gordon Dean Study Area and were subject to field review:

- 693 Highway No. 8
- 725 Highway No. 8
- 735 Highway 8 - Mountview Gardens Cemetery

3.2 Information Gathering

The City of Hamilton, Ontario Heritage Trust, and MHSTCI were contacted to gather information on known or potential heritage properties within, and adjacent to, the Study Area.

Karla Barboza, Acting Team Lead at the MHSTCI, reported that there are no properties designated under the Ontario Heritage Act within, or adjacent to, the Study Area.

Miranda Brunton, Cultural Heritage Planner at the City of Hamilton, advised Wood to review the publicly accessible Cultural Heritage mapping to confirm the presence of protected and potential heritage properties. Wood reviewed the mapping provided by Miranda Brunton and noted that the Study Area contains, or is adjacent to, the following properties: two (2) inventoried properties (693 Highway No. 8 and 725 Highway No. 8) and one (1) inventoried cemetery (735 Highway No. 8). All three properties are ‘inventoried’ by the City of Hamilton and have potential CHVI. In effect, these properties are flagged as having potential CHVI but are not listed on a municipal heritage register or designated under the Ontario Heritage Act.
Kevin DeMille, Natural Heritage Coordinator at the Ontario Heritage Trust, reported that the Study Area does not contain any conservation easements or Ontario Heritage Trust-owned properties. Mr. DeMille also confirmed that there are no properties listed on the Ontario Heritage Trust’s register within the Study Area.

3.3 Field Review

The field review was completed by Chelsea Dickinson, Research Archaeologist at Wood, on Tuesday, August 25, 2020. The field review confirmed that the Study Area is comprised of mostly agricultural lands, with scattered residential properties. The Study Area is bounded by three (3) historically surveyed roads: Fruitland Road to the east, Barton Street to the north, and Highway No. 8 to the south.

Fruitland Road, east of the study area is two lanes wide and includes sidewalks (Plate 1-Plate 9). The road is bordered by modest residential properties that date between to the mid-20th century to present.

Barton Street, north of the study area is two lanes wide and includes sidewalks. This section of Barton Street has a relatively steep slope and is bordered mainly by modest residential properties that were constructed between the mid-20th century to present (Plate 10 to Plate 11). The property at 708 Barton Street identified within the Information Sheet: Fruitland Road Study Area (2011) has been demolished and therefore does not appear to retain potential cultural heritage value or interest (Plate 12 and Plate 13).

The remaining section of the Study Area consists of Highway No. 8. There are two (2) properties inventoried properties by the City of Hamilton that have potential cultural heritage value or interest: 693 Highway No. 8 and 725 Highway No. 8 (Plate 14 and Plate 15). Additional photos of properties located outside the study area and its adjacent lands including but limited to those flagged as having potential CHVI within the City of Hamilton Cultural Heritage mapping were documented in order to develop a better understanding of the historical associations and current land use of the study area (Plate 16 to Plate 20). Mountview Gardens Cemetery (735 Highway No. 8) is located along Highway No. 8 (Plate 21 to Plate 22). The cemetery is bounded by residential properties on the east, Highway No. 8 on the south, the Stoney Creek Community Church on the west, and an agricultural field/residential property on the north. The cemetery includes four buildings, numerous paths, mature trees, tree lines, ornamental plantings, and numerous grave plots with markers. There are brick entry gates are located at the western entrance to the cemetery from Highway No. 8.
In addition, Wood notes that the Information Sheet produced by the City of Hamilton in 2011 identified that the general area contains remnant fruit farms, orchards, open spaces, fields, and tree lines. The information sheet also noted that Fruitland Road, Barton Street, and Jones Road are historical roads associated with the original survey of the Township of Saltfleet. Wood reviewed the Study Area for these landscape elements and found that Fruitland Road and Barton Street have been recently updated and do not retain physical characteristics of a historical roadscape. In addition, no remnant fruit farms, orchards, open spaces, fields, or treelines with potential cultural heritage value or interest were identified within the Study Area. The Study Area does contain open fields, but no heritage features of potential significance were identified.
Plate 5: Northeast view of Fruitland Road

Plate 6: Northwest view of Fruitland Road at Sherwood Park Road

Plate 7: Southwest view of Fruitland Road

Plate 8: Northeast view of Fruitland Road
Plate 9: Southeast view of Fruitland Road at Sherwood Park Road

Plate 10: Southeast view of Barton Street

Plate 11: Northwest view of Barton Street

Plate 12: 708 Barton Street (Inventoried Heritage Property; now demolished)
Plate 13: 708 Barton Street (Inventoried Heritage Property; now demolished) facing southeast

Plate 14: 693 Highway No. 8 (Inventoried Heritage Property) within 50 m of Study Area

Plate 15: Stoney Creek Community Church (Inventoried Heritage Property) within 50 m buffer zone of Study Area found on Highway No. 8

Plate 16: 720 Barton Street, boarded up residence

Plate 17: 718 & 716 Barton Street, boarded up residences located adjacent to 708 Barton Street

Plate 18: 670 Barton Street (Inventoried Heritage Property); Located approximately 320 m to the southeast of the Study Area
3.4 Inventory of Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes

Following the completion of the information gathering requests and field review, an inventory of built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes within, and adjacent to, the Study Area was compiled. A summary of built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes is included in this inventory is provided in Table 1. The locations of identified heritage properties in relation to the Study Area are depicted in Figure 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHR No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Heritage Recognition</th>
<th>Description of Property</th>
<th>Photograph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BHR 1</td>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>693 Highway No. 8</td>
<td>-Inventoried Property by the City of Hamilton - Previously identified in the Information Sheet: Fruitland Road Study Area (2011) &amp; the CHAR by Letourneau Heritage Consulting Inc. (2019)</td>
<td>This property contains a one-and-a-half-storey residence with Ontario Gothic Revival style influences with additions to the original structure. The residence is clad in synthetic brick and wood cladding. The residence has a cross gable roof with pronounced, projecting eaves. The front façade (north elevation) is asymmetrically arranged with the front entrance offset to the right (east). The windows are sash one-over-one and appear to have wood trim. The front entrance is covered by a wood awning supported by brackets and the front door is made of wood. The front entrance also includes mature trees/tree lines. This residence appears on 1934 mapping but likely dates to the late 19th century or early 20th century based on architectural style and materials. As one of the few late 19th century/early 20th century residences in this section of Highway No. 8, it is likely that there are significant historical associations for this property. In addition, the property may be historically and visually linked to its surroundings and there are potential heritage attributes that may be found within the extant structure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHR 2</td>
<td>Institutional (Stoney Creek Community Church)</td>
<td>725 Highway No. 8</td>
<td>-Inventoried Property by the City of Hamilton -Previously identified in the Information Sheet: Fruitland Road Study Area (2011) &amp; the CHAR by Letourneau Heritage Consulting Inc. (2019)</td>
<td>This property contains a one-storey church (Stoney Creek Community Church) that dates to 1990 (City of Hamilton, 2020), and a one-storey residence, that is clad in brick. The church has a hip roof with abbreviated eaves. The front façade (north elevation) is asymmetrically arranged with the front entrance offset to the left (east). The windows are a mixture of a sash five-over-five window with wood trim and swept-head windows. The front entrance is covered by a brick awning. Letourneau Heritage Consulting Inc. (hereafter referred to as “LHC”) notes the potential CHVI of this property lies with historical association of the church within the community. In addition, LHC noted that due to the fact that the extant church was constructed in 1990, that the listing may be associated with the earlier structure and the adjacent cemetery.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| CHL 1 | Cemetery | 735 Highway No. 8 | -Identified during the field review | This property contains the Mountview Gardens Cemetery and is located adjacent to the Study Area. Opened in 1976 (Hamilton’s Heritage Vol. 6, 2005), Mountview Gardens Cemetery is currently listed as active according to the Bereavement Authority of Ontario, hereto referred to as the “BAO” (BAO, 2020). A directional signage boulder for the Mountview Gardens Cemetery is located north of Highway 8 and west of Jones Road, in close proximity to the ROW. The cemetery is bounded by residential properties on the east, Highway No. 8 on the south, the Stoney Creek Community Church on the west, and an agricultural field/residential property on the
north. The cemetery includes four buildings, numerous paths, mature trees, tree lines, ornamental plantings, and numerous grave plots with markers. There are brick entry gates located at the western entrance to the cemetery from Highway No. 8.
3.5 MHSTCI Checklist Results

As part of the Gordon Dean EA, the MHSTCI Checklist was completed. The full Checklist is included in Appendix B and a summary of the Checklist is provided in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value**

| 2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and not found to be of cultural heritage value? |     | ✓  |
| Is the property (or project area):                                                                 |     |    |
| a. Identified, designated or otherwise protected under the Ontario Heritage Act as being of cultural heritage value? |     | ✓  |
| b. A National Historic Site (or part of)?                                                                 |     | ✓  |
| c. Designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?                                         |     | ✓  |
| d. Designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?                                              |     | ✓  |
| e. Identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?     |     | ✓  |
| f. Located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site? |     | ✓  |

**Part B: Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value**

Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:

| 3. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:        |     |    |
| a. Is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque? |     | ✓  |
| b. Has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?                |     | ✓  |
| c. Is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?                                |     | ✓  |
| d. Contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?           |     | ✓  |

**Part C: Other Considerations**

| 3. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area): |     |    |
| a. Is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area? |     | ✓  |
| b. Has a special association with a community, person, or historical event?  |     | ✓  |
Table 2: MHSCTI Checklist Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c. Contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The completion of the Checklist determined that the Study Area is located directly adjacent to the Mountview Gardens Cemetery which was opened in 1976 (Hamilton’s Heritage Vol. 6, 2005). Mountview Gardens Cemetery is currently listed as active according to the Bereavement Authority of Ontario, hereto referred to as the “BAO” (BAO, 2020). Per the Checklist, Mountview Gardens Cemetery is identified as having potential cultural heritage value.

The completion of the Checklist confirmed the presence of properties that contain buildings or structures 40 years or older. These include:

- 708 Barton Street (demolished)
- 693 Highway No. 8 (BHR1)
- 725 Highway No. 8 (BHR2)
- 735 Highway No. 8 - Mountview Gardens Cemetery (CHL1)

These properties are mapped in Figure 5.

Finally, the completion of the checklist determined the presence of 6 registered archaeological sites within 250 m of the study area, of which one (AhGw-536; Site Name Hanes III) is located 5 m to the west of the study area (MHSTCI 2020). Per the Checklist, Landscapes (which may include a combination of archaeological resources, built heritage resources and landscape elements) may be of cultural heritage value or interest to a community (MHSTCI 2016: 8).

4.0 Discussion

The MHSTCI Checklist determined the Study Area contains, and is adjacent to, properties with potential cultural heritage value due to the presence of buildings or structures 40 years or older (Figure 5). To determine whether these properties may be impacted by the proposed work, drawings of the preferred alternative for the proposed Gordon Dean Avenue and Collector ‘B’ Road were reviewed. The drawings are provided in Appendix C and demonstrate that no work is proposed within BHR 1 (693 Highway No. 8) or CHL 1 (735 Highway No. 8 - Mountview Gardens Cemetery). However, work is depicted within the property limits of BHR 2 (725 Highway No. 8) where the south section of proposed Gordon Dean Avenue is shown extending into the southwest corner of this property in close proximity to a one-storey residence.
The City of Hamilton Official Plan (2013) contains policies for the protection of non-designated or non-registered heritage properties in Section 3.4.2.7, which states:

3.4.2.7 The City shall ensure these non-designated and non-registered cultural heritage properties are identified, evaluated, and appropriately conserved through various legislated planning and assessment processes, including the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990 c. P.13, the Environmental Assessment Act and the Cemeteries Act.

Further the MHSTCI Checklist recommends that a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) be completed to identify, evaluate, and protect cultural heritage resources impacted by a project. Given this, further cultural heritage work in the form of a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) that contains a cultural heritage evaluation of the property is warranted for BHR 2 (725 Highway No. 8). The goal of the HIA should be to determine if the property has CHVI when evaluated against the criteria of the Ontario Heritage Act. If the property is found to have CHVI, then an impact assessment should be completed.

In addition, there are six (6) registered archaeological sites within 250 m of the study area and one cemetery located adjacent to the study area (Mountview Gardens Cemetery). The recommendations contained in Wood’s Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment for the Gordon Dean MCEA (2020) should be followed to address potential archaeological concerns related to this project.

5.0 Recommendations

Based on the completion of the MHSTCI Checklist and review of the proposed work, the following recommendations are made:

1) Avoidance of potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes is recommended from a cultural heritage perspective. The proposed work should be planned in a manner that avoids direct and indirect impacts to identified built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes. Further, the locations of BHR 1 (693 Highway No. 8), BHR 2 (725 Highway No. 8), and CHL 1 (735 Highway No. 8, Mountview Gardens Cemetery) should be indicated on construction mapping so that project personnel are aware of the presence of these potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes.

2) Work is planned within the southwest corner of BHR 2 (725 Highway No. 8) and in close proximity to a one-storey residence on the property. An HIA should be completed to
determine whether this property has CHVI under Ontario Regulation (O. Reg. 9/06) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. If the property is found to have CHVI, then an impact assessment should be completed to evaluate the impacts of the proposed work and recommend appropriate mitigation measures.

3) The completion of the MHSTCI Checklist determined that there are six (6) registered archaeological sites within 250 m of the study area and one cemetery located adjacent to the study area (CHL 1, 735 Highway No. 8, Mountview Gardens Cemetery). The recommendations contained in Wood’s Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment for the Gordon Dean MCEA (2020) should be followed to address potential archaeological concerns related to this project.

The above recommendations were prepared using drawings of the Gordon Dean Ave MCEA preferred alternative contained in Appendix C. Should the preferred alternative be updated or changed, then recommendations presented in this memo should be revisited to confirm impacts to potential cultural heritage resources.

We trust that the information presented in this memo meets your current requirements. Should you have any questions, or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, a Division of Wood Canada Limited**

**Chelsea Dickinson, B.A.**  
Research Archaeologist  
Environment and Infrastructure Solutions  
Work: (226) 821-2497  
chelsea.dickinson@woodplc.com  
www.woodplc.com

**Heidy Schopf, MES, CAHP**  
Senior Cultural Heritage Specialist  
Environment and Infrastructure Solutions  
Mobile: +1 (416) 518 0145  
heidy.schopf@woodplc.com  
www.woodplc.com

**Peter Popkin, Ph.D., CAHP, MCIfA**  
Associate Archaeologist  
Environment and Infrastructure Solutions  
Mobile: +1 (905) 329-6456  
peter.popkin@woodplc.com  
www.woodplc.com
6.0 References

Amec Foster Wheeler
2017  *Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscape Assessment, Barton Street Improvements, from Fruitland Road to Fifty Road, and Fifty Road from the QEW to Highway 8, Stoney Creek, City of Hamilton, Ontario.* Prepared for the City of Hamilton. March 2017

Bereavement Authority Ontario
2020  Bereavement Authority Ontario Register, Stoney Creek (Search Results).  
https://licensees.bereavementauthorityontario.ca/search-results?searchParams=--Stoney%20Creek~~~~0&Subject=PubBizByNamePro&Title=Public%20Register%20-%20%20Businesses Last accessed 19 August 2020.

Canadian Heritage Rivers System

City of Hamilton
2013  Chapter B – Communities. Available online:  


Department of Militia and Defence


Fruitland Road Information Sheet

Department of Militia and Defence

Government of Canada

Government of Ontario

Hamilton’s Heritage Volume 6

Letourneau Heritage Consulting Inc.
2019  Final Report: Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment Highway 8 Improvements (Fruitland Road to Fifty Road) Municipal Class
Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries (MHSTCI)

2020 Sites Within a One Kilometre Radius of the Study Area Provided from the Ontario Archaeological Sites Database, 18 August 2020.

Ontario Heritage Trust

2020 Ontario Heritage Act Register, City of Hamilton, Fruitland (Search Results). Available online: https://www.heritagetrust.on.ca/en/oha/search-results?handle=powform&backlinkslug=advanced-search&fields%5Blimit%5D=200&fields%5Bproperty_name%5D=&fields%5Baddress%5D=Fruitland&fields%5Blocation%5D=&fields%5Bconstructed_from%5D=&fields%5Bconstructed_to%5D=&fields%5Bheritage_function_category%5D=-1&fields%5Bdocument_type_category%5D=-1. Last accessed 19 August 2020.

2020 Ontario Heritage Act Register, City of Hamilton, Barton (Search Results). Available online: https://www.heritagetrust.on.ca/en/oha/search-results?handle=powform&backlinkslug=advanced-search&fields%5Blimit%5D=200&fields%5Bproperty_name%5D=&fields%5Baddress%5D=Barton&fields%5Blocation%5D=16&fields%5Bconstructed_from%5D=&fields%5Bconstructed_to%5D=&fields%5Bheritage_function_category%5D=-1&fields%5Bdocument_type_category%5D=-1. Last accessed 19 August 2020.

2020 Ontario Heritage Act Register, City of Hamilton, Highway 8 (Search Results). Available online: https://www.heritagetrust.on.ca/en/oha/search-results?handle=powform&backlinkslug=advanced-search&fields%5Blimit%5D=200&fields%5Bproperty_name%5D=&fields%5Baddress%5D=Highway+8&fields%5Blocation%5D=16&fields%5Bconstructed_from%5D=&fields%5Bconstructed_to%5D=&fields%5Bheritage_function_category%5D=-1&fields%5Bdocument_type_category%5D=-1. Last accessed 19 August 2020.


Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry


Ontario Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries

Page and Smith

Parks Canada


Surtees, Robert
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)  
2019  Canada, Properties inscribed on the World Heritage List. Available online:  
Appendix A: Figures
NOTES:
THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. TP115082.
ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
REFERENCES:
2008 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY,

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREA

SCALE 1:7,500
1859 MAP OF THE COUNTY OF WENTWORTH SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREA

NOTES:
THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. TP115082.
ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
MAP SHOWN AT BEST AVAILABLE RESOLUTION.
ORIGINAL PAPER SIZE: 8½ x 11
REFERENCES:
SURTEES, 1859.

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions
201 KING STREET
LONDON, ONTARIO
N6A 1C3
519-681-2400
1875 ILLUSTRATED HISTORICAL ATLAS MAP OF WENTWORTH COUNTY SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREA

NOTES:
THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. TP115082.
ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
MAP SHOWN AT BEST AVAILABLE RESOLUTION.
ORIGINAL PAPER SIZE: 8.5 x 11
REFERENCES:
PAGE & SMITH, 1875.

SCALE 1:15,000

0 100 300 500m

SCALE 1:15,000
FRUITLAND - WINONA BLOCK 1 DEVELOPMENT GROUP

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions
201 KING STREET
LONDON, ONTARIO
N6A 1C3
519-681-2400

PROJECT:
GORDON DEAN AVE, CLASS EA – MHSTCI CHECKLIST

TITLE:
INVENTORY OF BUILT HERITAGE RESOURCES AND CULTURAL HERITAGE LANDSCAPES

LEGEND:
- STUDY AREA
- PROPERTY LINE
- BUILT HERITAGE RESOURCE AND/OR CULTURAL HERITAGE LANDSCAPE IDENTIFIED DURING FIELD REVIEW

NOTES:
THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. TP115082.
ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
REFERENCES:

SCALE 1:7,500

CLIENT:
FRUITLAND ROAD
JONES ROAD
BARTON STREET
HIGHWAY 8
FRUITLAND ROAD
BHR1
BHR2
CHL1

200 100 0
300m

TP115082
SEPT. 4, 2020
CD

FIGURE No: 488500
488250
488000
488750
488500
488000
488750
488250

4765000
4765750
4766250
4766500
4765000
4765750
4766250
4766500

201 KING STREET
LONDON, ONTARIO
N6A 1C3
519-681-2400

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions
201 KING STREET
LONDON, ONTARIO
N6A 1C3
519-681-2400

DWN BY: LMK
CHK'D BY: CD
DATE: SEPT. 4, 2020

DATUM: NAD83
PROJECTION: UTM Zone 17
PROJECT No: TP115082
REV No: 0
Appendix B: MHSTCI Checklist
The **purpose of the checklist** is to determine:

- if a property(ies) or project area:
  - is a recognized heritage property
  - may be of cultural heritage value

- it includes all areas that may be impacted by project activities, including – but not limited to:
  - the main project area
  - temporary storage
  - staging and working areas
  - temporary roads and detours

**Processes covered** under this checklist, such as:

- *Planning Act*
- *Environmental Assessment Act*
- *Aggregates Resources Act*
- *Ontario Heritage Act* – Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties

**Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)**

If you are not sure how to answer one or more of the questions on the checklist, you may want to hire a qualified person(s) (see page 5 for definitions) to undertake a cultural heritage evaluation report (CHER).

The CHER will help you:

- identify, evaluate and protect cultural heritage resources on your property or project area
- reduce potential delays and risks to a project

**Other checklists**

Please use a separate checklist for your project, if:

- you are seeking a Renewable Energy Approval under Ontario Regulation 359/09 – [separate checklist](#)
- your Parent Class EA document has an approved screening criteria (as referenced in Question 1)

Please refer to the Instructions pages for more detailed information and when completing this form.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening Questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If Yes</strong>, please follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If No</strong>, continue to Question 2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <strong>not</strong> to be of cultural heritage value?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If Yes</strong>, do <strong>not</strong> complete the rest of the checklist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proponent, property owner and/or approval authority will:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• summarize the previous evaluation and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The summary and appropriate documentation may be:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• submitted as part of a report requirement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If No</strong>, continue to Question 3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Is the property (or project area):
   a. identified, designated or otherwise protected under the *Ontario Heritage Act* as being of cultural heritage value? |   | ✔ |
   b. a National Historic Site (or part of)? |   | ✔ |
   c. designated under the *Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act*? |   | ✔ |
   d. designated under the *Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act*? |   | ✔ |
   e. identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)? |   | ✔ |
   f. located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site? |   | ✔ |

**If Yes** to any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:

- a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated

**If a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:**

- a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts

**If No**, continue to Question 4.
### Part B: Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value

4. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:
   - is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque? [✓]
   - has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery? [✓]
   - is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed? [✓]
   - contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old? [✓]

### Part C: Other Considerations

5. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area):
   - is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area? [✓]
   - has a special association with a community, person or historical event? [✓]
   - contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape? [✓]

If **Yes** to one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the property or within the project area.

You need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:
- a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)

If the property is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:
- a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts

If **No** to all of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the property.

The proponent, property owner and/or approval authority will:
- summarize the conclusion
- add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file

The summary and appropriate documentation may be:
- submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the *Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act* processes
- maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority
Instructions

Please have the following available, when requesting information related to the screening questions below:

- a clear map showing the location and boundary of the property or project area
- large scale and small scale showing nearby township names for context purposes
- the municipal addresses of all properties within the project area
- the lot(s), concession(s), and parcel number(s) of all properties within a project area

For more information, see the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport’s [Ontario Heritage Toolkit](https://www.ontario.ca/page/heritage-toolkit) or Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties.

In this context, the following definitions apply:

- **qualified person(s)** means individuals – professional engineers, architects, archaeologists, etc. – having relevant, recent experience in the conservation of cultural heritage resources.
- **proponent** means a person, agency, group or organization that carries out or proposes to carry out an undertaking or is the owner or person having charge, management or control of an undertaking.

### 1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?

An existing checklist, methodology or process may already be in place for identifying potential cultural heritage resources, including:

- one endorsed by a municipality
- an environmental assessment process e.g. screening checklist for municipal bridges
- one that is approved by the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) under the Ontario government’s, Standards & Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties [s.B.2.]

### Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value

#### 2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and found not to be of cultural heritage value?

Respond ‘yes’ to this question, if all of the following are true:

A property can be considered not to be of cultural heritage value if:

- a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) - or equivalent - has been prepared for the property with the advice of a qualified person and it has been determined not to be of cultural heritage value and/or
- the municipal heritage committee has evaluated the property for its cultural heritage value or interest and determined that the property is not of cultural heritage value or interest

A property may need to be re-evaluated, if:

- there is evidence that its heritage attributes may have changed
- new information is available
- the existing Statement of Cultural Heritage Value does not provide the information necessary to manage the property
- the evaluation took place after 2005 and did not use the criteria in Regulations 9/06 and 10/06

**Note:** Ontario government ministries and public bodies [prescribed under Regulation 157/10] may continue to use their existing evaluation processes, until the evaluation process required under section B.2 of the Standards & Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties has been developed and approved by MTCS.

To determine if your property or project area has been evaluated, contact:

- the approval authority
- the proponent
- the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

### 3a. Is the property (or project area) identified, designated or otherwise protected under the Ontario Heritage Act as being of cultural heritage value e.g.:

1. designated under the [Ontario Heritage Act](https://www.ontario.ca/page/heritage-toolkit)
   - individual designation (Part IV)
   - part of a heritage conservation district (Part V)
Individual Designation – Part IV
A property that is designated:
• by a municipal by-law as being of cultural heritage value or interest [s.29 of the Ontario Heritage Act]
• by order of the Minister of Tourism, Culture and Sport as being of cultural heritage value or interest of provincial significance [s.34.5]. Note: To date, no properties have been designated by the Minister.

Heritage Conservation District – Part V
A property or project area that is located within an area designated by a municipal by-law as a heritage conservation district [s. 41 of the Ontario Heritage Act].

For more information on Parts IV and V, contact:
• municipal clerk
• Ontario Heritage Trust
• local land registry office (for a title search)

ii. subject of an agreement, covenant or easement entered into under Parts II or IV of the Ontario Heritage Act
An agreement, covenant or easement is usually between the owner of a property and a conservation body or level of government. It is usually registered on title.
The primary purpose of the agreement is to:
• preserve, conserve, and maintain a cultural heritage resource
• prevent its destruction, demolition or loss

For more information, contact:
• Ontario Heritage Trust - for an agreement, covenant or easement [clause 10 (1) (c) of the Ontario Heritage Act]
• municipal clerk – for a property that is the subject of an easement or a covenant [s.37 of the Ontario Heritage Act]
• local land registry office (for a title search)

iii. listed on a register of heritage properties maintained by the municipality
Municipal registers are the official lists - or record - of cultural heritage properties identified as being important to the community.
Registers include:
• all properties that are designated under the Ontario Heritage Act (Part IV or V)
• properties that have not been formally designated, but have been identified as having cultural heritage value or interest to the community

For more information, contact:
• municipal clerk
• municipal heritage planning staff
• municipal heritage committee

iv. subject to a notice of:
• intention to designate (under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act)
• a Heritage Conservation District study area bylaw (under Part V of the Ontario Heritage Act)
A property that is subject to a notice of intention to designate as a property of cultural heritage value or interest and the notice is in accordance with:
• section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act
• section 34.6 of the Ontario Heritage Act. Note: To date, the only applicable property is Meldrum Bay Inn, Manitoulin Island. [s.34.6]
An area designated by a municipal by-law made under section 40.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act as a heritage conservation district study area.

For more information, contact:
• municipal clerk – for a property that is the subject of notice of intention [s. 29 and s. 40.1]
• Ontario Heritage Trust
v. included in the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport’s list of provincial heritage properties

Provincial heritage properties are properties the Government of Ontario owns or controls that have cultural heritage value or interest.

The Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) maintains a list of all provincial heritage properties based on information provided by ministries and prescribed public bodies. As they are identified, MTCS adds properties to the list of provincial heritage properties.

For more information, contact the MTCS Registrar at registrar@ontario.ca.

3b. Is the property (or project area) a National Historic Site (or part of)?

National Historic Sites are properties or districts of national historic significance that are designated by the Federal Minister of the Environment, under the Canada National Parks Act, based on the advice of the Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada.

For more information, see the National Historic Sites website.

3c. Is the property (or project area) designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?

The Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act protects heritage railway stations that are owned by a railway company under federal jurisdiction. Designated railway stations that pass from federal ownership may continue to have cultural heritage value.

For more information, see the Directory of Designated Heritage Railway Stations.

3d. Is the property (or project area) designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?

The Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act helps preserve historically significant Canadian lighthouses. The Act sets up a public nomination process and includes heritage building conservation standards for lighthouses which are officially designated.

For more information, see the Heritage Lighthouses of Canada website.

3e. Is the property (or project area) identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office?

The role of the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO) is to help the federal government protect the heritage buildings it owns. The policy applies to all federal government departments that administer real property, but not to federal Crown Corporations.

For more information, contact the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office.

See a directory of all federal heritage designations.

3f. Is the property (or project area) located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?

A UNESCO World Heritage Site is a place listed by UNESCO as having outstanding universal value to humanity under the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage. In order to retain the status of a World Heritage Site, each site must maintain its character defining features.

Currently, the Rideau Canal is the only World Heritage Site in Ontario.

For more information, see Parks Canada – World Heritage Site website.

Part B: Screening for potential Cultural Heritage Value

4a. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that has a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?

Heritage resources are often recognized with formal plaques or markers.

Plaques are prepared by:

- municipalities
- provincial ministries or agencies
- federal ministries or agencies
- local non-government or non-profit organizations
For more information, contact:

- municipal heritage committees or local heritage organizations – for information on the location of plaques in their community
- Ontario Historical Society’s [Heritage directory](#) – for a list of historical societies and heritage organizations
- Ontario Heritage Trust – for a list of plaques commemorating Ontario’s history
- Historic Sites and Monuments Board of Canada – for a list of plaques commemorating Canada’s history

4b. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?

For more information on known cemeteries and/or burial sites, see:

- Cemeteries Regulations, Ontario Ministry of Consumer Services – for a [database of registered cemeteries](#)
- Ontario Genealogical Society (OGS) – to [locate records of Ontario cemeteries](#), both currently and no longer in existence; cairns, family plots and burial registers
- Canadian County Atlas Digital Project – to [locate early cemeteries](#)

In this context, adjacent means contiguous or as otherwise defined in a municipal official plan.

4c. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?

The Canadian Heritage River System is a national river conservation program that promotes, protects and enhances the best examples of Canada’s river heritage.

Canadian Heritage Rivers must have, and maintain, outstanding natural, cultural and/or recreational values, and a high level of public support.

For more information, contact the [Canadian Heritage River System](#).

If you have questions regarding the boundaries of a watershed, please contact:

- your conservation authority
- municipal staff

4d. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?

A 40 year 'rule of thumb' is typically used to indicate the potential of a site to be of cultural heritage value. The approximate age of buildings and/or structures may be estimated based on:

- history of the development of the area
- fire insurance maps
- architectural style
- building methods

Property owners may have information on the age of any buildings or structures on their property. The municipality, local land registry office or library may also have background information on the property.

**Note:** 40+ year old buildings or structure do not necessarily hold cultural heritage value or interest; their age simply indicates a higher potential.

A building or structure can include:

- residential structure
- farm building or outbuilding
- industrial, commercial, or institutional building
- remnant or ruin
- engineering work such as a bridge, canal, dams, etc.

For more information on researching the age of buildings or properties, see the Ontario Heritage Tool Kit Guide [Heritage Property Evaluation](#).
Part C: Other Considerations

5a. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area) is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important to defining the character of the area?

Local or Aboriginal knowledge may reveal that the project location is situated on a parcel of land that has potential landmarks or defining structures and sites, for instance:

- buildings or landscape features accessible to the public or readily noticeable and widely known
- complexes of buildings
- monuments
- ruins

5b. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area) has a special association with a community, person or historical event?

Local or Aboriginal knowledge may reveal that the project location is situated on a parcel of land that has a special association with a community, person or event of historic interest, for instance:

- Aboriginal sacred site
- traditional-use area
- battlefield
- birthplace of an individual of importance to the community

5c. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area) contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?

Landscapes (which may include a combination of archaeological resources, built heritage resources and landscape elements) may be of cultural heritage value or interest to a community.

For example, an Aboriginal trail, historic road or rail corridor may have been established as a key transportation or trade route and may have been important to the early settlement of an area. Parks, designed gardens or unique landforms such as waterfalls, rock faces, caverns, or mounds are areas that may have connections to a particular event, group or belief.

For more information on Questions 5.a., 5.b. and 5.c., contact:

- Elders in Aboriginal Communities or community researchers who may have information on potential cultural heritage resources. Please note that Aboriginal traditional knowledge may be considered sensitive.
- municipal heritage committees or local heritage organizations
- Ontario Historical Society’s “Heritage Directory” - for a list of historical societies and heritage organizations in the province

An internet search may find helpful resources, including:

- historical maps
- historical walking tours
- municipal heritage management plans
- cultural heritage landscape studies
- municipal cultural plans

Information specific to trails may be obtained through Ontario Trails.
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